Least-Squares Spectral Collocation for the Navier–Stokes Equations

by

Wilhelm Heinrichs
Universität Duisburg-Essen, Ingenieurmathematik
Universitätsstr. 3, D-45117 Essen, Germany
E-Mail: wheinric@ing-math.uni-essen.de

Abstract

A least-squares spectral collocation formulation for the Navier-Stokes problem is presented. By this new approach the well known *Babuška – Brezzi* condition can be avoided. Here we are able to employ polynomials of the same degree both for the velocity components and for the pressure. The collocation conditions and the boundary conditions lead to a overdetermined system which can be efficiently solved by least-squares. The solution technique will only involve symmetric positive definite linear systems. The numerical simulations confirm the usual exponential rate of convergence for the spectral scheme.

AMS(MOS) classification: 65N35

Keywords: Least-squares, spectral collocation, Navier-Stokes equations.

1 Introduction

Spectral methods (see, e.g., Canuto et al. [3] or Gottlieb and Orszag [9], [18]) employ global polynomials for the discretization of the Stokes problems. Hence they give very accurate approximations for smooth solutions with relatively few degrees of freedom. For analytical data exponential convergence can be achieved. For the Stokes problem the velocity and the pressure cannot be approximated independently due to the well known $Babuška - Brezzi$ condition. If the velocity and the pressure are approximated by polynomials of the same degree eight spurious modes are introduced which lead to an instable system (see Bernardi, Canuto and Maday [1]). A well-known compatible approximating velocity-pressure pair is the so-called $\mathbb{IP}_N \times \mathbb{IP}_{N-2}$ formulation of Bernardi, Maday [2] and Rønquist [20]. Heinrichs [11] employed this technique for the splitting of the Stokes equations. Here the velocity components are approximated by polynomials in $\mathbb{IP}_N$ and the pressure by two degrees lower order polynomials in $\mathbb{IP}_{N-2}$. The resulting discrete system constitutes a saddle point problem which is difficult to solve numerically. Least-squares techniques offer theoretical and numerical advantages over the classical methods. In particular, the least-squares formulation of the Stokes [6, 15, 16] and Navier-Stokes equations [14, 17] leads to symmetric and positive definite algebraic systems which circumvent the LBB stability condition. Furthermore it has the following advantages:

- equal order interpolation polynomials can be employed
- iterative solvers for positive definite systems (e.g. conjugate gradient methods) are available
- efficient preconditioners can be derived
- improved stability properties for convection-diffusion problems [7, 13] and the Navier-Stokes equations
- implementation and parallelization is straightforward.

An analysis of least-squares techniques with finite element methods can be found in the papers of Chang et al. [4, 5]. From a theoretical perspective it is possible to solve non-linear Navier-Stokes equations of high Reynolds numbers in 2-D and 3-D with general domains (see [5]). This paper presents

a first attempt at combining least-squares finite element methods with spectral methods. In future work we try to present a convergence analysis for the spectral least-squares scheme. Furthermore we want to extend the methods to more complex domains in 2-D and 3-D. Here we try to make use of domain decomposition techniques with overlap (Schwarz method) or without overlap (Dirichlet-Neumann, Neumann-Neumann iteration). On each subdomain the presented least-squares spectral collocation scheme can be employed. We already successfully tested such methods (Dirichlet-Neumann) for the combination of triangular and rectangular elements in [12].

Least-squares spectral element methods were already investigated by Proot and Gerritsma [19]. Here we consider a least-squares collocation (or pseudospectral) scheme. We employ an approximation with Chebyshev polynomials and Chebyshev Gauss-Lobatto nodes. This allows the efficient implementation with Fast Fourier Transforms (FFTs). First the Stokes problem is rewritten in a first-order velocity-vorticity-pressure form. All functions are approximated by equal-order Chebyshev polynomials of degree N in each variable. Collocation is performed in all collocation nodes including the boundary. Hence we obtain $4(N+1)^2$ conditons of collocation for the same number of unknowns. By further requiring boundary conditions on the velocity components the system becomes overdetermined. After a suitable scaling of the boundary conditions we numerically solve the corresponding least-squares problem by a conjugate gradient method. By numerical experiments we observe the high order of the spectral scheme. For analytical data exponential convergence can be achieved. The presented technique is also extended to the Navier-Stokes equations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the velocity-vorticity-pressure form of the Stokes problem is derived. In the subsequent section the least-squares spectral collocation scheme is introduced. The results of the numerical simulation are discussed in Section 4. In Section 5 the technique is extended to the Navier-Stokes equations. Finally a conclusion is presented.

2 The First-Order Formulation

In order to apply least-squares the Stokes problem is transformed into an equivalent first-order system of partial differential equations. This is accom-

plished by introducing the vorticity ω as an auxiliary variable. By using the identity

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{u} = -\Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$$

and by using the incompressibility constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla p + \nu \nabla \times \omega &= \mathbf{f} \quad in\ \Omega & (1)\\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad in\ \Omega & (2)\\ \omega - \nabla \times \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad in\ \Omega & (3) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}^T = [u_1, u_2]$ denotes the velocity vector, p the pressure, $\mathbf{f}^T = [f_1, f_2]$ the forcing term and ν the kinematic viscosity. Here it is assumed that the density equals unity. In two dimensions the above system (1)-(3) contains four equations for four unknown functions. It can explicitly be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \omega \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad in\ \Omega. \qquad (4)$$

3 The Spectral Collocation Scheme

For the spectral approximation we introduce the polynomial subspace

$$\mathbb{P}_N \;=\; \{Polynomials\ of\ degree\ \leq N\ in\ both\ variables\ x_1, x_2\}.$$

Now all unknown functions are approximated by polynomials of the same degree N, i.e. u_1, u_2, ω, p are approximated by interpolating polynomials $u_{1,N}, u_{2,N}, \omega_N, p_N \in \mathbb{P}_N$. Furthermore we have to introduce the standard Chebyshev Gauss-Lobatto collocation nodes. They are explicitly given by

$$(x_i, y_j) = \left(\cos\frac{i\pi}{N}, \cos\frac{j\pi}{N}\right),\ i, j = 0, \ldots, N.$$

We further introduce the discrete set

$$\overline{\Omega}_N = \{(x_i, y_j) : \ i, j = 0, \ldots, N\}.$$

Now the collocation (or pseudo spectral) scheme determines the polynomial functions $u_{1,N}, u_{2,N}, \omega_N, p_N \in \mathbb{P}_N$ such that (4) holds in $\overline{\Omega}_N$. These are $4(N+1)^2$ conditions of collocation for the same number of unknowns. Furthermore we have to enforce discrete boundary conditions for the velocity components. For each component we have $4N$ boundary conditions resulting in $8N$ conditions. Hence the complete system is overdetermined and least-squares techniques become necessary. In the following we write the spectral system in matrix notation. First one has to introduce the transformation matrices from physical space to coefficient space. Since we employ a Chebyshev expansion we obtain the following matrix:

$$T \ = \ \left(\cos(k\frac{i\pi}{N})\right), \ i, k = 0, \ldots, N.$$

Further we need the differentation matrix in the Chebyshev coefficient space which is explicitly given by $\hat{D} = (\hat{d}_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1,N+1}$ with

$$\hat{d}_{i,j} \ = \ \begin{cases} \frac{2j}{c_i}, \ j = i+1, i+3, \ldots, N \\ 0\,, \qquad\qquad \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_i \ = \ \begin{cases} 2, \ i = 0, \\ 1, \ \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

Now we are able to write the spectral derivative matrix D for the first derivative. It is explicitly given by

$$D = T\hat{D}T^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1,N+1}.$$

The spectral operator can be efficiently evaluated by Fast Fourier Transforms (FFTs) in $O(N \log N)$ arithmetic operations. We further introduce the identity matrix $I \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1,N+1}$. By tensor product representation

$$A \otimes B = (Ab_{i,j})_{i,j}$$

we are now able to write the spectral partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \cong D_1 = D \otimes I, \ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \cong D_2 = I \otimes D.$$

The 2D spectral operators can be efficiently evaluated by FFTs in $O(N^2 \log N)$ arithmetic operations. Furthermore we have to introduce the boundary operator $B = (b_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4N,(N+1)^2}$ which represents the boundary conditions. The first index i numbers the boundary nodes where a lexicographic numbering beginning from the left lower corner to the right upper corner is used. The second index j runs through all nodes of $\overline{\Omega}_N$ in the same manner. The entries of B are given by

$$b_{i,j} \ = \ \begin{cases} 1, \ when \ (i,j) \ belongs \ to \ a \ boundary \ point \\ 0, \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad else. \end{cases}$$

For instance, for $N = 2$ we obtain the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{8,9}.$$

The boundary conditions lead to an overdetermined system. Since we want to consider different scalings of boundary conditions against collocation conditions we further introduce a scaling factor δ. In the numerical simulation we present suitable choices. Now it is an easy task to write the spectral system. It is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \nu D_2 & D_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\nu D_1 & D_2 \\ D_2 & -D_1 & I \otimes I & 0 \\ D_1 & D_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \delta B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta B & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,N} \\ u_{2,N} \\ \omega_N \\ p_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1,N} \\ f_{2,N} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta b_{1,N} \\ \delta b_{2,N} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

Here $f_{1,N}, f_{2,N} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1)^2}$ denote the discrete forces evaluated in $\overline{\Omega}_N$ and $b_{1,N}, b_{2,N} \in \mathbb{R}^{4N}$ denote the discrete boundary values of the velocity components u_1, u_2.

4 Numerical simulation

The convergence rates of the least-squares spectral collocation scheme is demonstrated by means of a model problem introduced by Gerritsma-Phillips [8] with $\nu = 1$. The exact velocity components and the pressure are defined on the square $\Omega = (-1,1) \times (-1,1)$ by

$$u_1(x,y) = -\sin(\pi x)\cos(\pi y) \quad (6)$$

$$u_2(x,y) = \cos(\pi x)\sin(\pi y) \quad (7)$$

and

$$p(x,y) = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y). \quad (8)$$

This exact solution satisfies the Stokes equations if the following forcing term is used

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi\cos(\pi x)\sin(\pi y) - 2\pi^2\sin(\pi x)\cos(\pi y) \\ \pi\sin(\pi x)\cos(\pi y) + 2\pi^2\cos(\pi x)\sin(\pi y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We numerically calculated the discrete H^1-error norms of the velocity components and the H^0-error norm of the pressure. Before introducing the scaling factor δ let us denote by $A_{st} = (a_{i,j})$ the spectral Stokes operator given in (5). The scaling factor should quantify a suitable weighting of the boundary conditions versus the collocation conditions. Here different matrix norms of the matrix belonging to the collocation conditions could be chosen. We define δ by means of the L^1-norm, i.e.,

$$\delta = \max\{ \sum_{j=1}^{4(N+1)^2} |a_{i,j}| : \ i = 1, \ldots, 4(N+1)^2 \}.$$

The corresponding numerical results are presented in table I. The results for the u_2-velocity component resp. vorticity are not shown since they yield a similar convergence rate as for the u_1-component resp. the pressure. For increasing N the convergence rates in u_1 and p can be approximated by

$$\beta_N^u = -\ln ||u_1 - u_{1,N}||_{H^1} / \ln N, \quad \beta_N^p = -\ln ||p - p_N||_{H^0} / \ln N.$$

The results for the pressure are about 1-2 digits less accurate than for the velocity. The convergence rates are strongly increasing in N. For $N = 20$ they are somewhat disturbed by rounding errors. The numerical results yield a clear indicator for the fact that we have an exponential rate of convergence.

5 The Navier-Stokes equations

Now we consider the unsteady Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible flow in velocity-pressure formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nu \nabla \times \omega + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\,\mathbf{u} + \nabla p &= \mathbf{f} \quad in\ \Omega && (9)\\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad in\ \Omega && (10)\\ \omega - \nabla \times \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad in\ \Omega && (11)\\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u}_0,\ t = 0. && (12) \end{aligned}$$

For the time discretization we employ a semi-implicit scheme where a second order backward differentation scheme for the viscous term is combined with a second order Adams-Bashforth scheme for the convective term. Hence the momentum equation at time step $t_{n+1} = (n+1)\Delta t,\ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ can be written as:

$$\frac{3}{2\Delta t}\mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \nu \nabla \times \omega^{n+1} + \nabla p^{n+1} = \mathbf{g}^{n+1} \tag{13}$$

where

$$\mathbf{g}^{n+1} = \mathbf{f}^{n+1} - 2A^n + A^{n-1} + \frac{2}{\Delta t}\mathbf{u}^n - \frac{1}{2\Delta t}\mathbf{u}^{n-1} \tag{14}$$

with the convective term $A = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\,\mathbf{u}$. Hence at each time level we have to solve a least-squares problem explicitly given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2\Delta t} & 0 & \nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2\Delta t} & -\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \omega \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad in\ \Omega. \tag{15}$$

The only difference compared to the steady Stokes problem are the two diagonal entries $3/2\Delta t$ which become large for decreasing step size. By numerical experiments we found that for a well balanced system it is recommended to scale these equations by Δt. Then for the least-squares scheme the incompressibility condition is well balanced against the momentum equations. In particular, we observed that without scaling the method becomes divergent for increasing Reynolds numbers.

We consider the following steady problem which was also treated by Haschke and Heinrichs [10] for the splitting of the Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x,y,t) &= \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2})\cos(\frac{\pi y}{2}) \\ u_2(x,y,t) &= -\cos(\frac{\pi x}{2})\sin(\frac{\pi y}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p(x,y,t) = 0.25(\cos(\pi x) + \cos(\pi y)) + 10(x+y).$$

The viscosity is $\nu = 0.01$ which corresponds to a Reynolds number $Re = 1/\nu$ of 100. To start the time integration we use the exact solution at time levels $-\Delta t$ and 0. Table II contains the numerical results for $\Delta t = 0.01$ and $N = 8, 12, 16$. The results are only slightly worse compared to the results in [10]. In particular, for $N = 16$ we observe the influence of rounding errors. For our smooth test example we observe the standard high spectral accuracy with exponential convergence for increasing N. For unsteady problems we obtained a second-order method in time.

For very large Reynolds numbers the CFL condition requires extremely small time steps so that implicit schemes become necessary. Then the nonlinear convection term has to be linearized. For each time level a few (1-5) steps of a Picard iteration or Newton method become necessary. From the results in [7, 13] it is well known that least-squares stabilize the resulting convection-diffusion problems. Clearly, the computational amount of work is much higher than for the above explicit scheme but there are no time step limitations.

Conclusion

A highly accurate least-squares spectral collocation scheme for the Navier-Stokes equations is presented. For this purpose the problem is transformed into a first-order system. This is done by introducing the vorticity as an auxiliary variable. The spectral collocation conditions and the boundary conditions lead to an overdetermined system which is efficiently solved by least-squares. The solution technique only involves symmetric positive definite algebraic systems which circumvent the $\inf - \sup$ stability condition and subsequently allow the use of equal order interpolation polynomials for all variables. The usual problems with spurious modes are avoided. Robust iterative solvers such as the conjugate gradient method can be employed. The numerical simulations confirm the exponential rate of convergence for the spectral least-squares scheme.

TABLES

N	$\|\|u_1 - u_{1,N}\|\|_{H^1}$	β_N^u	$\|\|p - p_N\|\|_{H^0}$	β_N^p
4	$3.51{\cdot}10^{-1}$		$1.15{\cdot}10^{0}$	
8	$1.03{\cdot}10^{-3}$	3.31	$1.45{\cdot}10^{-2}$	2.04
12	$3.83{\cdot}10^{-7}$	5.95	$4.94{\cdot}10^{-6}$	4.92
16	$4.12{\cdot}10^{-11}$	8.62	$3.65{\cdot}10^{-10}$	7.84
20	$4.04{\cdot}10^{-13}$	9.53	$8.27{\cdot}10^{-11}$	7.75

Table I. Numerical results for the Stokes problem.

N	$\|\|u_1 - u_{1,N}\|\|_{H^1}$	$\|\|u_1 - u_{1,N}\|\|_{H^0}$	$\|\|p - p_N\|\|_{H^0}$
4	$5.64{\cdot}10^{-3}$	$3.39{\cdot}10^{-3}$	$4.78{\cdot}10^{-2}$
8	$9.77{\cdot}10^{-7}$	$9.08{\cdot}10^{-7}$	$4.60{\cdot}10^{-5}$
12	$3.10{\cdot}10^{-11}$	$3.05{\cdot}10^{-11}$	$1.14{\cdot}10^{-8}$
16	$4.58{\cdot}10^{-13}$	$4.51{\cdot}10^{-13}$	$6.15{\cdot}10^{-11}$

Table II. Numerical results for the Navier-Stokes equations.

References

[1] Bernardi, C., Canuto, C. and Maday, Y.: Generalized inf-sup condition for Chebyshev approximations to Navier-Stokes equations. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **303**, serie I, 971-974(1986)

[2] Bernardi, C., Maday, Y.: Approximations spectrale de problémes aux limites elliptiques, Springer-Verlag, 1992

[3] Canuto, C., Hussaini, M.Y., Quarteroni, A., and Zang, T.A.: Spectral Methods in Fluid Dynamics, Springer Series in Computational Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989

[4] Chang, C.L., Gunzburger, M.D.: A subdomain collocation/least squares method for linear elliptic systems in the plane. SIAM Numer. Anal. **27**, No. 5, 1197-1221(1990)

[5] Chang, C.L., Yang, S.Y.: Analysis of the L-2 least-squares finite element method for the velocity-vorticity-pressure Stokes equations with velocity boundary conditions. Appl. Math. Comp. **130**, 121-144(2002)

[6] Deang, J.M., Gunzburger, M.D.: Issues related to least-squares finite element methods for the Stokes equations. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. **20**, 878-906(1998)

[7] Eisen, H., Heinrichs, W.: A new method of stabilization for singular perturbation problems with spectral methods. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **29**, 107-122(1992)

[8] Gerritsma, M.I., Phillips, T.N.: Discontinuous spectral element approximation for the velocity-pressure-stress formulation of the Stokes problem. Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg. **43**, 1401-1419(1998)

[9] Gottlieb, D. and Orszag, S.A.: Numerical Analysis of Spectral Methods: Theory and Applications. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics No. 26, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1977

[10] Haschke, H. and Heinrichs, W.: Splitting techniques with staggered grids for the Navier-Stokes equations in the 2D case. J. Comput. Phys. **168**, 131-154(2001)

[11] Heinrichs, W.: Splitting techniques for the pseudospectral approximation of the unsteady Stokes equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **30**, No. 1, 19-39(1993)

[12] Heinrichs, W. and Loch, B.: Spectral schemes on triangular elements. J. Comput. Phys. **173**, 279-301(2001)

[13] Heinrichs, W.: Least-squares for the spectral approximation of discontinuous and singular perturbation problems. To appear in J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2003

[14] Jiang, B.-N.: A least-squares finite element method for incompressible Navier-Stokes problems. Internat. J. Numer. Methods Fluids **14**, 843-859(1992)

[15] Jiang, B.-N.: On the least-squares method. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **152**, 239-257(1998)

[16] Jiang, B.-N., Chang, C.L.: Least-squares finite elements for the Stokes problem. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **78**, 297-311(1990)

[17] Jiang, B.-N., Povinelli, L.: Least-squares finite element method for fluid dynamics. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **81**, 13-37(1990)

[18] Orszag, S.A.: Spectral methods for problems in complex geometries. J. Comp. Phys. **37**, 70-92(1980)

[19] Proot, M.J., Gerritsma, M.I.: A least-squares spectral element formulation for the Stokes problem. J. Scient. Computing **17**, 285-296(2002)

[20] Rønquist, E.: Optimal spectral element methods for the unsteady three dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. Ph. D. thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 1988