

SURFACE SINGULARITIES DOMINATED BY SMOOTH VARIETIES

HÉLÈNE ESNAULT AND ECKART VIEHWEG

ABSTRACT. We give a version in characteristic $p > 0$ of Mumford's theorem characterizing a smooth complex germ of surface (X, x) by the triviality of the topological fundamental group of $U = X \setminus \{x\}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, x) be a 2-dimensional normal complex analytic germ. Let $U = X \setminus \{x\}$. Mumford ([12]) showed the celebrated theorem

Theorem 1.1 (Mumford). *(X, x) is smooth if and only if the topological fundamental group of U is trivial.*

This is a remarkable theorem which connects a topological notion to a scheme-theoretic one. His theorem has been a bit refined by Flenner [7] who showed that in fact, the conclusion remains true if one replaces the topological by the étale fundamental group of U , that is by its profinite completion. Then one can replace the analytic germ by a complete or henselian germ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0.

If k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, Mumford himself observed that the theorem is no longer true. As an example, while in characteristic 0, the singularity $z^2 + xy$ is the quotient of $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2$, the completion of \mathbb{A}^2 at the origin, by the group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting via $\text{diag}(-1, -1)$, in characteristic 2, it is the quotient of $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2$ by $\mu_2 = \text{Spec } k[t]/(t^2 - 1)$ acting via $\text{diag}(t, t)$. Thus $\pi^{\text{et}}(U) = \pi^{\text{et}}(\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \setminus \{0\}) = 0$, yet $z^2 + xy$ is not smooth.

Artin asked in [3] whether, if $\pi^{\text{et}}(U)$ is finite, there is always a finite morphism $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow X$. He shows this if (X, x) is a rational double point *loc.cit.*

The purpose of this note is to give an answer to a similar question where one replaces the étale fundamental group by the Nori one. Strictly speaking, Nori in [13, Chapter II] defined his fundamental group-scheme for irreducible reduced schemes endowed with a rational point. But as U has no rational point, one has to modify a tiny bit Nori's construction to make it work. This is done in subsection 2.2. While the étale fundamental group of X is trivial, Nori's one

Date: January 29, 2010.

Partially supported by the DFG Leibniz Preis, the SFB/TR45, the ERC Advanced Grant 226257.

isn't. So the right notion of Nori's fundamental group is a relative one denoted by $\pi_{\text{loc}}(U, X, x)$ (see Lemma 2.5). Roughly speaking, it measures the torsors on U under a finite flat k -group-scheme G which do not come by restriction from a torsor on X . We show (Theorem 4.2) that if $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x)$ is finite, then (X, x) is a rational singularity, and if $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x) = 0$, then there is a finite morphism $f : \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow X$.

This note relies on discussions the authors had during the Christmas break 2009/10 in Ivry. They have been written down by H el ene in the night when Eckart died, as a despaired sign of love.

2. LOCAL NORI FUNDAMENTAL GROUPSCHEME

2.1. Nori's construction. Let U be a scheme defined over a field k , endowed with a rational point $u \in U(k)$. In [13, Chapter II] Nori constructed the fundamental group-scheme $\pi^N(U, u)$. Let $\mathcal{C}(U, u)$ be the following category. The objects are triples $(h : V \rightarrow U, G, v)$ where G is a finite k -group-scheme, h is a G -principal bundle and $v \in V(k)$ with $h(v) = u$. Recall [13, Chapter I,2.2] that a G -principal bundle $h : V \rightarrow U$ is a flat morphism, together with a group action $G \times_k V \xrightarrow{\bullet} V$ such that $V \times_k G \xrightarrow{(1, \bullet)} V \times_U V$ is an isomorphism. Then $\text{Hom}((h_1 : V_1 \rightarrow U, G_1, v_1), (h_2 : V_2 \rightarrow U, G_2, v_2))$ consists of the U -morphisms $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ which are compatible with the principal bundle structure.

The objects of the ind-category $\mathcal{C}^{\text{ind}}(U, u)$ associated to $\mathcal{C}(U, u)$ are triples $(h : V \rightarrow U, G, v)$ where $G = \varprojlim_{\alpha} G_{\alpha}$ is a prosystem of finite k -group-schemes G_{α} , $h = \varprojlim_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} : V_{\alpha} \rightarrow U$, is a pro- G -principal bundle and $v = \varprojlim_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} \in Y(k)$ is a pro-point with $h(v) = u$. The morphisms are the ind-morphisms $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ over U which are compatible with the principal bundle structure and such that $f(v_1) = v_2$.

Then (U, u) has a fundamental group-scheme $\pi^N(U, u)$, which is then a k -profinite group-scheme, if by definition [13, Chapter II, Definition 1] there is a $(\mathfrak{h} : W \rightarrow U, \pi^N(U, u), w) \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{ind}}(U, u)$ with the property that for any $(h : V \rightarrow U, G, v) \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{ind}}(U, u)$, there is a unique map $(\mathfrak{h} : W \rightarrow U, \pi^N(U, u), w) \rightarrow (h : V \rightarrow U, G, v)$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\text{ind}}(U, u)$.

Nori shows [13, Chapter II, Lemma 1] that if G_1, G_2, G_0 are three finite k -group-schemes, $h_i : V_i \rightarrow U$ are G_i -principal bundles, and $f_i : V_i \rightarrow V_0, i = 1, 2$ are principal bundle U -morphisms, then $V_1 \times_{V_0} V_2 \rightarrow Z$ is a principal bundle under $G_1 \times_{G_0} G_2$, where $Z \subset U$ is a closed subscheme (no reference to the base point here). Then he shows that (U, u) has a fundamental group-scheme if and only if $Z = U$ for all $(h_i : V_i \rightarrow U, G_i, y_i), f_i \in \mathcal{C}(U, u)$ and he concludes [13, Chapter II, Proposition 2] that if U is reduced and irreducible, then (U, u) has a fundamental group-scheme.

2.2. Local Nori fundamental group-scheme. Let k be a field, let A be a complete normal local k -algebra with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field k . We

define $X = \text{Spec } A$ and $U = X \setminus \{x\}$, where $x \in X(k)$ is the rational point associated to \mathfrak{m} . So in particular, $U(k) = \emptyset$, and we have to slightly modify Nori's construction to define the group-scheme of U .

Let G be a finite k -group-scheme, and let $h : V \rightarrow U$ be a G -principal bundle. Recall from [15, Corollaire 6.3.2, Proposition 6.3.4] that the *integral closure* $\tilde{h} : Y \rightarrow X$ of h is the *unique* extension $\tilde{h} : Y \rightarrow X$ of h such that $Y = \text{Spec } B$, B is the integral closure of A in $j_* h_* \mathcal{O}_V$, where $j : U \rightarrow X$ is the open embedding. Then \tilde{h} is finite. In particular, if $h_i : V_i \rightarrow U$ are principal bundles under the finite k -group-schemes G_i , and $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is a U -morphism which respects the principal bundle structures, then it extends uniquely to a X -morphism $\tilde{f} : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$, which is then finite. We can now mimic Nori's construction.

Definition 2.1. The objects of the category $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(U, x)$ are triples $(h : V \rightarrow U, G, y)$ where G is a finite k -group-scheme, $y \in Y(k)$ with $\tilde{h}(y) = x$, where $\tilde{h} : Y \rightarrow X$ is the integral closure of h . The morphisms $\text{Hom}((h_1 : V_1 \rightarrow U, G_1, y_1) \rightarrow (h_2 : V_2 \rightarrow U, G_2, y_2))$ consist of U -morphisms $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ which respect the principal bundle structure and such that $\tilde{f}(y_1) = y_2$.

The objects of the ind-category $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{ind}}(U, x)$ associated to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(U, x)$ are triples $(h : V \rightarrow U, G, y)$ where $G = \varprojlim_{\alpha} G_{\alpha}$ is a pro-system of finite k -group-schemes, $h = \varprojlim_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}$, $h_{\alpha} : V_{\alpha} \rightarrow U$, is a pro- G -principal bundle, and $y = \varprojlim_{\alpha} y_{\alpha} \in \varprojlim_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}(k)$ is a pro-point in the integral closure of V_{α} mapping to x .

One says that (U, x) has a *local fundamental group-scheme* $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, x)$, which is then a k -profinite group-scheme, if there is a $(\mathfrak{h} : W \rightarrow U, \pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, x), z) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{ind}}(U, x)$ with the property that for any $(h : V \rightarrow U, G, v) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{ind}}(U, x)$, there is a unique map $(\mathfrak{h} : W \rightarrow U, \pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, x), z) \rightarrow (h : V \rightarrow U, G, v)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{ind}}(U, x)$.

Proposition 2.2. *If X is reduced and irreducible, then (U, x) has a local fundamental group-scheme $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, x)$.*

Proof. As explained above, the condition on X implies that if $f_i : (h_i : V_i \rightarrow U, G_i, y_i) \rightarrow (h_0 : V_0 \rightarrow U, G_0, y_0)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(U, x)$, then $(V_1 \times_{V_0} V_2 \rightarrow U, G_1 \times_{G_0} G_2, y_1 \times_{y_0} y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(U, x)$, so as in [13, Chapter II, p.87], the prosystem $\varprojlim_{\alpha} (h_{\alpha} : V_{\alpha} \rightarrow U, G_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha})$ over all objects $(h_{\alpha} : V_{\alpha} \rightarrow U, G_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha})$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(U, x)$ is well defined. So $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, x) = \varprojlim_{\alpha} G_{\alpha}$. \square

There is a restriction functor $\rho : \mathcal{C}(X, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(U, x)$ which sends $(h : Y \rightarrow X, G, y)$ to its restriction $(h_U : Y \times_X U \rightarrow U, G, y)$, as the integral closure of X in $Y \times_X U$ is Y . This defines the k -group-scheme homomorphism

$$\rho_* : \pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, x) \rightarrow \pi^N(X, x).$$

Proposition 2.3. *The homomorphism ρ is faithfully flat.*

Proof. Faithful flatness of ρ means that if $(h : Y \rightarrow X, G, y) \in \mathcal{C}(X, x)$ is such that $(Y_U \rightarrow U, G, y) \rightarrow (U, \{1\}, x)$ factors through $(\ell : V \rightarrow U, H, y) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(U, x)$, where

$Y_U = Y \times_X U$, then necessarily $(\ell : V \rightarrow U, H, y) = \rho(\ell_X : Z \rightarrow X, H, y)$ for some $(\ell_X : Z \rightarrow X, H, y) \in \mathcal{C}(X, x)$. Let $K = \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow H)$. Since K is a k -subgroup-scheme of G , it acts on Y . We define Z to be Y/K . By definition, $Z_U = V$. The compositum $h : Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X$ is a G -torsor. The embedding $Y \times_Z Y \subset Y \times_X Y$ is closed, and while restricted to U , it is described as $Y_U \times_k K \subset Y_U \times_k G$. Thus $Y \times_Z Y$ contains the closure of $Y_U \times_k K$ in $Y \times_k G$, that is $Y \times_k K$. Thus $Y \times_k K$ consists of connected components of $Y \times_Z Y$ and moreover, if there is another connected component, it lies in $\{y\} \times_Z Y = \text{Spec } k$. Thus $Y \times_Z Y \cong_k Y \times_k K$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$ is a K -torsor. This finishes the proof. \square

We denote by $\pi^{\text{et}}(U, x)$ the étale proquotient of $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, x)$. From now on, we assume $k = \bar{k}$. Then $\pi^{\text{et}}(U, x)$ is identified with $\pi^{\text{et}}(U, \eta)$ where $\eta \rightarrow U$ is a geometric generic point and $\pi^{\text{et}}(U, \eta)$ is Grothendieck's étale fundamental group. The étale proquotient of $\pi^N(X, x)$ is identified with Grothendieck's fundamental group based at x , and is trivial by Hensel's lemma, as A is complete. If ℓ is a prime number (including p), we denote by $\pi^{\text{et, ab, } \ell}(U, x)$ the maximal pro- ℓ -abelian quotient of $\pi^{\text{et}}(U, x)$.

Definition 2.4. One defines $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x) = \text{Ker}(\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, x) \xrightarrow{\rho} \pi^N(X, x))$.

From the discussion, we see

Lemma 2.5. *The compositum $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x) \rightarrow \pi^{\text{et}}(U, x)$ is surjective. In particular, if $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x)$ is a finite k -group-scheme, $\pi^{\text{et}}(U, x)$ is a finite group.*

3. CONSTRUCTION AND ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF THE PICARD SCHEME FOR SURFACE SINGULARITIES

Let k be a field, perfect if of characteristic $p > 0$, let A be a complete normal local k -algebra with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , $X = \text{Spec } A$ and $U = X \setminus \{x\}$, where $x \in X(k)$ is the rational point associated to \mathfrak{m} . In [16, Exposé XIII, Section 5] Grothendieck initiated the construction of a pro-system of locally algebraic k -group-schemes G_n and a canonical isomorphism $G(k) = \text{Pic}(U)$ with $G(k) = \varprojlim_n G_n(k)$. This construction is performed in [11] (see overview in [9, p. 273]) and relies on Mumford's basic idea [12, Section 2] to use a desingularization of X , if it exists, so in characteristic 0 or if $\dim_k X \leq 2$ if k has characteristic $p > 0$. We now summarize the construction and the elementary properties under the assumptions

- 1) X is normal
- 2) $\dim_k X = 2$.

Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization such that $\sigma^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}} = \cup_i D_i$ is a strict normal crossings divisor and all components D_i are k -rational. There is linear combination $D = \sum_i m_i D_i$ with all $m_i \geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-D)$ is relatively ample. We define \tilde{X}_n to be scheme $\cup_i D_i$ with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}/\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-(n+1)D)$, so

$\tilde{X}_0 = D$, and we also define D_{red} with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}/\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\sum_i D_i)$. Then the functors $\mathcal{P}ic(\tilde{X}_n/k)$ and $\mathcal{P}ic(D_{\text{red}}/k)$, taken as a Zariski, an étale or a fppf functor, are representable by locally algebraic k -group-schemes $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n/k)$ and $\text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}}/k)$, so $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n) = \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n/k)(k)$, $\text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}}) = \text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}}/k)(k)$ (see [9, p. 273], [11, Theorem 1.2]). On the other hand, for all $n \geq 0$, and all k -algebras R , one has $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n \otimes_k R) = H^1(\tilde{X}_n \otimes_k R, \mathcal{O}^\times)$. As the relative dimension of σ is 1, this implies that the transition homomorphisms $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_0) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})$ are all surjective, and that $\text{Ker}(\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n)) = H^1(\tilde{X}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_0}(-(n+1)D))$. Since $-D$ is a relatively ample divisor on \tilde{X} , there is a $n_0 \geq 0$ such that the transition homomorphisms $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{n_0})$ are all constant for $n \geq n_0$. Since the 1-component $\text{Pic}^0(D_{\text{red}})$ of $\text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})$ is a semiabelian variety, so in particular smooth, and the fibers $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})$ are affine [14, p. 9, Corollaire], $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{n_0})$ is smooth. One defines

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) = \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{n_0}).$$

It is thus a locally algebraic smooth k -group-scheme. It is an extension of $\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}[D_i]$ by its 1-component. Its 1-component $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X}) \subset \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ is an extension of a semiabelian variety by smooth, connected commutative unipotent algebraic group over k .

Let $\langle D \rangle \subset \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ be the subgroup-scheme spanned by those divisors with support in D . (In fact, $\langle D \rangle$ injects into $\text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})$ via the surjection $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})$). It is a discrete subgroup-scheme. One sets

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Pic}(U) = \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})/\langle D \rangle.$$

The Zariski tangent space at 1 is

$$(3.3) \quad H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = H^1(\tilde{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_n}) = \text{Ker}(\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n[\epsilon]) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_n))$$

for $n \geq n_0$, where $\tilde{X}_n[\epsilon] := \tilde{X}_n \times_k k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$. Since $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ is smooth,

$$(3.4) \quad \dim_k H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = \dim \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X}) = \text{Pic}^0(U).$$

The last equality comes from the fact that $\langle D \rangle \subset \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ is a discrete étale subgroup.

Recall that the surface singularity (X, x) is said to be *rational* if $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$. The definition does not depend on the choice of the resolution $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of singularities of (X, x) .

One has

Lemma 3.1. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- 1) *The surface singularity (X, x) is rational.*
- 2) $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X}) = 0$.
- 3) $\text{Pic}(U)$ is finite.

Proof. The equivalence of 1) and 2) is given by (3.4). As $\langle D \rangle \subset \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ is discrete, the definition (3.2) shows that 3) implies 2). Vice-versa, assume 2) holds. Then $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ is a discrete group of finite type. Let $L \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$. Since the intersection matrix $(D_i \cdot D_j)$ is negative definite (but not necessarily unimodular), there is a $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $L^{\otimes m} \in \langle D \rangle \subset \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$. Thus any $L \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ has finite order in $\text{Pic}(U)$. Since $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ is of finite type, this shows 3). \square

4. THE THEOREMS

Throughout this section, we assume k to be a field, perfect if of characteristic $p > 0$, A to be a complete normal local k -algebra with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , of Krull dimension 2 over k . We set $X = \text{Spec } A$, $U = X \setminus \{x\}$, where $x \in X(k)$ is the rational point associated to \mathfrak{m} . We say (X, x) is a *surface singularity* over k . We denote by $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ a desingularization such that $\sigma^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}} = \cup_i D_i$ is a strict normal crossings divisor. We define $H^i(Z, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) := \varprojlim_n H^i(Z, \mu_{\ell^n})$ for a k -scheme Z .

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, x) be a surface singularity over an algebraically closed field k . The following conditions are equivalent*

- 1) $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = 0$.
- 2) $H^1(\tilde{U}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = 0$.
- 3) *There is a prime number ℓ , different from p if $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, such that $\pi^{\text{et,ab},\ell}(U, x)$ is finite.*
- 4) *For all prime numbers ℓ , $\pi^{\text{et,ab},\ell}(U, x)$ is finite and if $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, then $\pi^{\text{et,ab},\ell}(U, x) = 0$.*
- 5) $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X}) = \text{Pic}^0(U)$ *is a smooth, connected commutative unipotent algebraic group-scheme over k .*
- 6) D *is a tree of \mathbb{P}^1 s.*
- 7) $\text{Pic}^0(D_{\text{red}}) = 0$.

Proof. We first make general remarks. For any surface singularity, one has the localization sequence

$$(4.1) \quad H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^2_{D_{\text{red}}}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^2(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^3_{D_{\text{red}}}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)).$$

By purity [8, Theorem 2.1.1], the restriction map $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ is injective, and $H^2_{D_{\text{red}}}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_\ell \cdot [D_i]$. By base change, $H^i(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = H^i(D_{\text{red}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$. Thus this group is 0 for $i \geq 3$, equal to $\oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_\ell \cdot [D_i]$ for $i = 2$, and equal to $\text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})[\ell]$ for $i = 1$. In fact, since $H^2(D_{\text{red}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ is torsion free, one has $\text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})[\ell] = \text{Pic}^0(D_{\text{red}})[\ell]$, where 0 means of degree 0 on each component D_i . Furthermore, by definition, the map $\oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_\ell \cdot [D_i] \rightarrow \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_\ell \cdot [D_i]$ is defined by $[D_i] \mapsto \oplus_j \text{deg } \mathcal{O}_{D_j}(D_i)$. Since the intersection matrix is definite, the map is injective,

with finite torsion cokernel \mathcal{T} . (This cokernel is 0 if and only if the intersection matrix is unimodular). Again by purity, $H_{D_{\text{red}}}^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \subset \bigoplus_i H^1(D_i^0, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ where $D_i^0 = D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} D_i \cap D_j$. In particular, $H_{D_{\text{red}}}^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ is torsion free. So we extract from (4.1) for any surface singularity the relations

$$(4.2) \quad H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = \text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})[\ell] = \text{Pic}^0(D_{\text{red}})[\ell]$$

and an exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow H^2(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H_{D_{\text{red}}}^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow 0$$

with finite \mathcal{T} and torsion free $H_{D_{\text{red}}}^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$. As $\text{Pic}^0(D_{\text{red}})$ is a semiabelian variety, we see that (4.2) implies that 1), 2) and 7) are equivalent conditions.

From the exact sequence

$$(4.4) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{\text{red}}}^\times \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{D_i}^\times \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j} k_{D_i \cap D_j}^\times \rightarrow 1$$

one has that 6) and 7) are equivalent. Furthermore, from the structure of $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ explained in section 3, one has that 5) is equivalent to 7).

We show that 2) is equivalent to 3). The condition 2) implies that $H^1(U, \mu_{\ell^n}) \subset \mathcal{T}$ for all $n \geq 0$, thus there are finitely many μ_{ℓ^n} torsors on U . This shows 2) implies 3). On the other hand, if $\text{Pic}^0(D_{\text{red}})$ is not trivial, then $\text{Pic}(D_{\text{red}})[\ell]$ contains \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . Thus $H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ contains \mathbb{Z}_ℓ as well by (4.2). Thus 3) implies 2).

Since obviously 4) implies 3), it remains to see that 3) implies 4). We assume 3). For any commutative finite k -group-scheme G , with Cartier dual $G' = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$, one has the exact sequence

$$(4.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(X, G') \rightarrow H^1(U, G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \text{Pic}(U)) \rightarrow 0.$$

(See [5, III, Théorème 4.1] and [5, III, Corollaire 4.9] for the 0 on the right, which we will use only on the proof of Theorem 4.2, as $k = \bar{k}$). We apply it for $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Since $\text{Pic}(U)$ is an extension of a discrete (étale) group by $\text{Pic}^0(U)$ which is a product of \mathbb{G}_a s by 5), one has $\text{Hom}(\mu_{p^n}, \text{Pic}(U)) = 0$. On the other hand, $A \xrightarrow{x \mapsto (x^{p^n} - x)}$ A is surjective, as A is complete. Thus $H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) = H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) = 0$. This shows that 3) implies 4) and finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, x) be a surface singularity over an algebraically closed field k .*

- 1) *If $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x)$ is a finite group-scheme, (X, x) is a rational singularity, in particular the dualizing sheaf ω_U has finite order.*
- 2) *If in addition, the order of ω_U is prime to p , then there is $(h : V \rightarrow U, \pi^N(U, x), y) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(U, x)$ such that the surface singularity (Y, y) of the integral closure $\tilde{h} : Y \rightarrow X$ is a rational double point.*
- 3) *If $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x) = 0$, then (X, x) is a rational double point.*

Proof. We show 1). If $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x)$ is a finite group-scheme, then, by Lemma 2.5, the condition 3) of Theorem 4.1 is fulfilled, thus $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X}) = \text{Pic}^0(U)$ is a product of \mathbb{G}_a s. We apply (4.5) to $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^n$. If $\text{Pic}^0(U)$ is not trivial, then $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \text{Pic}(U)) \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Thus U admits nontrivial μ_{p^n} -torsors for all $n \geq 1$, which do not come from X . This contradicts the finiteness of $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x)$. Thus $\text{Pic}^0(U) = \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X}) = 0$. We apply Lemma 3.1 to finish conclude that (X, x) is a rational singularity. Again by Lemma 3.1, all line bundles on U , in particular the dualizing sheaf ω_U of U , is torsion. This proves 1).

We show 2). So there is a $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\omega_U^M \cong \mathcal{O}_U$. Choosing such a trivialization yields an \mathcal{O}_U -algebra structure on $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_0^{M-1} \omega_U^i$ and thus a flat nontrivial μ_M -torsor $h : V = \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow U$. Since $(M, p) = 1$, h is étale, thus (Y, y) is normal. In fact one has $Y = \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{B} is the \mathcal{O}_X -algebra $j_* \mathcal{A}$, $j : U \subset X$. By duality theory, $h_* \omega_Y = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(h_* \mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_X) \cong_{\mathcal{O}_X} h_* \mathcal{O}_Y$. Let $y \in Y$ be the closed point of Y . Thus (Y, y) is a Gorenstein normal surface singularity. On the other hand, since h is a μ_M -torsor, one has $\pi^N(V, y) \subset \pi^N(U, x)$, thus $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(V, Y, y) \subset \pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x)$, and therefore is a finite k -group-scheme. Thus by 1) it is a rational singularity. Thus (Y, y) is a Gorenstein rational singularity, thus is a rational double point ([6]).

Now 3) follows directly from 2) as ω_U has then order 1. □

We now refer to [3, Section 3] for the notation, and we go to Artin's list [3, Section 4/5] to conclude using Theorem 4.2 3):

Corollary 4.3. *If $\pi_{\text{loc}}^N(U, X, x) = 0$, then X admits a finite morphism $f : \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2 \rightarrow X$. The morphism f is the identity (i.e. (X, x) is smooth) except possibly in the cases:*

- 1) $\text{char}(k) = 2$, E_8^1, E_8^3
- 2) $\text{char}(k) = 3$, E_8^1

REFERENCES

- [1] Artin, M.: *On Isolated Rational Singularities of Surfaces*, Am. J. Math. **88** 1 (1966), 129–136.
- [2] Artin, M.: *Lifting of Two-Dimensional Singularities to Characteristic Zero*, Am. J. Math. **88** 4 (1966), 747–762.
- [3] Artin, M.: *Covering of the Rational Double Points in Characteristic $p > 0$* , Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, 11–22. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977.
- [4] Artin, M., Verdier, J.-L.: *Reflexive Modules over Rational Double Points*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), 79–82.
- [5] Boutot, J.-F.: *Schéma de Picard Local*, Springer Lecture Notes **632** (1978).
- [6] Durfee, A.: *Fifteen characterizations of rational double points and simple critical points*, Enseign. Math. (2) **25** (1979), no. 1-2, 131–163.
- [7] Flenner, H.: *Reine lokale Ringe der Dimension zwei*, Math. Ann. **216** (1975), 253–263.

- [8] Fujiwara, K.: *A Proof of the Absolute Purity Conjecture (after Gabber)*, in Algebraic Geometry 2000, Azumino, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **36** (2002), Mathematical Society of Japan, 153-183.
- [9] Kleiman, S.: *The Picard scheme*, in Fundamental Algebraic Geometry, Grothendieck's FGA explained, AMS Math. Surveys and Monographs **123** (2005), 235-322.
- [10] Lipman, J.: *Rational singularities with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*, Publ. Math. de l'I.H.É.S **36** (1969), 195-279.
- [11] Lipman, J.: *Picard group of a scheme over an Artin ring*, Publ. Math. de l'I.H.É.S **46** (1976), 15-86.
- [12] Mumford, D.: *The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity*, Publ. Math. de l'I.H.É.S **9** (1961), 5-22.
- [13] Nori, M.: *The Fundamental Group-Scheme*, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sc. Math. Sci., **91** no.2 (1982), 73-122.
- [14] Oort, F.: *Sur le schéma de Picard*, Bull. S.M.F. **90** (1962), 1-14.
- [15] EGAI: *Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*, Publ. I.H.É.S. **8** (1961), 5-222.
- [16] SGAI: *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux*.

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, MATHEMATIK, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `esnault@uni-due.de`

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, MATHEMATIK, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `viehweg@uni-due.de`