

# A Framework for Generating Diverse Haskell-I/O Exercise Tasks

Oliver Westphal

*University of Duisburg-Essen*

# Handwritten Task

```
{- Write a program which first reads a positive
 - integer n from the console, and then reads n
 - integers one after the other and finally outputs
 - their sum.
-}
main :: IO ()
main = undefined
```

# Fixed Verbal Task Descriptions

```
{- Give the output of the following
- program for input 9!
-}
main :: IO ()
main = do
  v <- readLn
  let loop 1 = print 1
      loop x = do
        print x
        if even x
          then loop (x `div` 2)
          else loop (x + 1)
  loop v
```

Program trace: ?9 !9 !10 !5 !6 !3 !4 !2 !1 stop

# Fixed Verbal Task Descriptions

```
{- Give the output of the following
 - program for input 9!
 -}

main :: IO ()
main = do
  v <- readLn
  let loop x
    | x < 4 = print x
    | odd x = do
        print x
        loop (x+3)
    | otherwise = do
        print x
        loop (x `div` 4)
  loop v
```

Program trace: ?9 !12 !3 stop

# Why Haskell-I/O Tasks?

# Specification Language [TFPIE 2019]

- ▶ **Read** values, storing them in history-valued *variables*
- ▶ **Output** result of computation over *variables*
- ▶ Access *variables* as **complete list** or **last read value**
- ▶ Basic **branching** and **iteration**

$$[\triangleright n]^{\mathbb{N}} \cdot ([\triangleright x]^{\mathbb{Z}} \angle \text{len}(x_A) = n \triangleright E) \rightarrow^E \cdot [\text{sum}(x_A) \triangleright]$$

↑

“Read a positive integer  $n$  from the console, and then read  $n$  integers one after the other and finally output their sum.”

# Specifications in Haskell

$$[\triangleright n]^{\mathbb{N}} \cdot ([\triangleright x]^{\mathbb{Z}} \triangleleft \text{len}(x_A) = n_C \Delta \mathbf{E})^{\rightarrow^{\mathbf{E}}} \cdot [\text{sum}(x_A) \triangleright]$$

$\Updownarrow$

```
example :: Specification
example =
  readInput "n" nats <>
  tillExit (
    branch (length (getAll "x") == getCurrent "n")
    (readInput "x" ints)
    exit
  ) <>
  writeOutput [var o] [sum (getAll "x")]
```

Implementation probabilistically checks student programs against specifications

# Task Generation

# Automatic Task Generation

Two components for a task:

- ▶ Goal or solution requirement
- ▶ Description communicating that goal

**How to generate both automatically?**

# Automatic Task Generation

Two components for a task:

- ▶ Goal or solution requirement
- ▶ Description communicating that goal

## How to generate both automatically?

- ▶ Specification language to express requirements
- ▶ Ideally: Generate verbal description from specification
- ▶ Here: Communicate requirements through generated program code or example behavior

# Code as Description

## Advantages:

- ▶ More precise than verbal description
- ▶ Easily understandable if kept simple
- ▶ Automatic generation & transformation possible

## Disadvantages:

- ▶ Might already be a valid solution
- ▶ Knowledge of respective programming language required
- ▶ No tasks with only verbal description

# Task from Example Behavior

```
{- Write a program capable of these interactions:  
- ?0 !0 stop  
- ?1 ?-3 !-3 stop  
- ?2 ?1 ?5 !6 stop  
- ?2 ?10 ?10 !20 stop  
- ?2 ?-3 ?-2 !-5 stop  
-}  
main :: IO ()  
main = undefined
```

# Behavior as Description

Advantages:

- ▶ No leaking of program structure

Disadvantages:

- ▶ No longer requires exact behavior of specification
- ▶ Hard-coding cases possible
- ▶ Might include (or not include) corner cases

# Basic Task Recipe

1. Take a base specification
2. Derive artifacts:
  - ▶ Program representation(s)
  - ▶ Execution traces
3. Build a question/task description from these artifacts

*Solution candidates are also automatically checkable!*

# Task Types

- ▶ Three types of tasks possible:
  - ▶ make a decision (given both code and behavior)
  - ▶ give behavior (given a program)
  - ▶ write a program (given behavior or another program)
- ▶ Roughly corresponding to program-reading, -understanding and -writing abilities

# An EDSL for Task Generation

# Basic Setup

```
data TaskInstance s = TaskInstance
{ question :: Description
, requires :: Require s }

newtype Require s = Require
{ check :: s -> Property }

data TaskDesign p s = TaskDesign
{ parameter :: Gen p
, inst :: p -> Gen (TaskInstance s) }

genTaskInstance :: TaskDesign p s -> Gen (TaskInstance s)
genTaskInstance task = do
  p <- parameter task
  inst task p
```

# Combinators

```
forFixed :: p -> (p -> Gen (TaskInstance s))
             -> TaskDesign p s
forFixed p = TaskDesign (pure p)

forUnknown :: Gen p -> (p -> Gen (TaskInstance s))
             -> TaskDesign p s
forUnknown g i = TaskDesign g i

solveWith :: Description -> Require s -> TaskInstance s
solveWith d r = TaskInstance d r

exactAnswer :: (Eq a, Show a) => a -> Require a
exactAnswer x = Require $ \s -> s === x
```

# Primitives for I/O Tasks

Given from specification language's implementation:

```
fulfills :: Program -> Specification -> Property
accept :: Specification -> Trace -> Bool
```

Task goals:

```
randomSpecification :: Gen Specification
similarSpecifications :: Gen (Specification, Specification)
```

Requirements:

```
behavior :: Specification -> Require Program
sampleTrace :: Specification -> Require Trace
triggeringDifference :: Specification -> Specification
-> Require [Input]
```

# Primitives for I/O Tasks

For descriptions:

```
type Code = Description

haskellProgram :: Specification -> Gen Code
pythonProgram :: Specification -> Gen Code
exampleTraces :: Specification -> Int -> Gen [Trace]
multipleChoice :: Show a => Int -> [a] -> [a]
                  -> Gen (Description, [Int])
```

# Primitives for I/O Tasks

For descriptions:

```
type Code = Description

haskellProgram :: Specification -> Gen Code
pythonProgram :: Specification -> Gen Code
exampleTraces :: Specification -> Int -> Gen [Trace]
multipleChoice :: Show a => Int -> [a] -> [a]
                  -> Gen (Description, [Int])
```

## DISCLAIMER!

- ▶ Implementation of these primitives is still work in progress
- ▶ A basic prototype exists
- ▶ Needs a solid foundation and to be scaled up
- ▶ Hence, focus on possibilities in task design

# Examples

# Write A Program - Design

```
task :: TaskDesign Specification Program
task = forUnknown randomSpecification $ \s -> do
  prog <- pythonProgram s
  return $
    ("Re-implement the given Python program in Haskell:"
    $$ prog
    ) `solveWith` behavior s
```

# Write A Program - Instance

```
n = int(input())
x = []
while len(x) != n :      randomized
    v = int(input())
    x += [v]
print(sum(x))
```

-- Re-implement the given Python program in Haskell.

```
main :: IO ()
main = undefined
```

# Make a Decision - Design

```
task :: TaskDesign (Specification, Specification) [Int]
task = forUnknown similarSpecifications $  
  \ (spec1, spec2) -> do  
    p <- haskellProgram spec1  
    ts1 <- exampleTraces spec1 2  
    ts2 <- exampleTraces spec2 2  
    (choices, solution) <- multipleChoice 3 ts1 ts2  
    return $  
      ("Which of these traces can this program produce?"  
       $$ p  
       $$ choices  
      ) `solveWith` exactAnswer solution
```

# Make a Decision - Instance

```
-- Which of the given traces can the program below produce?  
-- 1) ?"-6" ?"-9" ?"10" ?"-3" ?"7" !"-1" stop  
-- 2) ?"3" ?"-3" ?"-2" ?"6" !"1" stop  
-- 3) ?"1" ?"-6" !"6" stop
```

randomized

```
prog :: IO ()  
prog = do  
    n <- readLn  
    let loop1 x1 =  
        if (length x1 == n)  
            then do return x1  
        else do  
            v1 <- readLn  
            loop1 (x1 ++ [v1])  
    x3 <- loop1 []  
    print (sum x3)
```

# Give Execution Traces - Design

```
task :: TaskDesign (Specification, Specification) [Input]
task = forUnknown similarSpecifications $
  \ (spec1, spec2) -> do
    p1 <- haskellProgram spec1
    p2 <- haskellProgram spec2
    return $
      ("Give a sequence of input values for which the two"
       ++ "programs below behave differently!"
       $$ p1
       $$ "---"
       $$ p2
      ) `solveWith` triggeringDifference spec1 spec2
```

# Give Execution Traces - Instance

*{- Give a sequence of input values for which the two  
- programs below behave differently.  
- }*

```
prog1 :: IO ()  
prog1 = do  
  n <- readLn  
  let loop x =  
    if (length x == n)  
      then do return x  
    else do  
      v <- readLn  
      loop (x ++ [v])  
  y <- loop []  
  print (sum y)
```

randomized

```
prog2 :: IO ()  
prog2 = do  
  let loop x l =  
    if (l == 5)  
      then do return x  
    else do  
      v <- readLn  
      loop (x ++ [v])  
      (l + 1)  
  y <- loop [] 0  
  print (sum y)
```

randomized

# Conclusion & Future Work

- ▶ Lots of interesting ideas for exercise tasks based on program code and/or example behavior
- ▶ Tasks differ from (traditional) handwritten tasks
- ▶ Variety depends on generating interesting specifications/programs/examples
- ▶ Different task types need to be evaluated with regard to usefulness to students

# Conclusion & Future Work

- ▶ Lots of interesting ideas for exercise tasks based on program code and/or example behavior
- ▶ Tasks differ from (traditional) handwritten tasks
- ▶ Variety depends on generating interesting specifications/programs/examples
- ▶ Different task types need to be evaluated with regard to usefulness to students
- ▶ Source-code and examples:  
<https://github.com/fmidue/IOTasks>
- ▶ Demo (hand-written tasks):  
<https://autotool.fmi.iw.uni-due.de/spec-demo>