SCHRIFTENREIHE DER FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK

BERNSTEIN RESULTS FOR SYMMETRIC MINIMAL SURFACES OF CONTROLLED GROWTH<br>by<br>Ulrich Dierkes and Tobias Tennstädt

SM-UDE-806 2016

# BERNSTEIN RESULTS FOR SYMMETRIC MINIMAL SURFACES OF CONTROLLED GROWTH 

ULRICH DIERKES AND TOBIAS TENNSTÄDT


#### Abstract

We prove that there is no entire solution of the symmetric minimal surface equation which is of sublinear growth. This result is extended to parametric and non-parametric minimizers of the corresponding variational integral.


## 0. Introduction

By a well known result of Bernstein [BS] every entire classical solution $u$ of the minimal surface equation

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{D u}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}\right)=0
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, has to be an affine-linear function. In fact this theorem was shown to hold up to dimension 7 by Fleming [FW], De Giorgi [DG], Almgren [AF] and J. Simons [SJ], while there exist nonlinear entire solutions in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 8$, as was first discovered by Bombieri - De Giorgi - Giusti [BDG]. Many more non-affine examples were constructed by L. Simon [SL2].

On the other hand Moser [MJ] proved that every entire solution $u$ of the minimal surface equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n$ arbitrary, is affine linear, provided $|D u|_{0, \mathbb{R}^{n}}$ is finite, and it follows from the a-priori gradient estimate of Bombieri - De Giorgi - Miranda [BDGM] that this is already the case if $u$ grows at most linearly, in the sense that

$$
u(x) \leq C(1+|x|) \text { for some } C>0 \text { and all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Ecker and Huisken [EH] extended Moser's result by requiring instead of boundedness only sublinear growth of the gradient $D u$, that is

$$
|D u(x)|=\mathrm{o}(|x|) \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Optimal results of this type were proved by L. Simon [SL2], [SL3].
In this paper we consider entire solutions of the symmetric minimal surface equation (in short: s.m.s.e.)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{D u}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{u \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\alpha>0$ denotes some positive number. $(*)$ is the Euler-equation of the variational integral
$$
E(u)=\int u^{\alpha} \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}} d x
$$
which, for $\alpha=m \in \mathbb{N}$ and positive $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, describes, up to a constant factor, the area of the rotated graph
$$
\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rot}}=\left\{(x, u(x) \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} ; x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { and } \omega \in S^{m}\right\}
$$
where $S^{m} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ denotes the unit $m$-sphere, see e.g. the computation in [DU7].
A different interpretation for $(*)$ with $\alpha=1$ in the two-dimensional case was already given by Poisson [PS], who considered (*) as a model equation for an ideal "heavy surface of constant mass density" which is exposed to a vertical gravitational field. Furthermore, architects consider $(*)$ as a model equation for a so called "hanging roof", which is of importance for the constructions of "perfect domes" or "cupolas", see the discussion in $[\mathrm{OF}]$ and the literature cited therein.

The symmetric (or "singular") minimal surface equation (*) is an equation of mean curvature type, with mean curvature $H$ given by

$$
H(u, D u)=\frac{\alpha}{u \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}},
$$

whence $H$ is a-priori not bounded, nor can a solution $u$ of $(*)$ be of class $C^{2}$ in a neighbourhood of a point $x_{0}$ with $u\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Thus we typically consider either classical positive solutions, or weak Lipschitz solutions $u \geq 0$ of the s.m.s.e. For the existence of classical solutions of $(*)$ with prescribed boundary values we refer to the papers by Dierkes - Huisken [DH] and Dierkes [DU6].

On the other hand, it is easily checked that the cones

$$
c_{n}^{\alpha}(x):=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{n-1}}\left(x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{n-1}}|x|
$$

are classical solutions of $(*)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}-\{0\}$ and weak Lipschitz-solutions on all of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, for every $\alpha>0, n \geq 2$. For a complete classification of these cones concerning their minimizing properties and for the construction of nonaffine entire $C^{\infty}$-solution asymptotic to these cones, we refer to the papers by Dierkes [DU1], [DU2], [DU3].

In view of these remarks the following result is optimal.
Theorem 1. There is no entire nonnegative solution $u \in C^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of the symmetric minimal surface equation (*) satisfying

$$
u(x)=o(|x|) \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

(Here $\alpha>0, n \geq 2$ are arbitrary).
We also prove a version of Theorem 1 for less regular, local minimizers of the integral $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha>0$ and $u \in B V_{+, \text {loc }}^{1+\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a local minimizer of $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which is of sublinear growth. Then $u \equiv 0$.

Here the class $B V_{+}^{1+\alpha}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is open and $\alpha>0$ is defined by

$$
B V_{+}^{1+\alpha}(\Omega):=\left\{u \in L_{1+\alpha}(\Omega) ; u \geq 0 \text { and } u^{1+\alpha} \in B V(\Omega)\right\}
$$

It is the natural function space on which the integral

$$
E(u)=\int_{\Omega} u^{\alpha} \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}} d x
$$

can be defined (as a measure) and also minimized, cp. the papers by Bemelmans and Dierkes [BD] and [DU3]. Note that $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder-continuity is the optimal regularity for minimizers of $E(\cdot)$ that can be expected in general, see the examples by Dierkes [DU1], [DU2]. Recently T. Tennstädt [TT1][TT2] proved $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder-continuity for every minimizer in dimensions $n \leq 6$. Again, by the examples constructed in [DU1], [DU2] it follows that Theorem 2 is optimal of its type.

Thirdly we prove an analogous result for Caccioppoli sets minimizing the parametric energy functional

$$
\mathcal{E}(U)=\int\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{U}\right|
$$

see chapters 2 and 3 for the pertinent definitions.
Theorem 3. Let $\alpha>0$ and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a Caccioppoli set which locally minimizes the integral $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and which is of sublinear growth. Then $U$ is the half-space $\left\{\left(x, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ; x_{n+1} \leq 0\right\}$.

Finally we consider certain types of "exterior" solutions of the s.m.s.e. (*) which possibly vanish on a set of positive measure.

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha>1$ and $n \geq 2$ be arbitrary. There is no non-trivial nonnegative function $u \in H_{1, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ which solves the symmetric minimal surface equation (*) weakly in $\mathbb{R}^{n}-\{u=0\}$, where the coincidence set $\{u=0\}$ is supposed to be bounded and which is of sublinear growth in the sense that

$$
u(x)=o(|x|) \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

The examples constructed in [DU1], [DU2] are of class $H_{p, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{0, \frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \forall p<$ 2 , vanish on balls $\mathcal{B}_{R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and are of linear growth at infinity. Hence Theorem 4 is optimal.

Further Bernstein type results for stable solutions of (*) in small dimensions were proved in [DU5].

The proofs of Theorems $1,2,3$ and 4 follow from suitable monotonicity and area estimates given in Sections 2 and 3. The Theorems are proved in Section 4.

## 1. Preliminaries

We here consider quite generally integer multiplicity $n$-rectifiable varifolds $v=$ $v(M, \Theta)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (in the sense of Allard and Simon [SL1]), briefly "integer $n$ varifolds", that is - modulo $n$-dimensional Hausdorff-measure zero - a countably
$n$-rectifiable $\mathcal{H}^{n}$-measurable subset $M$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ together with an integer valued positive and locally integrable function $\Theta$ on $M$. Associated to the varifold $v$ is the Radon measure $\mu_{v}:=\mathcal{H}^{n}\left\llcorner\Theta\right.$ i.e. $\mu_{v}(A)=\int_{A} \Theta d \mathcal{H}^{n}=\int_{A \cap M} \Theta d \mathcal{H}^{n}$ for any $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, where we have put $\Theta \equiv 0$ outside of $M$. In particular we have in mind varifolds (with multiplicity $\Theta=1$ ) given by the reduced boundary $\partial^{*} E$ of a Caccioppoli set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Recall that $E \subset U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, U$ open, is a set of locally finite perimeter (or "Caccioppoli set") in $U$, if $E$ is $\mathcal{L}^{n+1}$-measurable and if the characteristic function $\varphi_{E}$ of $E$ has locally finite bounded variation in $U, \varphi_{E} \in B V_{\text {loc }}(U)$. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has locally finite perimeter in $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ there is a Radon measure $\mu_{E}=\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|$ on $U$ and a $\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|$ measurable function $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n+1}\right)$ (the generalized inward unit normal) with $\|\nu(x)\|=1$ for $\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|$ a. e. $x \in U$ and such that for every $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right) \in C_{c}^{1}\left(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E \cap U} \operatorname{div} g d \mathcal{L}^{n+1} & =-\int_{U}(g \cdot \nu)\left|D \varphi_{E}\right| \\
& =-\int_{U} g \cdot D \varphi_{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

$D \varphi_{E}$ denoting the vector measure $\nu\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|$. Furthermore the reduced boundary $\partial^{*} E$ of a Caccioppoli set $E$ is given by

$$
\partial^{*} E=\left\{x \in U ; \quad \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{B_{\rho}(x)} \nu\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|}{\int_{B_{\rho}(x)}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|} \text { exists and has length equal to } 1\right\} .
$$

In particular we have $\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|=\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|\left\llcorner\partial^{*} E=\mathcal{H}^{n}\left\llcorner\partial^{*} E, \partial^{*} E\right.\right.$ is countably $n$-rectifiable and each point $x \in \partial^{*} E$ has an approximate tangent space $T_{x}$ with multiplicity 1 given by

$$
T_{x}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; y \cdot \nu_{E}(x)=0\right\}, \text { where } \nu_{E}(x):=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{B_{\rho}(x)} \nu\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|}{\int_{B_{\rho}(x)}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|},
$$

see [GE] and [SL1] for more discussion and proofs.
Now let $v=v(M, \Theta)$ be a rectifiable $n$-varifold in $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, U$ open, and consider the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(M)=\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mu_{v} \quad, \alpha>0
$$

The first variation can be computed e.g. as in Simon [SL1] or [DU4]; for convenience we sketch the proof.

To this end consider a one parameter family $\Phi_{t},-1 \leq t \leq 1$, of diffeomorphisms of $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the following properties,
i) $\Phi_{t}(x)=\Phi(t, x) \in C^{2}((-1,1) \times U, U)$
ii) $\Phi_{0} \equiv I d_{\mid U}$
iii) $\Phi_{t}(x)=x$ for all $t \in[-1,1]$ and every $x \in U-K$ for some compact set $K \subset U$.

Put $X(x):=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(t, x)_{\mid t=0} \in C_{c}^{1}\left(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ to denote the initial velocity vector for $\Phi(t, x)$ and let $\Phi_{t \# v}$ denote the image varifold $\Phi_{t \# v}=v\left(\Phi_{t}(M), \Theta \circ \Phi_{t}^{-1}\right)$. The
general area-formula ([SL1]) yields

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{t \#}(v\llcorner K))=\int_{M \cap K}\left|\Psi_{t}^{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} J \Psi_{t} \cdot \Theta d \mathcal{H}^{n}\right.
$$

where we have put $\Psi_{t}:=\Phi_{t_{\mid M \cap K}}, K$ compact, $K \subset U$ and $J \Psi_{t}$ denotes the Jacobian of $\Psi_{t}$. By definition the first variation is given by

$$
\delta \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(v, X):=\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{t \#}(v\llcorner K))_{\mid t=0}\right.
$$

Proposition 1. Let $v=v(M, \Theta)$ be an integer n-rectifiable varifold, $\Phi_{t}(x)=$ $\Phi(t, x)$ and $X(x)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(t, x)\right|_{t=0}$ be as above. Suppose either $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}:=$ $\{t>0\}$, or $\alpha>1$, then the first variation of $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\delta \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(v)=\int_{M \cap K}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{div}_{M} X(x)+\alpha \frac{X^{n+1}(x)}{x_{n+1}}\right) d \mu_{v}
$$

where $X^{n+1}$ denotes the $(n+1)$-st component of the vector field $X=\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n+1}\right)$.
Proof. For convenience we sketch the argument and refer to [SL1] [DU4] and [DHT] chapter 3.2 for more detailed calculations. By standard arguments one finds for the Jacobian $J \Psi_{t}$ the development

$$
\begin{aligned}
J \Psi_{t} & =1+t \operatorname{div}_{M} X+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right), \text { while } \\
\left|\Psi_{t}^{n+1}(x)\right|^{\alpha} & =\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left\{1+\alpha t \frac{X^{n+1}(x)}{x_{n+1}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first variation formula now follows by computing the coefficient of $t$ in the product $\left|\Psi_{t}^{n+1}(x)\right|^{\alpha} \cdot J \Psi_{t}$.

Definition 1. The varifold $v=v(M, \Theta)$ is called stationary in $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, U$ open, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{div}_{M} X(x)+\alpha \frac{X^{n+1}(x)}{x_{n+1}}\right) d \mu=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all vector fields $X(x)=\left(X^{1}(x), \ldots, X^{n+1}(x)\right) \in C_{c}^{1}\left(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$.
Remark. Here we either assume $\alpha>1$ or $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$(or $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{-}, \mathbb{R}^{-}=$ $\{t<0\}$ ).

Proposition 2. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a $C^{2}$-hypersurface and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an open set, such that $M \cap U \neq \emptyset, \partial M \cap U=\emptyset$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n}(M \cap K)<\infty$ for each compact set $K \subset U$. Then $M$ is stationary in $U$ if and only if the mean curvature $H=$ $H(x), x \in M \cap U$, with respect to the unit normal $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n+1}\right)=\nu(x)$ satisfies the Euler equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} H(x)=\alpha\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks.
i) Clearly, if $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{+},(2)$ is equivalent to $H(x)=\alpha \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}}, \forall x \in M$, and also, if $M=\operatorname{graph}(u)$ for some positive function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, to the
symmetric minimal surface equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{D u}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{u \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, given a stationary $C^{2}$ hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ and a point $y_{0}:=\left(\hat{y}_{0}, 0\right) \in M, \hat{y}_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the property that every ball $B_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{0}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \varepsilon>0$, contains points $y_{\varepsilon} \in M \cap B_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{0}\right)$ with $\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)_{n+1} \neq 0$ then we can conclude

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\alpha \nu_{n+1}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)}{y_{\varepsilon}^{n+1}}\right)=H\left(y_{0}\right) \text { exists; }
$$

in particular $\nu_{n+1}\left(y_{0}\right)=0$. Hence $M$ intersects the coordinate plane $\left\{x_{n+1}=0\right\}$ vertically an $y_{0}$ and can be written locally at $y_{0}$ as a graph $x_{1}=f\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)$ say (which satisfies some singular elliptic p.d.e.).
ii) The coordinate plane $\left\{x_{n+1}=0\right\}$ satisfies (2) (with $\alpha>1$ ) but is not a solution of (3).
iii) There are Lipschitz hypersurface solutions of (2) given by the union of any vertical half-plane and the corresponding half-plane of the coordinate plane $\left\{x_{n+1}=0\right\}$.
iv) There exist (Lipschitz-)continuous piecewise $C^{2}$-hypersurfaces which are $\mathcal{H}^{n}$-a.e. solutions of (2) (for $\alpha>1$ ), namely the union of an $n$-ball $\mathcal{B}_{R}(0) \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{0\}$ and a $C^{2}$-hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$with boundary $\partial \mathcal{B}_{R}(0)$ given by the graph of a particular $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder continuous function $u: \mathbb{R}^{n}-\mathcal{B}_{R}(0) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$. See the work of Dierkes [DU1].

Proof of Proposition 2. Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is stationary in $U$ and let $X(x):=$ $\xi(x) \cdot \nu(x)$, where $\xi \in C_{c}^{1}(U, \mathbb{R})$ is arbitrary and $\nu$ is some unit normal on $M$. Then $\operatorname{div}_{M} X=\xi \operatorname{div}_{M} \nu=-\xi H$ and hence (2) follows from (1) and a standard device. On the other hand, if $M \in C^{2}$ satisfies (2) and $X \in C_{c}^{1}\left(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ is given arbitrarily, we decompose $X=X^{\perp}+X^{\top}$ into its normal part $X^{\perp}=(X \cdot \nu) \nu$ and the tangential part $X^{\top} \in T_{x} M$ respectively and compute $\operatorname{div}_{M} X^{\perp}=(X \cdot \nu) \operatorname{div}_{M} \nu=-H(X \cdot \nu)$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{div}_{M} X^{\perp}=-\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} H(X \cdot \nu)=-\alpha\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}}(X \cdot \nu) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (2). Furthermore we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{div}_{M} X^{\top}= & \operatorname{div}_{M}\left(\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} X^{\top}\right)-\nabla_{M}\left(\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\right) X^{\top} \\
= & \operatorname{div}_{M}\left\{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} X^{\top}\right\}-\alpha \frac{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}}{x_{n+1}}\left(\nabla_{M} x_{n+1} \cdot X^{\top}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{div}_{M}\left\{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} X^{\top}\right\}-\alpha \frac{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}}{x_{n+1}} X^{n+1}  \tag{5}\\
& +\alpha \frac{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}}{x_{n+1}} \nu_{n+1}(X \cdot \nu)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{M} x_{n+1} \cdot X^{\top} & =\left(\mathrm{e}_{n+1}-\left(\mathrm{e}_{n+1} \cdot \nu\right) \nu\right) \cdot X^{\top} \\
& =\left(\mathrm{e}_{n+1}-\left(\mathrm{e}_{n+1} \cdot \nu\right) \nu\right) \cdot X \\
& =X^{n+1}-\nu_{n+1}(X \cdot \nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

denoting by $\mathrm{e}_{n+1}$ the vector $(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Concluding we finally obtain from (4) and (5) the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{div}_{M} X+\alpha \frac{X^{n+1}(x)}{x_{n+1}}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{div}_{M}\left\{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} X^{\top}\right\}-\alpha \frac{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}}{x_{n+1}} X^{n+1}+\alpha \frac{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}}{x_{n+1}} \nu_{n+1}(X \cdot \nu) \\
& -\alpha \frac{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}}{x_{n+1}} \nu_{n+1}(X \cdot \nu)+\alpha \frac{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} X^{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} \\
= & \operatorname{div}_{M}\left\{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} X^{\top}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (1) follows from the divergence theorem since $X^{\top}$ has compact support on $M$.

Proposition 3. Let $u \in C^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a weak nonnegative solution of the symmetric minimal surface equation (*) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\alpha>0$. Then $M=\operatorname{graph}(u) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is stationary in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e.

$$
\int_{M} x_{n+1}^{\alpha}\left\{\operatorname{div}_{M} X(x)+\alpha \frac{X^{n+1}(x)}{x_{n+1}}\right\} d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x)=0
$$

holds for all vectorfields $X=\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n+1}\right) \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$.
Remark. Note that here it is not assumed $\alpha>1$ although the level set $\{u=0\}$ might be nonempty. In fact we show existence of the integral in this case, even if $\alpha \in(0,1]$.

Proof. Since $M=\left\{(x, u(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}\right\}$ is the Lipschitz image of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ it is countably $n$-rectifiable and by Schauder theory we have $u \in C^{\infty}(\{u>0\})$. Whence the mean curvature of $M \cap \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{t>0\}$ is simply

$$
H(x)=\alpha \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}}=\frac{\alpha}{u \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}, x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)
$$

and by Proposition 2 it follows that $M$ is stationary in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{t>0\}$ that is we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} x_{n+1}^{\alpha}\left\{\operatorname{div}_{M} X+\alpha \frac{X^{n+1}}{x_{n+1}}\right\} d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vectorfields $X \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{t>0\}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right.$ ) (and, clearly, for all $X \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\right.$ $\left.\{t \neq 0\}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ since $\left.u \geq 0\right)$.

By assumption $u \in C^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=H_{\infty, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\{\frac{D u D \varphi}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}+\frac{\alpha \varphi}{u \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}\right\} d x=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and $|D u| \in L_{\infty, \text { loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ together with a standard test function argument imply that

$$
\frac{1}{u} \in L_{1, \operatorname{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \text { whence also } \mathcal{L}^{n}(\{u=0\})=\mathcal{H}^{n}(\{u=0\})=0
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$ consider a smooth cutoff function $\eta_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the conditions $\eta_{\varepsilon}(t)=1$, for $|t| \geq 3 \varepsilon, \eta_{\varepsilon}(t)=0$, for $|t| \leq \varepsilon$ and $0 \leq \eta_{\varepsilon} \leq 1,\left|\eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ for all $t$, hence $\eta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ a.e. as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore let $X \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ be an arbitrary vectorfield and suppose $\operatorname{supp} X \subset B_{R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The truncated vectorfield $X_{\varepsilon}(x):=\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{n+1}\right) \cdot X(x)$ is admissible in (6) and since

$$
\operatorname{div}_{M} X_{\varepsilon}(x)=\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{n+1}\right) \operatorname{div}_{M} X+X(x) \cdot \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right) \cdot \nabla_{M} x_{n+1}
$$

we get the relation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{M \cap B_{R}} x_{n+1}^{\alpha}\left\{\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{n+1}\right) \operatorname{div}_{M} X+X(x) \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right) \nabla_{M} x_{n+1}\right. \\
\left.+\alpha \frac{X^{n+1}(x)}{x_{n+1}} \eta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right\} d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$. The second integral can be estimated as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{M \cap B_{R}} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right) X(x) \cdot \nabla_{M} x_{n+1} d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x)\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{M \cap B_{R}}|X| \int_{M \cap B_{R} \cap\left\{\varepsilon \leq x_{n+1} \leq 3 \varepsilon\right\}} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x) \\
\leq & 3 \sup _{M \cap B_{R}}|X| \int_{M \cap B_{R} \cap\left\{\varepsilon \leq x_{n+1} \leq 3 \varepsilon\right\}} x_{n+1}^{\alpha-1} d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x) \\
\leq & 3\|X\|_{0, B_{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R}(0) \cap\{0 \leq u \leq 3 \varepsilon\}} u^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}} d x \\
\leq & 3\|X\|_{0, B_{R}}\left\{1+\|D u\|_{0, \mathcal{B}_{R}}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u^{-1}\right\|_{1, \mathcal{B}_{R}} \cdot(3 \varepsilon)^{\alpha} \\
\rightarrow & 0, \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $u^{-1} \in L_{1, \text { loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Observe in particular that the function $x_{n+1}^{\alpha-1}$ is integrable w.r.t. $n$-dimensional Hausdorff-measure over $M \cap B_{R}$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$. In addition, since $\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow 1 \mathcal{H}^{n}$ a.e. on $M \cap B_{R}\left(\right.$ recall $\left.\mathcal{H}^{n}(\{u=0\})=0\right)$, we infer from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$
\int_{M \cap B_{R}} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} \eta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{n+1}\right) \operatorname{div}_{M} X(x) d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x) \rightarrow \int_{M \cap B_{R}} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} \operatorname{div}_{M} X(x) d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x)
$$

and

$$
\int_{M \cap B_{R}} \alpha x_{n+1}^{\alpha-1} X^{n+1}(x) \eta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{n+1}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x) \rightarrow \int_{M \cap B_{R}} x_{n+1}^{\alpha-1} X^{n+1}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x)
$$

both as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In conclusion we have

$$
\int_{M \cap B_{R}} x_{n+1}^{\alpha}\left\{\operatorname{div}_{M} X(x)+\alpha \frac{X^{n+1}(x)}{x_{n+1}}\right\} d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x)=0
$$

for arbitrary $X \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ compactly supported in the ball $B_{R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Similarly we prove for $\alpha>1$
Proposition $3^{\prime}$. Let $\alpha>1$ and $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}=\{t \geq 0\}, u \in H_{1, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, be a weak solution of the s.m.s.e. (*) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}-\{u=0\}$. Then $M:=\operatorname{graph}(u)$ is stationary in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Remarks.
i) Here we have in mind exterior solutions of (3) in ( $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}-\bar{\Omega}\right)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is bounded and open, which in addition satisfy $u=0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. Recall that there are even minima $u$ for $E$ of this type, where $\Omega=\mathcal{B}_{R}(0)$ is a ball and $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}-\overline{B_{R}(0)} \cap C^{0, \frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap H_{p, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \forall p<2\right.$, cp. [DU2]. Recently, Tennstädt [TT1][TT2] proved that every local minimizer $u$ of $E$ is of class $H_{1, l o c}^{1} \cap C^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$, if $n \leq 6$.
ii) It was recently shown by Tennstädt [TT1][TT3] that, for minimizing functions $u$, the zero set $\{u=0\}$ has locally finite perimeter and is locally mean convex.

Proof. By assumption the set $\{u>0\}$ is open and classical regularity theory implies $u \in C^{2}(\{u>0\})$. Furthermore $u \in H_{1, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, whence the subgraph $U:=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ; t<u(x)\right\}$ has locally finite perimeter given by $\int \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}} d x$ and $M=\partial^{*} U=\operatorname{graph}(u)$ is $n$-rectifiable. Invoking Proposition 2 we obtain that $M=\operatorname{graph}(u)$ is stationary in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{t \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and a similar argument as the one given in the proof of Proposition 3 , using that now $\alpha>1$ is assumed, finishes the proof.

## 2. Monotonicity formulae

We here give two versions of the monotonicity formula; namely one for stationary varifolds and - somewhat differently - another formula for minimizing boundaries.

First assume that $v=v(M, \Theta)$ is stationary in $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e. we have the identity

$$
\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{div}_{M} X(x)+\alpha \frac{X^{n+1}(x)}{x_{n+1}}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{n}(x)=0
$$

for all differentiable vectorfields $X=\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support in $U$. We choose the standard test function $X(x):=\gamma(r)(x-\xi)$, where $\xi \in U$ is fixed, $r:=|x-\xi|$ and $\gamma \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\gamma^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma(t)=1$ for $t \leq \frac{\rho}{2}, \gamma(t)=0$ for $t \geq \rho$ and $\overline{B_{\rho}(\xi)} \subset U$. Standard calculations (cf. [SL1] and [DHT]) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}_{M} X(x)=\operatorname{div}_{M}(\gamma(r)(x-\xi))=\gamma(r) \operatorname{div}_{M}(x-\xi)+\gamma^{\prime}(r) \nabla_{M} r \cdot(x-\xi) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since

$$
\nabla_{M} r=\nabla_{M}|x-\xi|=\frac{(x-\xi)^{\top}}{|x-\xi|}
$$

we have

$$
\nabla_{M} r(x-\xi)=r \frac{(x-\xi)^{\top}}{|x-\xi|} \frac{(x-\xi)^{\top}}{|x-\xi|}=r\left[1-\left(\frac{(x-\xi)^{\perp}}{|x-\xi|}\right)^{2}\right]=r\left[1-\left|D r^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

where $D r=\frac{(x-\xi)}{|x-\xi|}$ denotes the gradient of $r$.
Furthermore

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}_{M}(x-\xi) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mathrm{e}_{j} \cdot \nabla_{M}\left(x_{j}-\xi_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mathrm{e}_{j} \mathrm{e}_{j}^{\top} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mathrm{e}_{j}\left(\mathrm{e}_{j}-\mathrm{e}_{j}^{\perp}\right)=(n+1)-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left(\mathrm{e}_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}  \tag{8}\\
& =(n+1)-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left[\left(\nu \mathrm{e}_{j}\right) \cdot \nu\right]^{2}=(n+1)-1 \\
& =n
\end{align*}
$$

since $\mathrm{e}_{j}=\mathrm{e}_{j}^{\top}+\mathrm{e}_{j}^{\perp}$ and $\nu \mathrm{e}_{j}=\nu_{j}=\nu \mathrm{e}_{j}^{\perp}, \mathrm{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}_{n+1}$ denoting the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. By (7), (8) and the first variation formula we find

$$
\operatorname{div}_{M} X=n \gamma(r)+\gamma^{\prime}(r) r\left(1-\left|D r^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \gamma(r) d \mu_{v}+\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}(r) r\left(1-\left|D r^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right) d \mu_{v} \\
& \quad+\alpha \int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} x_{n+1}^{-1} \gamma(r)\left(x_{n+1}-\xi_{n+1}\right) d \mu_{v}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or
(9) $\quad(n+\alpha) \int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \gamma(r) d \mu_{v}+\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} r \gamma^{\prime}(r) d \mu_{v}$

$$
=\alpha \int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} x_{n+1}^{-1} \gamma(r) \xi_{n+1} d \mu_{v}+\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}(r) r\left|D r^{\perp}\right|^{2} d \mu_{v}
$$

Now we take $\gamma(r):=\Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)$ with $\Phi \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\Phi(t)=1$ if $t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \Phi(t)=0$ if $t \geq 1$, as well as $0 \leq \Phi(t) \leq 1$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
r \gamma^{\prime}(r)=r \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) \frac{1}{\rho}=-\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)
$$

and (9) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n+\alpha) \int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) d \mu_{v}-\rho \int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) d \mu_{v}= \\
& \quad \alpha \int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} x_{n+1}^{-1} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) \xi_{n+1} d \mu_{v}-\rho \int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)\left|D r^{\perp}\right|^{2} d \mu_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\rho) & :=\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) d \mu_{v} \\
L(\rho) & :=\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} x_{n+1}^{-1} \xi_{n+1} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) d \mu_{v} \text { and } \\
J(\rho) & :=\int_{M}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)\left|D r^{\perp}\right|^{2} d \mu_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

we infer the equation

$$
(n+\alpha) I(\rho)-\rho I^{\prime}(\rho)=\alpha L(\rho)-\rho J^{\prime}(\rho)
$$

and since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d \rho}\left[\rho^{-(n+\alpha)} I(\rho)\right] & =-(n+\alpha) \rho^{-(n+\alpha+1)} I(\rho)+\rho^{-(n+\alpha)} I^{\prime}(\rho) \\
& =-\rho^{-(n+\alpha+1)}\left[(n+\alpha) I-\rho I^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies the differential equation

$$
\frac{d}{d \rho}\left(\rho^{-(n+\alpha)} I(\rho)\right)=\rho^{-(n+\alpha)} J^{\prime}(\rho)-\alpha \rho^{-(n+\alpha+1)} L(\rho)
$$

Integration between $0<\sigma<\rho$ yields

$$
\rho^{-(n+\alpha)} I(\rho)-\sigma^{-(n+\alpha)} I(\sigma)=\int_{\sigma}^{\rho} \tau^{-n-\alpha} J^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau-\alpha \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} \tau^{-n-\alpha-1} L(\tau) d \tau
$$

and upon partial integration of the first integral, then letting $\Phi$ tend to the characteristic function of the interval $(-\infty, 1)$ and finally applying Fubini's theorem, we conclude the monotonicity formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho^{-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_{\rho}(\xi)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mu_{v}-\sigma^{-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mu_{v} \\
= & \int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}(\xi)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|D r^{\perp}\right|^{2}}{r^{n+\alpha}} d \mu_{v}-\frac{\alpha \xi_{n+1}}{n+\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}} \frac{\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}}{x_{n+1}}\left[\frac{1}{r_{\sigma}^{n+\alpha}}-\frac{1}{\rho^{n+\alpha}}\right] d \mu_{v} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{\sigma}:=\max (r, \sigma)$.
In particular, if $\xi_{n+1}=0$ we have the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma^{-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mu_{v}=\rho^{-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_{\rho}(\xi)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mu_{v}  \tag{11}\\
&-\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|D r^{\perp}\right|^{2}}{r^{n+\alpha}} d \mu_{v}
\end{align*}
$$

and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mu_{v} \leq \rho^{-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_{\rho}(\xi)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mu_{v} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holding true for all $0<\sigma \leq \rho$ with $\overline{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} \subset U$.
We have thus proved

Proposition 4. Suppose $v=v(M, \Theta)$ is stationary in $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $B_{\rho}(\xi) \subset \subset U$. Then we have the monotonicity formula (10), and if $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, 0\right)$ the formulae (11) or (12) holding true.

Remark. In general we assume $\alpha>1$ in the definition of stationarity; however if $M=\operatorname{graph} u$, where $u \geq 0$ is some Lipschitz-solution of the s.m.s.e. $(*)$ then, because of Proposition 3, $\alpha>0$ is sufficient in this case. In particular we then also have the monotonicity formulae for all $\alpha>0$ and $M=$ graph of a Lipschitz solution $u$. Similarly, if $v$ is given by the reduced boundary of a minimizing set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then we conclude a monotonicity formula for all $\alpha>0$ directly from the minimizing property of $v$, rather then differentiating the functional as in Proposition 4, see Proposition 6. To show this we consider $n$-rectifiable varifolds $v=v(M, \Theta)$ given by the reduced boundary $\partial^{*} E$ of a Caccioppoli set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ which locally minimizes the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}(U)=\int\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{U}\right|, \quad \alpha>0
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e. we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right| \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{F}\right|
$$

for any bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and all sets $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with locally finite perimeter such that $F \Delta E \subset \subset \Omega$. In other words, if we introduce the quantities $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}(E, \Omega)$ by
$\mathrm{N}(E, \Omega):=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{F}\right| ; F\right.$ has finite perimeter in $\Omega$ and $\left.F \Delta E \subset \subset \Omega\right\}$ and the "indicator" function $\Psi=\Psi(E, \Omega)$ by

$$
\Psi(E, \Omega):=\int_{\Omega}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|-\mathrm{N}(E, \Omega)
$$

we consider $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, so that

$$
\Psi(E, \Omega)=0 \text { for all open sets } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
$$

The following result immediately implies the monotonicity formula for minimizing boundaries, see also Giusti [GE] Lemma 5.8 for a similar estimate.

Proposition 5. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ have finite perimeter in a ball $B_{R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then we have for all balls $B_{\sigma}(0) \subset B_{\rho}(0) \subset \subset B_{R}(0)$ the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|x \cdot D \varphi_{E}\right|}{|x|^{n+\alpha+1}}\right)^{2} \leq 2\left(\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}}\right) \\
& \left\{(n+\alpha) \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \Psi\left(E, B_{r}\right) d r+\rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $B_{\sigma}=B_{\sigma}(0), B_{\rho}=B_{\rho}(0)$.

Remark. The same result holds for arbitrary balls $B_{\sigma} \subset \subset B_{\rho}(\xi) \subset B_{R}(0)$ with center $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, 0\right)$ lying on the coordinate hyperplane $\left\{x_{n+1}=0\right\}$.

Proof of Proposition 5. Let $\phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}$ be a mollification of the characteristic function $\varphi_{E}$ with the properties

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{r}}\left|\varphi_{E}-\phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\right| d \mathcal{H}^{n} & \rightarrow 0, \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \text { and } \\
\int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\right| d x & \rightarrow \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|, \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

for almost all $r \in[0, R]$, (cp. [MF] Thm. 12.3).
Define

$$
\varphi_{E_{B_{r}}}(x):= \begin{cases}\varphi_{E}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right) & , \text { if }|x| \leq r \\ \varphi_{E}(x) & , \text { if }|x|>r\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}(x):=\phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right) .
$$

Observe first that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{r}}\left|\eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi_{E_{B_{r}}}\right| d x & =\int_{0}^{r} \int_{\partial B_{\rho}}\left|\eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi_{E_{B_{r}}}\right| d \mathcal{H}^{n} d \rho \\
& =\int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^{n} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|\eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi_{E_{B_{r}}}\right| d \mathcal{H}^{n} d \rho  \tag{14}\\
& =\frac{r}{n+1} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|\phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi\right| d \mathcal{H}^{n} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text { f.a.a. } r \in[0, R]
\end{align*}
$$

whence by lower semicontinuity also

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|-\Psi\left(E, B_{r}\right) & \leq \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E_{B_{r}}}\right|  \tag{15}\\
& \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}\right| d x .
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of $\eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}$ we compute

$$
D \eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}(x)=r\left(\frac{D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|}-\frac{\left(D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right) \cdot x\right)}{|x|^{3}} \cdot x\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}\right| d x \\
= & r \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left\{|x|^{-2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right)\right|^{2}-|x|^{-4}\left(x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} d x \\
= & r \int_{0}^{r} \int_{\partial B_{\tau}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}|x|^{-1}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right)\right| \cdot\left\{1-\frac{\left(x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\left(r \frac{x}{|x|}\right)\right|^{2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} d \mathcal{H}^{n} d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the transformation $x=\frac{\tau}{r} y$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}\right| d x \\
= & r \int_{0}^{r} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|y_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}|y|^{-1}\left(\frac{\tau}{r}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right|\left\{1-\frac{\left(y \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right)^{2}}{|y|^{2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\tau}{r}\right)^{n} d \mathcal{H}^{n} d \tau \\
\leq & r \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{\tau}{r}\right)^{n+\alpha-1} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} r^{-1}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}\right|\left\{1-\frac{\left(x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} d \mathcal{H}^{n} d \tau  \tag{16}\\
\leq & \frac{r}{n+\alpha} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|\left\{1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2}}\right\} d \mathcal{H}^{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now multiply (15) by $r^{-n-\alpha-1}$, integrate over $r$ from $\sigma$ to $\rho$ and then employ (16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1}\left(\int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|-\Psi\left(E, B_{r}\right)\right) d r \\
\leq & \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \eta_{r}^{\varepsilon}\right| d x d r \\
\leq & \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\frac{1}{n+\alpha} \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{n} d r\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2(n+\alpha)} \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left(x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|} d \mathcal{H}^{n} d r\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{n+\alpha} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x-\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x\right. \\
& +(n+\alpha) \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x d r \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha} \int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left(x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|} d \mathcal{H}^{n} d r\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we have used an integration by parts. Rearranging terms we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left(x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{n+\alpha+2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|} d x \\
& \leq-\int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right| d r+\int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \Psi\left(B_{r}\right) d r \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{(n+\alpha)} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x\right.  \tag{17}\\
& \quad-\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x \\
& \left.\quad+(n+\alpha) \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x d r\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand we apply Schwarz' inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|}{|x|^{n+\alpha+1}} d x\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} d x\right)\left(\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left(x \cdot D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{n+\alpha+2}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and estimate the second factor with the help of (17). This yields the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x) \cdot x\right|}{|x|^{n+\alpha+1}} d x\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 2(n+\alpha) \int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} d x\left\{-\int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right| d r\right. \\
& \quad+\int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \Psi\left(E, B_{r}\right) d r \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{(n+\alpha)} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x\right. \\
& \quad-\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x \\
& \left.\left.\quad+(n+\alpha) \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \int_{B_{r}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \phi_{E}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x d r\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which in turn - using the approximation (13) - proves the final estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|D \varphi_{E} \cdot x\right|}{|x|^{n+\alpha+1}}\right)^{2} \leq 2\left(\int_{B_{\rho}-B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} \frac{\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}}\right) \\
& \left\{(n+\alpha) \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} r^{-n-\alpha-1} \Psi\left(E, B_{r}\right) d r+\rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 5 immediately implies the monotonicity formula for minimizing boundaries.

Proposition 6. Let $\alpha>0$ and suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a Caccioppoli set which locally minimizes $\mathcal{E}$ in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e. $\Psi(E, \Omega)=0$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right| \leq \rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|
$$

for all balls $B_{\sigma}=B_{\sigma}(\xi) \subset B_{\rho}=B_{\rho}(\xi) \subset \subset \Omega$, where $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{0\}$ is arbitrary.

## 3. Area growth

Here we suppose that $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has locally finite perimeter in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and minimizes

$$
\mathcal{E}(U)=\int\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{U}\right|, \quad \alpha>0
$$

locally in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ among Caccioppoli sets, i.e. the indicator function

$$
\Psi(E, \Omega)=0
$$

for all open sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that $E$ has "sublinear growth", if there exists some nonnegative measurable function $s: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $M=\partial^{*} E$ fulfills

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \subset\left\{\left(x, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ;-s(x) \leq x_{n+1} \leq s(x)\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}(0)}}{R}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{B}_{R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ denotes the $n$-ball with center at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}$ stands for the sup-norm of $s$ on $\mathcal{B}_{R}$. Analogously a function $u \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is of sublinear growth, if the subgraph

$$
U:=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ; t<u(x)\right\}
$$

has sublinear growth.
Proposition 7. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a Caccioppoli set which locally minimizes $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for some $\alpha>0$ and suppose $M=\partial^{*} E$ is of sublinear growth. Then we have

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|=0, \quad B_{R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
$$

Remark. Proposition 7 is sharp as one sees by considering the cones

$$
C_{n}^{\alpha}:=\left\{\left(x, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ; \quad 0<x_{n+1}<\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{n-1}}\|x\|\right\}
$$

which are of linear growth and minimize

$$
\mathcal{E}=\int\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{U}\right|
$$

if - for example $-n=2$ and $\alpha \geq 6$ say, see [DU1][DU2] for more details. Also, one easily computes

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{C_{n}^{\alpha}}\right|=c(n, \alpha) R^{n+\alpha}
$$

for some constant $c(n, \alpha)>0$.

Proof. Define the cylinder

$$
C_{R}:=\left\{\left(x, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ; \quad|x|<R \text { and }-|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}<x_{n+1}<|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}\right\}
$$

where $s: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is some "dominance function" with the properties (18) \& (19).
The minimum property of $E$ implies for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}\left(E, C_{R+\varepsilon}\right): & =\int_{C_{R+\varepsilon}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right| \leq \int_{C_{R+\varepsilon}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E-\overline{C_{R}}}\right|  \tag{20}\\
& =\mathcal{E}\left(E-\overline{C_{R}}, C_{R+\varepsilon}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and the trace formula for $B V$-functions yields for almost all $R, \varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\left(E-\overline{C_{R}}, C_{R+\varepsilon}\right)=\mathcal{E}\left(E, C_{R+\varepsilon}-\overline{C_{R}}\right)+\int_{\partial C_{R} \cap E}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly also

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}\left(E, C_{R+\varepsilon}\right) & \leq \int_{C_{R+\varepsilon}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E \cup \overline{C_{R}}}\right| \\
& =\mathcal{E}\left(E \cup \overline{C_{R}}, C_{R+\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{22}\\
& =\mathcal{E}\left(E, C_{R+\varepsilon}-\overline{C_{R}}\right)+\int_{\partial C_{R} \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-E\right)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

(20), (21) and (22) imply the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}\left(E, C_{R+\varepsilon}\right)= & \int_{C_{R+\varepsilon}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right| \\
\leq & \mathcal{E}\left(E, C_{R+\varepsilon}-\overline{C_{R}}\right) \\
& +\min \left\{\int_{\partial C_{R} \cap E}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n}, \int_{\partial C_{R} \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-E\right)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which in turn yields f.a.a. $R>0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\left(E, C_{R}\right) \leq \min \left\{\int_{\partial C_{R} \cap E}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n}, \int_{\partial C_{R} \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-E\right)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $\partial C_{R}=Z_{R} \cup D_{R}^{+} \cup D_{R}^{-}$, where

$$
Z_{R}:=\left\{\left(x, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ;|x|=R \text { and }-|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}} \leq x_{n+1} \leq|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}\right\}
$$

denotes the vertical wall and

$$
D_{R}^{ \pm}:=\left\{\left(x, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ;|x| \leq R, x_{n+1}= \pm|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}\right\}
$$

denote the top and bottom of the cylinder $\partial C_{R}$ respectively. We find the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial C_{R}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n} & =\int_{D_{R}^{+} \cup D_{R}^{-}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n}+\int_{Z_{R}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n} \\
& \leq 2 \omega_{n} R^{n}|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}^{\alpha}+\frac{\omega_{n}}{1+\alpha} R^{n-1}|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}^{1+\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence, by virtue of (23) also

$$
R^{-n-\alpha} \int_{C_{R}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right| \leq c(n, \alpha)\left\{R^{-\alpha}|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}^{\alpha}+R^{-\alpha-1}|s|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{R}}^{1+\alpha}\right\}
$$

Finally, by assumption $M=\partial^{*} E \subset\left\{\left(x, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} ;-s(x)<x_{n+1}<s(x)\right\}$, whence $M \cap B_{R}(0) \subset C_{R}$ and together with (23) and (19) we conclude

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{E}\right|=0
$$

The proof of the following Proposition is standard, see e.g. [GT], chapter 16.
For convenience we give the argument in some detail.

Proposition 8. Let $u \in H_{1, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}-K\right), K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ compact, be a weak nonnegative solution of the s.m.s.e. (3) in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}-K\right)$ and let $K \subset \mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then for every $\rho>R_{0}+1$ there holds the area estimate

$$
\int_{M \cap B_{\rho}(0)} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n} \leq c(n) \rho^{n}|u|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}}^{\alpha}+|u|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}}^{\alpha}|u|_{1, \mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}}
$$

where $M:=$ graph $u_{\mid \mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}}$ and $|u|_{p, \Omega}$ denotes the $L_{p}$-norm of $u$ on $\Omega$.

Proof. Choose $\rho>R_{0}+1$ and some cut-off function $\eta \in C_{c}^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}-K\right)$ with the properties

$$
\eta(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \quad R_{0}+1 \leq|x| \leq \rho \\ 0, & \text { if }|x| \leq R_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad|x| \geq 2 \rho\end{cases}
$$

and such that a.e.

$$
|D \eta| \leq \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } R_{0} \leq|x| \leq R_{0}+1 \\ 0 & \text { for } R_{0}+1<|x|<\rho \\ \frac{1}{\rho} & \text { for } \rho \leq|x| \leq 2 \rho\end{cases}
$$

Put $\varphi:=\eta \cdot u_{\rho}$, where $u_{\rho}$ denotes the truncated function

$$
u_{\rho}:= \begin{cases}u & \text { on }\{0 \leq u<\rho\} \\ \rho & \text { on }\{u \geq \rho\}\end{cases}
$$

Then there holds a.e.

$$
D u_{\rho}:= \begin{cases}D u & \text { on }\{0 \leq u<\rho\} \\ 0 & \text { on }\{u \geq \rho\} .\end{cases}
$$

and $\varphi \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}-K\right)$ satisfies $D \varphi=D \eta \cdot u_{\rho}+\eta D u_{\rho}$ a. e. Upon substitution of $\varphi$ and $D \varphi$ into the weak formulation of (3)

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}-K}\left(\frac{D u D \varphi}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}+\frac{\alpha \varphi}{u \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}\right) d x=0
$$

we arrive at

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}}\left\{\frac{D u D \eta u_{\rho}}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}+\frac{D u D u_{\rho} \eta}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}+\frac{\alpha \eta u_{\rho}}{u \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}}\right\} d x=0 .
$$

Since $D u_{\rho}=0$ on $\{u \geq \rho\}$ a.e. we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}\right) \cap\{u<\rho\}} \frac{|D u|^{2} \eta}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} d x=-\int_{\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}} \frac{D u D \eta u_{\rho}}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} d x \\
&-\alpha \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2_{\rho}-}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}} \frac{u_{\rho} \eta}{u \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, because of $\eta=1$, if $R_{0}+1 \leq|x| \leq \rho, 0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $u, u_{\rho} \geq 0$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\left(\mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}\right) \cap\{u<\rho\}} \frac{|D u|^{2}}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} \leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}} \frac{u_{\rho}|D u||D \eta|}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} d x
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left(\mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}\right) \cap\{u<\rho\}} \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}} d x \leq \mathcal{L}^{n}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}\right) & +\int_{\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}-\mathcal{B}_{\rho}} \frac{u_{\rho}|D u||D \eta|}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} d x \\
& +\int_{\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}} \frac{u_{\rho}|D u||D \eta|}{\sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $0 \leq u_{\rho} \leq u, 0 \leq u_{\rho} \leq \rho,|D \eta| \leq \frac{1}{\rho}$ on $\{\rho \leq|x| \leq 2 \rho\}$ and $|D \eta| \leq 1$ on $\left\{R_{0} \leq|x| \leq R_{0}+1\right\}$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left(\mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}\right) \cap\{u<\rho\}} \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}} d x \\
\leq & \mathcal{L}^{n}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}\right)+\mathcal{L}^{n}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 \rho}-\mathcal{B}_{\rho}\right)+|u|_{1, \mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}} \\
\leq & c_{1}(n) \rho^{n}+|u|_{1, \mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\int_{\left(\mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}\right) \cap\{u<\rho\}} u^{\alpha} \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}} d x \leq|u|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}}^{\alpha}\left\{c_{1}(n) \rho^{n}+|u|_{1, \mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}}\right\}
$$

and in particular, with $M=\operatorname{graph} u_{\mid \mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}}$

$$
\int_{M \cap B_{\rho}(0)} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n} \leq c_{1}(n) \rho^{n}|u|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}}^{\alpha}+|u|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_{\rho}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}}^{\alpha}|u|_{1, \mathcal{B}_{R_{0}+1}-\mathcal{B}_{R_{0}}} .
$$

## 4. Proofs

We start with

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose on the contrary to the statement of Theorem 1, there is a Lipschitz-solution $u \geq 0$ of the s.m.s.e. (*) which satisfies the growth condition

$$
u(x)=\mathrm{o}(|x|) \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

By Propositions 3 and 4, especially formula (12) applied to $M=\operatorname{graph}(u), d \mu=$ $d \mathcal{H}_{n}$ and $\xi=0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we get for all $0<\sigma<\rho<\infty$ the inequality

$$
\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma}(0) \cap M} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}^{n} \leq \rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}(0) \cap M} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}^{n}
$$

Since $\mathcal{L}^{n}(\{u=0\})=0$ there is some $\sigma_{0}>0$ with

$$
\sigma_{0}^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma_{0}} \cap M} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}^{n}>0
$$

However, according to Proposition 8 we must have

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho} \cap M} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}^{n}=0
$$

an obvious contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $u \in B V_{+, \text {loc }}^{1+\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a local minimum of the variational integral

$$
E=\int u^{\alpha} \sqrt{1+|D u|^{2}}, \alpha>0
$$

in the class $B V_{+}^{1+\alpha}(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ arbitrary. Then we have $u \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (in fact $u \in H_{1, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ according to Tennstädt [TT1]) and the subgraph

$$
U:=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; t<u(x)\right\}
$$

has locally finite perimeter in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. By Theorem 10 in [BD], the supgraph $U$ locally minimizes

$$
\mathcal{E}(U)=\int\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{U}\right|
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. (In fact, in the paper $[\mathrm{BD}]$ only the case $\alpha=1$ is considered, however the generalization to arbitrary $\alpha>0$ is straight forward!). Now we are in the situation described in Proposition 6 with minimizing set $U$ and arbitrary open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. For $\xi=0$ and $0<\sigma<\rho<\infty$ arbitrary we get

$$
\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{U}\right| \leq \rho^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\rho}(0)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{U}\right|
$$

By virtue of Proposition 7 and by letting $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ we finally arrive at

$$
\int_{B_{\sigma}(0)}\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|D \varphi_{U}\right|=0
$$

for every $\sigma>0$, hence $\partial U=\left\{x_{n+1}=0\right\}$.
Proof of Theorem 3. Theorem 3 follows from Propositions 6 and 7 analogously to the proof to Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 4. Suppose on the contrary to the statement of Theorem 4, that there is a non-trivial $u \in H_{1, \text { loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ which solves the s.m.s.e. weakly in
$\mathbb{R}^{n}-\{u=0\}$ and which is of sublinear growth. By Proposition $3^{\prime} M=\operatorname{graph}(u)$ is stationary in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Proposition 4, formula (12) with $\xi=0$, Proposition 8 , and the assumption of sublinear growth imply that

$$
\sigma^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B_{\sigma}(0) \cap M} x_{n+1}^{\alpha} d \mathcal{H}_{n}=0
$$

for every $\sigma>0$ and $M=\operatorname{graph}(u) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$; whence we had $u=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This contradiction finishes the proof of Theorem 4.
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