

# An inverse spectral problem for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials on star-type graphs

G. Freiling, M. Ignatiev, and V. Yurko

ABSTRACT. Sturm-Liouville differential operators with singular potentials on compact star-type graphs are studied. We establish properties of the spectral characteristics and investigate the inverse problem of recovering the operator from the so-called Weyl vector which is a generalization of the Weyl function ( $m$ -function) for the classical Sturm-Liouville operator on an interval. For this inverse problem we prove an uniqueness theorem and obtain a procedure for constructing the solution by the method of spectral mappings.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the solution of the inverse spectral problem for Sturm-Liouville differential operators with singular potentials on compact star-type graphs. The inverse problem consists in recovering the potential on a graph from the given spectral characteristics. For the classical Sturm-Liouville operators on an *interval* inverse spectral problems have been studied fairly completely (see the monographs [1-8]). The case of singular potentials on an interval was investigated in [9-11] and other papers.

Differential operators on graphs (networks, trees) often appear in natural sciences and engineering (see [12-13]). In recent years there has been considerable interest in the spectral theory of Sturm-Liouville equations on graphs (see a good review of such publications in [12]-[13]). Most of the works in this direction are devoted to the so-called direct problems of studying properties of the spectrum and the root functions. Inverse spectral problems, because of their nonlinearity, are more difficult for investigating, and nowadays there are only a number of papers in the inverse problem theory for differential operators with *integrable* coefficients on graphs (see [14-18] and the references therein). The inverse spectral problem for operators with *singular* potentials on graphs has not been studied yet.

In this paper we study the inverse spectral problem for Sturm-Liouville differential operators with singular potentials on compact star-type graphs. As the main spectral characteristic we introduce and investigate the so-called Weyl vector

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 34A55 34B45; Secondary 34L05 47E05.

*Key words and phrases*. Differential equations, singular potentials, star-type graphs, inverse spectral problems, method of spectral mappings.

This research was supported in part by Grants 07-01-00003 and 07-01-92000-NSC-a of Russian Foundation for Basic Research, DAAD and Taiwan National Science Council.

which is a generalization of the Weyl function (m-function) for the classical Sturm-Liouville operator on an *interval* (see [4], [19]). We show that the specification of the Weyl vector uniquely determines the coefficients of the differential equation on the graph, and we provide a constructive procedure for the solution of the inverse problem from the given Weyl vector. For studying this inverse problem the ideas of the method of spectral mappings [8] are developed. The obtained results are natural generalizations of the well-known results on inverse problems for the differential operators on an interval.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the main notions and provide a formulation of the inverse problem. Section 3 contains some auxiliary propositions. Section 4 is devoted to the solution the so-called local inverse problems. In section 5 we provide a solution of the global inverse problem on the graph.

## 2. The Weyl vector. Formulation of the inverse problem

Let  $T$  be a star-type graph with the set of vertices  $\{v_j\}_{j=0}^p$  and set of edges  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^p$ ,  $e_j = [v_0, v_j]$ . We suppose that the length of each edge is equal to 1. We consider each edge as a segment  $[0, 1]$  and parameterize it by the parameter  $x \in [0, 1]$ . It is convenient for us to choose the orientation such that  $x = 1$  corresponds to the vertex  $v_0$ .

A function  $Y$  on  $T$  is considered as a vector  $Y(x) = [y_j(x)]_{j=1}^p$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $q = [q_j(x)]_{j=1}^p$  be a real-valued function on  $T$  such that  $q_j \in W_2^{-1}[0, 1]$ , i.e.  $q_j(x) = \sigma_j'(x)$ ,  $\sigma_j \in L_2[0, 1]$  (the derivative here is considered in the sense of distributions). We call the function  $\sigma = [\sigma_j(x)]_{j=1}^p$  the *potential*. The Sturm-Liouville differential operator on the edge  $e_j$  is defined by the following expression (see [9-11]):

$$\ell_j y_j = -(y_j^{[1]})' - \sigma_j(x) y_j^{[1]} - \sigma_j^2(x) y_j,$$

where  $y_j^{[1]} := y_j' - \sigma_j y_j$  is a *quasi-derivative*, and

$$\text{dom}(\ell_j) = \{y_j \mid y_j \in W_2^1[0, 1], y_j^{[1]} \in W_1^1[0, 1], \ell_j y_j \in L_2[0, 1]\}.$$

Consider the Sturm-Liouville equation on  $T$ :

$$(1) \quad \ell_j y_j = \lambda y_j, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad j = \overline{1, p},$$

where  $y_j \in \text{dom}(\ell_j)$  and the functions  $y_j$  satisfy the following matching conditions at the internal vertex  $v_0$ :

$$(2) \quad y_j(1) = y_k(1), \quad j, k = \overline{1, p},$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^p y_j^{[1]}(1) = 0.$$

The matching conditions (2)-(3) are called the standard conditions. In electrical circuits, they express Kirchoff's law; in elastic string networks, they express the balance of tension, and so on. Denote  $U_j(Y) := y_j^{[1]}(0)$ ,  $j = \overline{1, p}$ , and consider the boundary value problem  $B_0$  for equation (1) with the matching conditions (2)-(3) and with the boundary conditions

$$U_j(Y) = 0, \quad j = \overline{1, p}.$$

We also consider the boundary value problem  $B_k$ ,  $k = \overline{1, p}$  for equation (1) with the matching conditions (2)-(3) and with the boundary conditions

$$y_k(0) = 0, U_j(Y) = 0, \quad j = \overline{1, p} \setminus k.$$

Let  $\Phi_k(x, \lambda) = [\Phi_{kj}(x, \lambda)]_{j=1}^p$ ,  $k = \overline{1, p}$  be the solutions of the equation (1) satisfying (2), (3) and the boundary conditions:

$$(4) \quad U_j(\Phi_k) = \delta_{jk},$$

where  $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kronecker delta. Denote  $M_k(\lambda) := \Phi_{kk}(0, \lambda)$ ,  $M(\lambda) = [M_k(\lambda)]_{k=1}^{p-1}$ . The function  $M_k(\lambda)$  is called the *Weyl function* for (1) with respect to the vertex  $v_k$ . The vector  $M(\lambda)$  is called the *Weyl vector*. The inverse problem is formulated as follows.

**INVERSE PROBLEM 1.** Given the Weyl vector  $M$ , construct the potential  $\sigma$ .

**REMARK 1.** The notion of the Weyl vector is a generalization of the notion of the Weyl function (m-function) for the classical Sturm-Liouville operator on an *interval* (see [4], [19]). As in the classical case [17] one can show that the functions  $M_k(\lambda)$  are meromorphic in  $\lambda$ , namely:

$$M_k(\lambda) = \frac{\Delta_k(\lambda)}{\Delta_0(\lambda)},$$

where  $\Delta_k(\lambda)$  are the characteristic functions of the boundary value problems  $B_k$ . Zeros  $\Lambda_k := \{\lambda_{kn}\}_{n \geq 0}$  of the entire function  $\Delta_k(\lambda)$  are real and coincide with the eigenvalues of  $B_k$ . The characteristic function  $\Delta_k(\lambda)$  is uniquely determined by its zeros  $\Lambda_k$ . The specification of the Weyl vector  $M(\lambda)$  is equivalent to the specification of the spectra  $\Lambda_k$ ,  $k = \overline{0, p}$ . Thus, Inverse Problem 1 is equivalent to the Inverse Problem 2 of recovering  $\sigma$  from the system of spectra  $\Lambda_k$ ,  $k = \overline{0, p}$ . Inverse Problems 1 and 2 are a generalization of the classical inverse Sturm-Liouville problems on an interval (see [4]).

Let us formulate the uniqueness theorem for the solution of Inverse Problem 1. For this purpose together with  $\sigma$  we consider a potential  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . Everywhere below if a symbol  $\alpha$  denotes an object related to  $\sigma$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha}$  will denote the analogous object related to  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , and  $\hat{\alpha} := \alpha - \tilde{\alpha}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $M = \tilde{M}$ , then  $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}$ . Thus, the specification of the Weyl vector  $M$  uniquely determines the potential  $\sigma$  on  $T$ .*

This theorem will be proved in Section 5. Moreover, we will give there a constructive procedure for the solution of Inverse Problem 1. For this purpose we use the ideas of the method of spectral mappings [8].

### 3. Auxiliary propositions

Let  $\varphi_j(x, \lambda)$ ,  $\psi_j(x, \lambda)$  and  $s_j(x, \lambda)$  be the solutions of equation (1) on the edge  $e_j$  under the initial conditions

$$\varphi_j(0, \lambda) = \psi_j(1, \lambda) = s_j^{[1]}(0, \lambda) = 1, \quad \varphi_j^{[1]}(0, \lambda) = \psi_j^{[1]}(1, \lambda) = s_j(0, \lambda) = 0.$$

Let  $\lambda = \rho^2$ . The following asymptotical representations can be deduced directly from the results of [9-11]:

$$(5) \quad \varphi_j(x, \lambda) = \cos \rho x + \eta(x, \rho), \quad \varphi_j^{[1]}(x, \lambda) = -\rho \sin \rho x + \rho \eta(x, \rho),$$

$$(6) \quad \psi_j(x, \lambda) = \cos \rho(1-x) + \eta(1-x, \rho), \quad \psi_j^{[1]}(x, \lambda) = \rho \sin \rho(1-x) + \rho \eta(1-x, \rho),$$

Here and below the symbol  $\eta(x, \rho)$  denotes (various) functions which are entire in  $\rho$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and:

- 1)  $\eta(x, \rho) = o(\exp(x|\operatorname{Im}\rho|))$  for  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  and any fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ ;
- 2)  $\eta(x, \cdot) \in L_2(\gamma)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and real  $\tau$ , where  $\gamma = \gamma(\tau) := (-\infty + i\tau, +\infty + i\tau)$ ;
- 3)  $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) \in L_2[0, 1] \times \gamma$  and bounded uniformly on  $[0, 1] \times \gamma$  for any fixed real  $\tau$ .
- 4)  $\eta(x, \rho)$  depends continuously on the potential in the following sense: if  $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$  in  $L_2(T)$  then the corresponding  $\eta_n(x, \rho)$  converges to  $\eta(x, \rho)$  uniformly on  $[0, 1] \times \gamma$ , (for any fixed real  $\tau$ ), and

$$\max_{x \in [0, 1]} \|\eta_n(x, \cdot) - \eta(x, \cdot)\|_{L_2(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Throughout the paper we shall use the symbol  $\kappa(\rho)$  for (various) meromorphic functions with the following properties:

- 1) for any  $\delta > 0$ , one has  $\kappa(\rho) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \in G_\delta$ , where  $G_\delta = \{\rho : |\rho - n\pi/2| > \delta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ;
- 2)  $\kappa(\cdot) \in L_2(\gamma)$  for all  $\tau > \tau_0$ , where  $\tau_0$  may be different for different functions  $\kappa(\rho)$ ;
- 3)  $\kappa(\rho)$  depends continuously on  $\sigma(x)$  in the following sense: if  $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$  in  $L_2(T)$  then all the corresponding  $\kappa_n(\cdot) \in L_2(\gamma)$  and  $\kappa_n(\rho)$  converges to  $\kappa(\rho)$  in  $L_2(\gamma)$  and uniformly on  $\gamma$  for all  $\tau > \tau_0$ .

Also we shall use the notation  $[1] := 1 + \kappa(\rho)$ .

Using the properties of the solutions  $\varphi_j, \psi_j$ , mentioned above, one can deduce the following asymptotics

$$(7) \quad \varphi_j(1, \lambda) = \cos \rho[1], \quad \varphi_j^{[1]}(1, \lambda) = -\rho \sin \rho[1],$$

$$(8) \quad \psi_j(0, \lambda) = \cos \rho[1], \quad \psi_j^{[1]}(0, \lambda) = \rho \sin \rho[1],$$

LEMMA 1. *The following representations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{kk}(x, \lambda) &= \frac{1-p}{p} \frac{[1]}{\rho \cos \rho \sin \rho} \cos \rho x + \frac{[1]}{\rho \sin \rho} \cos \rho(1-x) \\ &\quad + o(\rho^{-1} \exp(-x|\operatorname{Im}\rho|)) + o(\rho^{-1} \exp((x-2)|\operatorname{Im}\rho|)), \\ \Phi_{kk}^{[1]}(x, \lambda) &= \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{[1]}{\cos \rho \sin \rho} \sin \rho x + \frac{[1]}{\sin \rho} \sin \rho(1-x) \\ &\quad + o(\exp(-x|\operatorname{Im}\rho|)) + o(\exp((x-2)|\operatorname{Im}\rho|)) \end{aligned}$$

for any fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \in G_\delta$ . Moreover,

$$M_k(\lambda) = \frac{1-p}{p} \frac{[1]}{\rho \sin \rho \cos \rho} + \frac{[1]}{\rho} \cot \rho.$$

PROOF. Using the fundamental system of solutions  $\varphi_k(x, \lambda)$ ,  $\psi_k(x, \lambda)$ , we get

$$(9) \quad \Phi_{kk}(x, \lambda) = \beta_k(\lambda)\varphi_k(x, \lambda) + \gamma_k(\lambda)\psi_k(x, \lambda),$$

where  $\beta_k(\lambda)$  and  $\gamma_k(\lambda)$  do not depend on  $x$ . In view of (4),  $\gamma_k(\lambda) = (U_k(\psi_k))^{-1}$ . Taking (8) into account we calculate

$$(10) \quad \gamma_k(\lambda) = (\rho \sin \rho)^{-1}[1].$$

Since  $\Phi_k$  satisfies the matching conditions (2)-(3) one has

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\Phi_{kj}^{[1]}(1, \lambda)}{\Phi_{kj}(1, \lambda)} = 0.$$

According to (4),  $\Phi_{kj}(x, \lambda)$  for  $j \neq k$  are proportional to  $\varphi_j(x, \lambda)$ , hence

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^p \frac{\Phi_{kj}^{[1]}(1, \lambda)}{\Phi_{kj}(1, \lambda)} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^p \frac{\varphi_j^{[1]}(1, \lambda)}{\varphi_j(1, \lambda)}.$$

By virtue of (7), this yields

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^p \frac{\Phi_{kj}^{[1]}(1, \lambda)}{\Phi_{kj}(1, \lambda)} = -(p-1)\rho \tan \rho [1].$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{\Phi_{kk}^{[1]}(1, \lambda)}{\Phi_{kk}(1, \lambda)} = \frac{\beta_k \varphi_k^{[1]}(1, \lambda)}{\beta_k \varphi_k(1, \lambda) + \gamma_k}.$$

Using (7) and (10) we calculate

$$(11) \quad \beta_k = \frac{1-p}{p} \frac{[1]}{\rho \sin \rho \cos \rho}.$$

Substituting (10) and (11) into (9) and using again the asymptotics (5)-(8) we arrive at the required representations.  $\square$

Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be two potentials. As in the classical case [4] we introduce the matrix

$$P^k(x, \lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_k(x, \lambda) & \Phi_{kk}(x, \lambda) \\ \varphi_k^{[1]}(x, \lambda) & \Phi_{kk}^{[1]}(x, \lambda) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) & \tilde{\Phi}_{kk}(x, \lambda) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_k^{[1]}(x, \lambda) & \tilde{\Phi}_{kk}^{[1]}(x, \lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

LEMMA 2. For any fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \in G_\delta$

$$P_{js}^k(x, \lambda) - \delta_{js} = o(1), \quad (j, s) \neq (2, 1),$$

$$P_{21}^k(x, \lambda) = o(\rho).$$

PROOF. Since  $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda), \tilde{\Phi}_{kk}(x, \lambda) \rangle = 1$  (where  $\langle y, z \rangle := yz^{[1]} - y^{[1]}z$ ) one has

$$\begin{aligned} P_{11}^k &= \varphi_k \tilde{\Phi}_{kk}^{[1]} - \Phi_{kk} \tilde{\varphi}_k^{[1]}, & P_{12}^k &= \Phi_{kk} \tilde{\varphi}_k - \varphi_k \tilde{\Phi}_{kk}, \\ P_{21}^k &= \varphi_k^{[1]} \tilde{\Phi}_{kk}^{[1]} - \Phi_{kk}^{[1]} \tilde{\varphi}_k^{[1]}, & P_{22}^k &= \tilde{\varphi}_k \Phi_{kk}^{[1]} - \varphi_k^{[1]} \tilde{\Phi}_{kk}. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion of lemma now follows directly from the asymptotics (5) and lemma 1.  $\square$

In the  $\rho$ -plane consider the contour  $\gamma = \gamma(\tau) := (-\infty + i\tau, +\infty + i\tau)$  where  $\tau > 0$  is such that  $\inf\{\Lambda_k \cup \tilde{\Lambda}_k\} > -\tau^2$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the contour in the  $\lambda$ -plane which is the image of  $\gamma$  under the mapping  $\lambda = \rho^2$ . Denote by  $D^+$  the image of the half-plane  $\{Im \rho > \tau\}$ , and  $D^- := \mathbf{C} \setminus D^+$ . Let  $C_N := \{|\lambda| = (N+1/4)^2\}$  and  $C_N^- := C_N \cap D^-$  be the contours with clockwise orientation. Denote  $\Gamma_N = \Gamma \cap \text{int } C_N$ ,  $\Gamma_N^- = \Gamma_N \cup C_N^-$ . Define the functions

$$D_k(x, \lambda, \mu) := \frac{\langle \varphi_k(x, \lambda), \varphi_k(x, \mu) \rangle}{\lambda - \mu} = \int_0^x \varphi_k(t, \lambda) \varphi_k(t, \mu) dt,$$

$$\tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) := \frac{\langle \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda), \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \mu) \rangle}{\lambda - \mu} = \int_0^x \tilde{\varphi}_k(t, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}_k(t, \mu) dt.$$

LEMMA 3. For all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda \in D^+$  the following relation holds

$$(12) \quad \varphi_k(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_N} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \varphi_k(x, \mu) d\mu.$$

PROOF. The asymptotics established in lemma 2 allow us to repeat the arguments from [4] that give the following relation

$$(13) \quad \varphi_k(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_N^-} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \varphi_k(x, \mu) d\mu + \varepsilon_N(x, \lambda),$$

where  $\varepsilon_N(x, \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . The functions  $\tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu)$  and  $\varphi_k(x, \mu)$  are bounded for fixed  $\lambda$  and  $\mu \in D^-$ . Since  $\hat{M}_k(\lambda) = \hat{\kappa}(\rho)\rho^{-1} = o(\rho^{-1})$ ,  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \in G_\delta$ , it follows that

$$\int_{C_N^-} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \varphi_k(x, \mu) d\mu \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking in (13) the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive at (12).  $\square$

Define

$$\tilde{r}_k(x, \rho, \theta) := \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \theta \hat{M}_k(\mu), \quad r_k(x, \rho, \theta) := D_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \theta \hat{M}_k(\mu), \quad \lambda = \rho^2, \quad \mu = \theta^2.$$

Everywhere below we assume that  $\tau$  in the contour  $\gamma = \gamma(\tau)$  is chosen such that  $\theta \hat{M}_k(\mu) \in L_2(\gamma)$ .

LEMMA 4. 1) For any  $\lambda \in \overline{D^+}$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\int_\gamma |D_k(x, \lambda, \theta^2)|^2 |d\theta| < C(x, \rho),$$

where  $C(x, \rho)$  is bounded uniformly on any compact set.

2) The following estimates hold

$$\int_\gamma \int_\gamma |r_k(x, \rho, \theta)|^2 |d\theta| |d\rho| < \infty, \quad \int_\gamma \int_\gamma |\tilde{r}_k(x, \rho, \theta)|^2 |d\theta| |d\rho| < \infty.$$

PROOF. 1) Using (5) we split  $D_k(x, \lambda, \mu)$  into four terms:

$$D_k(x, \lambda, \mu) = D_{k,11}(x, \lambda, \mu) + D_{k,12}(x, \lambda, \mu) + D_{k,21}(x, \lambda, \mu) + D_{k,22}(x, \lambda, \mu),$$

where

$$D_{k,11}(x, \lambda, \mu) = \int_0^x \cos \rho t \cos \theta t dt, \quad D_{k,12}(x, \lambda, \mu) = \int_0^x \cos \rho t \eta(t, \theta) dt,$$

$$D_{k,21}(x, \lambda, \mu) = \int_0^x \cos \theta t \eta(t, \rho) dt, \quad D_{k,22}(x, \lambda, \mu) = \int_0^x \eta(t, \rho) \eta(t, \theta) dt.$$

Direct calculations show

$$(14) \quad |D_{k,11}(x, \lambda, \mu)| < C(x) \exp((|Im\rho| + \tau)x) \left( \frac{1}{|\rho - \theta| + 1} + \frac{1}{|\rho + \theta| + 1} \right).$$

Using the Cauchy inequality we obtain

$$(15) \quad |D_{k,12}(x, \lambda, \mu)| \leq C_0(x, \rho) \|\eta(\cdot, \theta)\|,$$

$$(16) \quad |D_{k,22}(x, \lambda, \mu)| \leq \|\eta(\cdot, \rho)\| \|\eta(\cdot, \theta)\|.$$

Moreover, Parseval's identity gives

$$(17) \quad \int_{\gamma} |D_{k,21}(x, \lambda, \mu)|^2 |d\theta| = 2\pi \|\eta(\cdot, \rho)\|_{L_2[0,x]}^2.$$

Note that  $C_0(x, \rho) = \left\{ \int_0^x |\cos \rho t|^2 dt \right\}^{1/2}$  is bounded uniformly on any compact set. The first part of the lemma follows directly from (14)-(17).

2) It follows from (16), (17) and the relation  $\hat{M}_k(\mu) = o(\theta^{-1})$  (see Lemma 1) that

$$\int_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} |D_{k,2s}(x, \lambda, \mu) \theta \hat{M}_k(\mu)|^2 |d\theta| |d\rho| < \infty, \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Then (14) yields

$$\int_{\gamma} |D_{k,11}(x, \lambda, \mu)|^2 |d\rho| < C_1(x).$$

Taking  $\theta \hat{M}_k(\mu) = \kappa(\theta) \in L_2(\gamma)$  into account we conclude that

$$\int_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} |D_{k,11}(x, \lambda, \mu) \theta \hat{M}_k(\mu)|^2 |d\rho| |d\theta| < \infty.$$

Let us now return to  $D_{k,12}(x, \lambda, \mu)$ . From its definition and the Parseval's identity it follows that

$$\int_{\gamma} |D_{k,12}(x, \lambda, \mu)|^2 |d\rho| = 2\pi \|\eta(\cdot, \theta)\|_{L_2[0,x]}^2,$$

and as above we arrive at

$$\int_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} |D_{k,12}(x, \lambda, \mu) \theta \hat{M}_k(\mu)|^2 |d\rho| |d\theta| < \infty.$$

Lemma 4 is proved.  $\square$

#### 4. Local inverse problems

Fix  $k \in \overline{1, p-1}$ , and consider the following auxiliary inverse problem.

PROBLEM IP(k). Given  $M_k(\lambda)$ , construct  $\sigma_k(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Recall that the Weyl function  $M_k(\lambda)$  is determined in terms of boundary problems  $B_0$  and  $B_k$  which are considered on the whole tree T. This means that the problem IP(k) cannot be reduced to the inverse spectral problem on a finite segment in any sense. Nevertheless  $M_k(\lambda)$  is found to bring enough information for reconstructing the potential on the edge  $e_k$ .

THEOREM 2. If  $M_k = \tilde{M}_k$  then  $\sigma_k = \tilde{\sigma}_k$ .

PROOF. Consider again the matrix  $P^k(x, \lambda)$  introduced in previous section. Since, according to (4), we have  $\Phi_{kk}(\lambda) = s_k(x, \lambda) + M_k(\lambda)\varphi_k(x, \lambda)$ , the elements  $P_{1s}^k(x, \lambda)$  can be rewritten in the form:

$$P_{11}^k(x, \lambda) = \varphi_k(x, \lambda) \tilde{s}_k^{[1]}(x, \lambda) - s_k(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}_k^{[1]}(x, \lambda) - \hat{M}_k(\lambda) \varphi_k(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}_k^{[1]}(x, \lambda),$$

$$P_{12}^k(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) s_k(x, \lambda) - \tilde{s}_k(x, \lambda) \varphi_k(x, \lambda) + \hat{M}_k(\lambda) \varphi_k(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda).$$

If  $M_k = \tilde{M}_k$  then the functions  $P_{1s}^k(x, \lambda)$  are entire in  $\lambda$ . Using lemma 2 and Liouville's theorem we get  $P_{11}^k(x, \lambda) \equiv 1$ ,  $P_{12}^k(x, \lambda) \equiv 0$ . By virtue of definition of the matrix  $P^k(x, \lambda)$  this yields  $\varphi_k(x, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda)$  and, consequently,  $\sigma_k = \tilde{\sigma}_k$ .  $\square$

Our next goal is a constructive procedure for solving the problem IP(k). Let the Weyl function  $M_k(\lambda)$  be given. Take a potential  $\tilde{\sigma} = 0$ , and consider relation (12). We are going to transfer (12) to an equation in the Hilbert space  $L_2(\gamma)$ . For this purpose we first split the integral term into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_N} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \varphi_k(x, \mu) d\mu &= \int_{\Gamma_N} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \hat{\varphi}_k(x, \mu) d\mu \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_N} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \mu) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_N} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \hat{\varphi}_k(x, \mu) d\mu = \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \hat{\varphi}_k(x, \mu) d\mu,$$

and by virtue of the first part of Lemma 4, the integral in the right hand side converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to  $\lambda$  on any compact subset of  $\overline{D^+}$ . Then take the limit in (12) as  $\lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$ , and define

$$\Psi_k(x, \rho) := \varphi_k(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda),$$

$$(18) \quad \tilde{F}_k(x, \rho) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_N} \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_k(\mu) \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \mu) d\mu, \quad \lambda = \rho^2.$$

It follows from (5) that  $\Psi_k(x, \cdot) \in L_2(\gamma)$ . Making the change of variables  $\mu = \theta^2$  and using the notation  $\tilde{r}_k(x, \rho, \theta)$  defined above we arrive at the relation

$$(19) \quad \Psi_k(x, \rho) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \tilde{r}_k(x, \rho, \theta) \Psi_k(x, \theta) d\theta + \tilde{F}_k(x, \rho).$$

For each fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ , relation (19) can be considered as a linear integral equation in  $L_2(\gamma)$  with respect to  $\Psi_k(x, \rho)$ . Equation (19) is called the *main equation* for IP(k). It is convenient for us to rewrite the main equation in the operator form. For each fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we define the linear operators  $\tilde{H}_k(x)$  and  $H_k(x)$  in  $L_2(\gamma)$  as follows:

$$\tilde{H}_k(x)f(\rho) := \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \tilde{r}_k(x, \rho, \theta) f(\theta) d\theta, \quad H_k(x)f(\rho) := \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} r_k(x, \rho, \theta) f(\theta) d\theta.$$

Then the main equation becomes

$$(20) \quad \Psi_k(x) = \tilde{H}_k(x)\Psi_k(x) + \tilde{F}_k(x).$$

By virtue of the second part of Lemma 4,  $\tilde{H}_k(x)$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator in  $L_2(\gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *For each fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ , equation (20) is uniquely solvable in  $L_2(\gamma)$ .*

**PROOF.** Using estimates from lemma 2 and repeating the arguments from [4] we obtain for any  $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{D^+}$ :

$$D_k(x, \lambda, \mu) - \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_N^-} \hat{M}_k(\xi) \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \xi) D_k(x, \xi, \mu) d\xi + \varepsilon_N^1(x, \lambda, \mu),$$

where  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_N^1(x, \lambda, \mu) = 0$ . Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2 one gets

$$D_k(x, \lambda, \mu) - \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \hat{M}_k(\xi) \tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \xi) D_k(x, \xi, \mu) d\xi.$$

By virtue of Lemmas 1 and 3 the integral in the right-hand side converges absolutely and uniformly in  $\lambda, \mu$  on any compact subsets of  $\Gamma$ . Make the change of variables  $\xi = \zeta^2$  in the integral term and multiply both sides by  $\theta \hat{M}_k(\mu)$ . This yields

$$r_k(x, \rho, \theta) - \tilde{r}_k(x, \rho, \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \tilde{r}_k(x, \rho, \zeta) r_k(x, \zeta, \theta) d\zeta,$$

which is equivalent to the operator relation

$$(E - \tilde{H}_k(x))(E + H_k(x)) = E,$$

where  $E$  is the identity operator. Interchange the places for  $\sigma$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and repeating the arguments above we obtain

$$(E + H_k(x))(E - \tilde{H}_k(x)) = E.$$

Thus, the operator  $(E - \tilde{H}_k(x))$  is invertible, and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

Using the solution  $\Psi_k(x, \rho)$  of the main equation, one can calculate the function  $\varphi_k(x, \lambda)$  and then construct  $\sigma_k(x)$ , according to the next theorem.

**THEOREM 4.** *The solution  $\sigma_k(x)$  of the Problem IP(k) can be found by the formula*

$$(21) \quad \sigma_k(x) = -m_k(x) - \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \hat{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) \hat{M}_k(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

where

$$(22) \quad m_k(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_N} \rho \hat{M}_k(\rho^2) \cos 2\rho x d\rho.$$

**PROOF.** In view of (5) and Lemma 1, the integral in the right-hand side in (21) converges absolutely and uniformly for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . The function  $m_k(x)$ , defined in (22) via the cosine Fourier transform of the function  $\rho \hat{M}_k(\rho^2) \in L_2(\gamma)$ , is  $L_2[0, 1]$ -function. Hence, the right-hand side in (21) is defined correctly as a function  $\sigma_k^*(x) \in L_2[0, 1]$ . Our goal now is to prove that  $\sigma_k^*(x) = \sigma_k(x)$ .

Consider sequences  $\{\sigma_{nj}\}_{n=1, \infty}$ ,  $j \in \overline{1, p}$  such that  $\sigma_{nj}(x) \in W_2^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\sigma_{nj} \rightarrow \sigma_j$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $L_2(T)$  and  $\sigma_{nj}(0) = 0$ . Then (see [17])  $\sigma_{nj}(x)$  is given by (21), and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^*(x) - \sigma_{nk}(x) &= m_k^{(n)}(x) - m_k(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) \left( \hat{\varphi}_k^{(n)}(x, \lambda) \hat{M}_k^{(n)}(\lambda) - \hat{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) \hat{M}_k(\lambda) \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

(Here if a symbol  $\alpha$  denotes an object related to  $\{\sigma_j\}$ , then  $\alpha^{(n)}$  denotes the analogous object related to  $\{\sigma_{nj}\}$ ). One can rewrite this relation as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^*(x) - \sigma_{n,k}(x) &= m_k^{(n)}(x) - m_k(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) \hat{M}_k(\lambda) \left( \hat{\varphi}_k^{(n)}(x, \lambda) - \hat{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) \right) d\lambda + \\ &\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) \hat{\varphi}_k^{(n)}(x, \lambda) \left( \hat{M}_k^{(n)}(\lambda) - \hat{M}_k(\lambda) \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (5) and Lemma 1, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_k^* - \sigma_{n,k}\| &< \|m_k^{(n)} - m_k\| \\ &+ C \left( \max_{x \in [0, 1]} \|\varphi_k^{(n)}(x, \rho^2) - \varphi_k(x, \rho^2)\|_{L_2(\gamma)} + \|\hat{M}_k^{(n)} - \hat{M}_k\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using again (5), Lemma 1 and the continuity of the Fourier transform we deduce that  $\|\sigma_k^* - \sigma_{n,k}\| \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\sigma_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n,k}(x)$  in  $L_2[0, 1]$ , we arrive at the required identity  $\sigma_k^*(x) = \sigma_k(x)$ .  $\square$

Thus, the solution of the auxiliary problem IP(k) can be constructed by the following algorithm.

**Algorithm 1.** Given  $M_k(\lambda)$ .

- 1) Take  $\tilde{\sigma} = 0$  and calculate  $\tilde{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda)$ ,  $\tilde{M}_k(\lambda)$ ,  $\tilde{D}_k(x, \lambda, \mu)$  and  $\tilde{r}_k(x, \rho, \theta)$ .
- 2) Construct  $\tilde{F}_k(x, \rho)$  by (18).
- 3) Find  $\Psi_k(x, \rho)$  by solving the main equation (19) for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ .
- 4) Construct  $\sigma_k(x)$  using (21), (22) where  $\hat{\varphi}_k(x, \lambda) = \Psi_k(x, \rho)$ .

### 5. Solution of Inverse Problem 1

Algorithm 1 described in the previous section allows us to reconstruct the potentials  $\sigma_k(x)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, p-1}$  from the given Weyl vector  $M(\lambda)$ . The final step in solving the Inverse Problem 1 is to reconstruct the potential  $\sigma_p(x)$ . For this purpose we shall formulate and solve some inverse spectral problem on the remaining edge  $e_p$ .

Define  $\Phi_0(x, \lambda)$  as the solution of the following boundary value problem:

$$(23) \quad \ell_p \Phi_0 = \lambda \Phi_0, \quad \Phi_0^{[1]}(1, \lambda) = 1, \quad U_1(\Phi_0) = 0,$$

and let  $M_0(\lambda) := -\Phi_0(1, \lambda)$ .

PROBLEM IP(0). Given  $M_0(\lambda)$  construct  $\sigma_p(x)$ .

It is clear that the problem IP(0) can be treated in the same way as the problem IP(k). The analogous considerations show that it has a unique solution and can be solved using the following algorithm.

ALGORITHM 2. Given  $M_0(\lambda)$ .

- 1) Calculate  $\hat{M}_0(\lambda) := M_0(\lambda) - \rho^{-1} \cot \rho$ ,  $\tilde{D}_0(x, \lambda, \mu) := \int_0^x \cos \rho t \cos \theta t \, dt$ ,

$$\tilde{F}_0(x, \rho) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_N} \tilde{D}_0(x, \lambda, \mu) \hat{M}_0(\mu) \tilde{\varphi}_0(x, \mu) d\mu, \quad \lambda = \rho^2, \mu = \theta^2.$$

- 2) Find  $\Psi_0(x, \rho)$  by solving for each  $x \in [0, 1]$  the main equation (19) with  $k = 0$ .

- 3) Construct  $\sigma_p(x)$  by the formulae

$$-\sigma_p(1-x) = -m_0(x) - \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \Psi_0(x, \rho) \cos \rho x \hat{M}_0(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

$$m_0(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_N} \rho \hat{M}_0(\rho^2) \cos 2\rho x \, d\rho.$$

Now we show how to obtain the input data for the Problem IP(0) from  $\sigma_k(x)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, p-1}$ . It follows from (23) and (4) that  $\Phi_0(x, \lambda) = \alpha_{0k}(\lambda) \Phi_{kp}(x, \lambda)$  for any  $k \in \overline{1, p-1}$ . This yields

$$(24) \quad M_0(\lambda) = -\frac{\Phi_0(1, \lambda)}{\Phi_0^{[1]}(1, \lambda)} = -\frac{\Phi_{kp}(1, \lambda)}{\Phi_{kp}^{[1]}(1, \lambda)}.$$

In order to calculate the right-hand side of (24) we use the matching conditions (2), (3). Recalling that for  $j \neq k$  the function  $\Phi_{kj}(x, \lambda)$  is proportional to  $\varphi_j(x, \lambda)$ , we obtain from (2):

$$(25) \quad \Phi_{kj}(x, \lambda) = \frac{\Phi_{kk}(1, \lambda)}{\varphi_j(1, \lambda)} \varphi_j(x, \lambda), \quad j \in \overline{1, p-1} \setminus k,$$

$$(26) \quad \Phi_{kp}(1, \lambda) = \Phi_{kk}(1, \lambda),$$

where  $k \in \overline{1, p-1}$  is arbitrarily fixed. Analogously (3) gives

$$(27) \quad \Phi_{kp}^{[1]}(1, \lambda) = - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \Phi_{kj}^{[1]}(1, \lambda).$$

Relations (24)-(27) allow us to find  $M_0(\lambda)$  in terms of the known  $\sigma_k(x)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, p-1}$ .

The considerations above show that the solution of Inverse Problem 1 is uniquely determined, and Theorem 1 is proved. The constructive procedure for solving the problem is described in the following algorithm.

ALGORITHM 3. Given the Weyl vector  $M(\lambda)$ .

- 1) For each fixed  $k = \overline{1, p-1}$ , construct  $\sigma_k(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ , by solving the inverse problem IP(k) according to Algorithm 1.
- 2) Calculate  $\varphi_k(x, \lambda)$ ,  $\Phi_{kk}(x, \lambda)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, p-1}$ , as the corresponding solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation on the edge  $e_k$  with the known potential  $\sigma_k(x)$ .
- 3) Fix  $k = \overline{1, p-1}$ . For  $j = \overline{1, p-1} \setminus k$ , find  $\Phi_{kj}(x, \lambda)$  from (25).
- 4) Calculate  $\Phi_{kp}(1, \lambda)$  and  $\Phi_{kp}^{[1]}(1, \lambda)$  via (26), (27).
- 5) Find  $M_0(\lambda)$  using (24).
- 6) Construct  $\sigma_p(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$  by solving the problem IP(0) according to Algorithm 2.

## References

- [1] V.A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville operators and their applications*, "Naukova Dumka", Kiev, 1977; English transl., Birkhäuser, 1986.
- [2] B.M. Levitan, *Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1984; English transl., VNU Sci.Press, Utrecht, 1987.
- [3] J. Pöschel and E. Trubowitz, *Inverse Spectral Theory*, New York, Academic Press, 1987.
- [4] G. Freiling and V.A. Yurko, *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems and their Applications*, NOVA Science Publishers, New York, 2001.
- [5] K.Chadan, D. Colton, L. Paivarinta and W. Rundell, *An introduction to inverse scattering and inverse spectral problems*, SIAM Monographs on Mathematical Modeling and Computation. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1997.
- [6] R. Beals, P. Deift and C. Tomei, *Direct and Inverse Scattering on the Line*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, v.28. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence: RI, 1988.
- [7] V.A. Yurko, *Inverse Spectral Problems for Differential Operators and their Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [8] V.A. Yurko, *Method of Spectral Mappings in the Inverse Problem Theory*, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series. VSP, Utrecht, 2002.
- [9] R.O. Hryniv and Ya.V. Mykytyuk, *Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials*, Inverse Problems **19** (2003), 665-684.
- [10] R.O. Hryniv and Ya.V. Mykytyuk, *Transformation operators for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials*, Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry **7** (2004), 119-149.
- [11] A.A. Shkalikov and A.M. Savchuk, *Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials*, Math. Notes **66** (2003), 741-753.
- [12] Yu.V. Pokorniy and A.V. Borovskikh, *Differential equations on networks (geometric graphs)*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **119**, (2004), 691-718.

- [13] Yu. Pokornyi and V. Pryadiev, *The qualitative Sturm-Liouville theory on spatial networks*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **119** (2004), 788-835.
- [14] N. Gerasimenko, *Inverse scattering problem on a noncompact graph*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **74** (1988), no. 2, 187-200 (Russian); English transl. in Theor. Math. Phys. 75 (1988), 460-470.
- [15] M.I. Belishev, *Boundary spectral inverse problem on a class of graphs (trees) by the BC method*, Inverse Problems **20** (2004), 647-672.
- [16] V.A. Yurko, *Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on graphs*, Inverse Problems **21** (2005), 1075-1086.
- [17] V.A. Yurko, *On recovering Sturm-Liouville operators on graphs*, Matem. Zametki **79**, no.4 (2006), 619-630 (Russian); English transl. in Math. Notes **79**, no.4 (2006), 572-582.
- [18] G. Freiling and V.A. Yurko, *Inverse problems for differential operators on trees with general matching conditions*, Applicable Analysis **86**, no.6 (2007), 653-667.
- [19] B.M. Levitan and I.S. Sargsyan, *Introduction to Spectral Theory*, AMS Transl. of Math. Monogr. 39, Providence, 1975.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, CAMPUS DUISBURG, D-47048 DUISBURG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* [gerhard.freiling@uni-due.de](mailto:gerhard.freiling@uni-due.de)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SARATOV STATE UNIVERSITY, ASTRAKHANSKAYA 83, SARATOV 410026, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* [ignatievmu@info.sgu.ru](mailto:ignatievmu@info.sgu.ru)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SARATOV STATE UNIVERSITY, ASTRAKHANSKAYA 83, SARATOV 410026, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* [yurkova@info.sgu.ru](mailto:yurkova@info.sgu.ru)