

# Sufficient conditions for the existence of open loop Nash and Stackelberg strategies \*

G. FREILING and Seung-Rae LEE

*Universität Duisburg Gesamthochschule, D-47048 Duisburg - Germany,  
email: freiling@math.uni-duisburg.de*

G. JANK

*Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik - RWTH Aachen, D-52056 Aachen - Germany,  
email: jank@math2.rwth-aachen.de*

A.V. Sarychev

*Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810 Aveiro, Portugal  
e-mail: ansar@mat.ua.pt*

The main object of this note is to derive sufficient conditions for the existence of the solutions of coupled Riccati-type differential equations appearing in linear quadratic differential games. For convenience we confine here to the two-player case. A detailed discussion of these differential games can be found in the book of Basar and Olsder [3]. We consider two-player linear quadratic differential games

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax + B_1 u_1 + B_2 u_2; \quad x(0) = x_0 \\ x &\in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad u_i \in \mathbf{R}^{r_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

with cost functionals

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(u_1, u_2) &= \frac{1}{2} x_f^T K_{1f} x_f + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} (x^T Q_1 x + u_1^T R_{11} u_1 + u_2^T R_{12} u_2) d\tau, \\ J_2(u_1, u_2) &= \frac{1}{2} x_f^T K_{2f} x_f + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} (x^T Q_2 x + u_2^T R_{22} u_2 + u_1^T R_{21} u_1) d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where all matrices  $K_{if}, Q_i, R_{ij}$  are symmetric with  $R_{ii} > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$  and  $x_f = x(t_f)$ . Here we are interested in equilibrium solutions of the differential game of the form

$$u_1^*(t) = -R_{11}^{-1} B_1^T K_1(t) \Phi(t, 0) x_0,$$

---

\*This report was supported by CRUP and DAAD (Acções Integradas Luso-Alemas)

$$u_2^*(t) = -R_{22}^{-1}B_2^T K_2(t)\Phi(t, 0)x_0, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\Phi(t, 0)$  is the transition matrix of the corresponding closed loop system, i.e.

$$\dot{\Phi}(t, 0) = (A - S_{11}K_1(t) - S_{22}K_2(t))\Phi(t, 0), \quad \Phi(t, t) = I_n. \quad (1.4)$$

with  $S_{ij} = B_i R_{ii}^{-1} R_{ij} R_{ii}^{-1} B_j^T$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ .

The functions  $K_i$  appearing in (1.3) are solutions of coupled systems of Riccati-type matrix differential equations. The form and type of these differential equations depend essentially on the information available to each player and on the strategies used; in this paper it is assumed that both players are using open loop strategies. We further assume that  $A$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $R_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ ,  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  are time independent.

- a) **Open loop Nash strategies.** The pair  $(u_1^*, u_2^*)$  is called *Nash (equilibrium) strategy* if

$$J_1(u_1^*, u_2^*) \leq J_1(u_1, u_2^*)$$

and

$$J_2(u_1^*, u_2^*) \leq J_2(u_1^*, u_2)$$

(1.5)

for all admissible strategies  $u_1, u_2$ .

It is known (see [3], section 6.5 and also [5]) that for the existence of an (open loop) Nash strategy of the form (1.3) it is necessary and sufficient that the functions  $K_i$  appearing in (1.3) are on  $[0, t_f]$  solutions of the terminal value problem

$$\dot{K}_1 = -A^T K_1 - K_1 A - Q_1 + K_1 S_{11} K_1 + K_1 S_{22} K_2; \quad K_1(t_f) = K_{1f} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\dot{K}_2 = -A^T K_2 - K_2 A - Q_2 + K_2 S_{22} K_2 + K_2 S_{11} K_1; \quad K_2(t_f) = K_{2f}.$$

It is obvious that  $K_1(t)$  and  $K_2(t)$  are defined in  $[t_f - \delta; t_f]$  for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  but up to now in the literature there are no nice (i.e. easily checkable) conditions ensuring the existence of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  on  $[0, t_f]$ .

- b) **Open loop Stackelberg strategies.** If player 2 is allowed to select his strategy first, he is called the leader, and player 1 who selects his strategy second is called the follower. A *Stackelberg strategy* is the optimal strategy for the leader under the assumption that the follower reacts by playing optimally (see Simaan and Cruz [8] for details). In this case the functions  $K_1, K_2$  determining the Stackelberg strategies (1.3) are determined by (see [8], [4], [3]) the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{K}_1 &= -A^T K_1 - K_1 A - Q_1 + K_1 S_{11} K_1 + K_1 S_{22} K_2; \quad K_1(t_f) = K_{1f}; \\ \dot{K}_2 &= -A^T K_2 - K_2 A - Q_2 + Q_1 P + K_2 S_{11} K_1 + K_2 S_{22} K_2; \quad K_2(t_f) = K_{2f} - K_{1f} P(t_f); \\ \dot{P} &= AP - PA + P S_{11} K_1 + P S_{22} K_2 - S_{21} K_1 + S_{11} K_2; \quad P(0) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

c) **The corresponding linear systems.** It is well known (see [2], [1]) that (1.6) and (1.8) are intimately related to the following linear systems:

If  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $X, Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is a matrix solution of the terminal value problem

$$Y' = H_{Na}Y =: \begin{pmatrix} A & -S_{11} & -S_{22} \\ -Q_1 & -A^T & 0 \\ -Q_2 & 0 & -A^T \end{pmatrix} Y, \quad Y(t_f) = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ K_{1f} \\ K_{2f} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.9)$$

and if  $\det X(t) \neq 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq t_f$ , then  $K_1 := Y_1 X^{-1}$ ,  $K_2 := Y_2 X^{-1}$  defines the solution of (1.6); i.e. if  $K_1, K_2$  do not blow up as long as  $X(t)$  is regular. A similar relationship holds between the solutions of (1.8) and of the boundary value problem

$$\dot{Z} = H_{St}Z =: \begin{pmatrix} A & -S_{11} & -S_{22} & 0 \\ -Q_1 & -A^T & 0 & 0 \\ -Q_2 & 0 & -A^T & Q_1 \\ 0 & -S_{21} & S_{11} & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.10)$$

$$X(t_f) = I, \quad Y_1(t_f) = K_{1f}, \quad Y_2(t_f) = K_{2f} - K_{1f}Y_3(t_f), \quad Y_3(0) = 0.$$

## 2 Existence of Nash strategies

In this section we assume that  $Q_1 = 0$ .

In order to derive sufficient conditions for the existence of the solutions  $K_i$  of (1.6) on  $[0, t_f]$  we extend the matrix  $H_{Na}$  of the corresponding linear system to an  $4n \times 4n$  hamiltonian matrix

$$H_{Na}^e := \begin{pmatrix} A & -S_{11} & -S_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & -A^T & 0 & 0 \\ -Q_2 & 0 & -A^T & 0 \\ 0 & -Q_0 & S_{11} & A \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & -\tilde{S} \\ -\tilde{Q} & -\tilde{A}^T \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

with  $Q_0 \geq 0$  and we use the properties of the standard Riccati differential equation

$$\dot{K} = -\tilde{A}^T K - K \tilde{A} - \tilde{Q} + K \tilde{S} K, \quad K(t_f) = K_f. \quad (2.2)$$

From the theory of symmetric Riccati equations (see [9], Theorem 2.1 and [6]) we infer :

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{S} \geq 0$ , then the solution of (2.2) exists if either  $K_f \geq 0$  or if  $K_f \geq K_m$ ; where  $K_m$  is an arbitrary symmetric solution of the algebraic Riccati equation corresponding to (2.2).*

Using  $n \times n$  matrices  $X_i^0, Y_i^0$  we set

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ X_1^0 & I \\ X_2^0 & Y_2^0 \\ X_3^0 & Y_3^0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{4n \times 2n}.$$

If  $Y_2^0$  is an element of

$$L := \{Y \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n} | S_{22}(A^T)^j Y = -S_{11}(A^T)^j \quad \forall j \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}\} \quad (2.3)$$

then we infer from

$$(H_{Na}^e)^j M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} * & -S_{11}(A^T)^{j-1} - S_{22}(A^T)^{j-1}Y_2^0 \\ * & (-A^T)^j \\ * & (-A^T)^j Y_2^0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \\ * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

that

$$e^{H_{Na}^e t} M_0 =: \begin{pmatrix} U(t) & 0 \\ X_1(t) & Y_1(t) \\ X_2(t) & Y_2(t) \\ X_3(t) & Y_3(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{S} \geq 0$  and let  $Y_2^0 \in L \neq \emptyset$ .

If

$$K_f = \begin{pmatrix} X_2^0 - Y_2^0 X_1^0 & Y_2^0 \\ X_3^0 - Y_3^0 X_1^0 & Y_3^0 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \quad (2.5)$$

(or  $K_f \geq K_m$ ) then the solution of the open loop Nash Riccati equation in (1.6) with  $K_1(t_f) = X_1^0$ ,  $K_2(t_f) = X_2^0$  exists for  $t \leq t_f$ .

*Proof.* Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 it follows from Lemma 2.1 and (2.4) that  $\det \begin{pmatrix} U(t) & 0 \\ X_1(t) & Y_1(t) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$  for  $t \leq t_f$ . Consequently we have  $\det U(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \leq t_f$  and the solutions  $K_i(t) = X_i(t)U(t)^{-1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ , of our terminal value problem cannot blow up.  $\square$

*Remark 2.3* The matrices  $Y_2^0 \in L$ ,  $Y_3^0$  and  $X_3^0$  appearing in (2.5) are parameters which we try to choose such that (for given  $X_1^0, X_2^0$ )  $K_f \geq 0$  (or  $K_f \geq K_m$ ).

If for example  $X_1^0 = 0$ ,  $X_2^0 > 0$  and  $Y_2^0 \in L \neq \emptyset$ , then we choose for example  $X_3^0 = (Y_2^0)^T$  and  $Y_3^0 = \alpha I$ ; in this case (2.5) is obviously fulfilled for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ .

*Example 2.4* Let  $Q_1 = 0$  and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, S_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{16}{5} & \frac{-2}{5} \\ \frac{-2}{5} & \frac{19}{5} \end{pmatrix}, S_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{26}{5} & \frac{-2}{5} \\ \frac{-2}{5} & \frac{29}{5} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we choose  $S_0 = Q_0 = 0$  and

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{-46}{75} & \frac{2}{75} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{75} & \frac{-49}{75} \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{121}{75} & \frac{-2}{75} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-2}{75} & \frac{173}{150} \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ K_{1f} & I \\ K_{2f} & L_{1f} \\ P_f & L_{2f} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } K_f = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{167}{150} & \frac{-2}{75} & \frac{-46}{75} & \frac{2}{75} \\ \frac{-2}{75} & \frac{74}{75} & \frac{2}{75} & \frac{-49}{75} \\ \frac{-46}{75} & \frac{2}{75} & \frac{121}{75} & \frac{-2}{75} \\ \frac{2}{75} & \frac{-49}{75} & \frac{-2}{75} & \frac{173}{150} \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive definite and Theorem 2.2 implies that the solution of the terminal value problem (1.6) exists for  $t \leq t_f$ .

### 3 Existence of solutions of Stackelberg differential equations.

In this section we use the same idea as in section 2 in order to derive sufficient conditions for the existence of the solution of the coupled system of differential equations in (1.8) satisfying certain terminal conditions in  $t = t_f$  or the boundary conditions given in (1.8).

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $Q_1 = K_{1f} = 0$ ,  $Q_2 \geq 0$ ;  $K_{2f} \geq 0$  and  $L \neq \emptyset$  (see (2.3)). Then the solution of the terminal value problem (1.8) exists for  $t \leq t_f$ ; this implies a Stackelberg equilibrium is defined by (1.3).*

*Proof.* Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 the system in (1.8) is partially decoupled, since in this case  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are independent of  $P$  and are solutions of a terminal value problem of open loop Nash type; according to remark 2.3 under our assumptions these solutions cannot blow up for  $t \leq t_f$ . Consequently  $P$  is solution of a linear differential equations (with variable coefficients depending on  $K_1(t), K_2(t)$ ) and exists at least for  $t \in (-\infty, t_f]$ ,  $\square$

If we do not want to use the restrictive assumption that  $Q_1 = K_{1f} = 0$ , we can apply the method of section 2 in order to prove the following existence theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $\tilde{Q}$  and  $\tilde{S}$  be defined as in (2.1) with  $Q_0 := S_{21}$  and let  $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{S} \geq 0$  and  $Y_2^0 \in L \neq \emptyset$ . If the matrices  $Y_2^0$  and  $Y_3^0$  can be chosen such that the solution of*

$$\dot{K} = -\tilde{A}^T K - K \tilde{A} - \tilde{Q} + K \tilde{S} K, \quad K(t_f) = \begin{pmatrix} X_2^0 - Y_2^0 X_1^0 & Y_2^0 \\ X_3^0 - Y_3^0 X_1^0 & Y_3^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*exists for  $t \leq t_f$  (for example, if  $K(t_f) \geq 0$ ), then the solution of (1.8) with  $K_1(t_f) = X_1^0$ ,  $K_2(t_f) = X_2^0$  and  $P(t_f) = X_3^0$  exists for  $t \leq t_f$ .*

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 and is omitted.

*Example 3.3* Let  $Q_1 := 0$ ,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $S_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$S_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{4}{3} \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . If we have the terminal values  $X_1^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  
 $X_2^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $X_3^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , then we have

$Y_2^0 := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \in L \neq \emptyset$  and the matrix  $K_f = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{11}{2} & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{9}{2} & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{2} & 0 & \frac{15}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & 0 & \frac{7}{2} \end{pmatrix}$  is

positive definite. Consequently Theorem 3.1 implies that the solution of the terminal value problem (1.8) exists for  $t \leq t_f$ .

## References

- [1] Abou-Kandil, H., G. Freiling and G. Jank, *Asymptotic Behaviour of Leader-Follower Control: Application to Flexible Structures*, In: Proceedings of **ECC 93**, Nieuwenhuis et al. (Eds.), Groningen, Netherlands, 1014-1019 (1995).
- [2] Abou-Kandil, H., G. Freiling and G. Jank, *Necessary conditions for constant solutions of coupled Riccati equations in Nash games*, Systems & Control Letters **21**, 295-306 (1993).
- [3] Basar, T. and G. J. Olsder, *Dynamic Noncooperative Game Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1995.
- [4] Chen, C. T. and J.B. Cruz Jr., *Stackelberg solution for two-person games with biased information patterns*, IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, **AC.17**, 791-797 (1972).
- [5] Engwerda, J. C. and A. J. T. M. Weeren, *The open-loop Nash equilibrium in LQ-games revisited*. CentER discussion paper 9551, Tilburg University, Netherlands, 1995.
- [6] Freiling, G., G. Jank and H. Abou-Kandil. Generalized Riccati differential and difference equations. Linear Alg. and its Appl., to appear 1996.
- [7] Medanic, J. and D. Radojevic, *Multilevel Stackelberg strategies in linear-quadratic systems*, J. Opt. Th. and Appl. **24**, 485-479, (1987).
- [8] Simaan, M. and J.B. Cruz Jr., *On the Stackelberg strategy in nonzero-sum games*, J. Opt. Th. Appl., **11**, 533-555 (1973).
- [9] Wonham, W. M., *On a matrix Riccati equation of stochastic control*. SIAM J. Contr. **6**, 681-697, (1968).