An extending theorem for s-resolvable t-designs

Tran van Trung Institut für Experimentelle Mathematik Universität Duisburg-Essen Thea-Leymann-Straße 9, 45127 Essen, Germany

Abstract

An extending theorem for s-resolvable t-designs is presented, which may be viewed as an extension of Qiu-rong Wu's result. The theorem yields recursive constructions for s-resolvable t-designs, and mutually disjoint t-designs. For example, it can be shown that if there exists a large set LS[29](4, 5, 33), then there exists a family of 3-resolvable $4-(5 + 29m, 6, \frac{5}{2}m(1 + 29m))$ designs for $m \ge 1$, with 5 resolution classes. Moreover, for any given integer $h \ge 1$, there exist $(5 \cdot 2^h - 5)$ mutually disjoint simple $3-(3 + m(5 \cdot 2^h - 3), 4, m)$ designs for all $m \ge 1$. In addition, we give a brief account of t-designs derived from the result of Wu.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B05

Keywords: s-resolvable t-design, extending theorem.

1 Introduction

In [11] Teirlinck presented a recursive construction method for large sets LS[n](t, t + 1, v), and also mentioned (on page 351) that the result was implicit in his earlier paper [10], but it is by no means obvious. In [4] Khosrosvshahi and Ajoodani-Namini gave a theorem for extending t-designs for k = t+1, whose application to large sets provided the same result as that of Teirlinck. Shortly after, Qiu-rong Wu [14] generalized the construction for any $k \ge t+1$ and obtained a striking result on extending t-designs and large sets. Based on Wu's result, Kramer, Magliveras and O'Brien [5] proved among others the existence of large sets LS[3](4, 6, 9m + 5) for any $m \ge 1$. And Kreher [7] showed the existence of LS[2](6, 8, 16m + 23) for all $m \ge 0$. In the present paper we are interested in simple s-resolvable t-designs and we will prove an extending theorem for s-resolvable t-designs along the lines of the extending theorem of Wu. It should be mentioned that a general method for constructing t-designs was presented in [12], in which s-resolvable t-designs play a crucial role, and the first investigation of these designs was recently given in [13].

We recall a few basic definitions. A t-design, denoted by $t-(v, k, \lambda)$, is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where X is a v-set of *points* and \mathcal{B} is a collection of k-subsets of X, called *blocks*, such that every t-subset of X is a subset of exactly λ blocks of \mathcal{B} . A t-design is called simple if no two blocks are identical, otherwise, it is called *non-simple*. All designs in this paper are simple designs. For any fixed subset Y of X with $|Y| = u \leq t$, define $\mathcal{B}_Y = \{B \setminus Y : Y \subset B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then $(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ is a (t-u)- $(v-u, k-u, \lambda)$ design, called a *derived design* of (X, \mathcal{B}) . It is well-known that a t- (v, k, λ) design is also an s- (v, k, λ_s) design for $0 \le s \le t$, where $\lambda_s = \lambda {\binom{v-s}{t-s}} / {\binom{k-s}{t-s}}$. If \mathcal{B} is the set of all k-subsets of X, then (X, \mathcal{B}) is a t- $(v, k, {\binom{v-t}{k-t}})$ design, called the *complete* design or the trivial design. A t- (v, k, λ) design (X, \mathcal{B}) is said to be s-resolvable, for 0 < s < t, if its block set \mathcal{B} can be partitioned into $N \geq 2$ classes $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_N$ such that each (X, \mathcal{A}_i) is an $s(v, j, \delta)$ design for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Each \mathcal{A}_i is called an s-resolution class or simply a resolution class and the set of N classes is called an s-resolution of (X, \mathcal{B}) . If the complete k-(v, k, 1) design is t-resolvable with N resolution classes, where each class is a $t(v, k, \lambda)$ design, then we say that there exists a *large set* of size N of t-designs denoted by LS[N](t,k,v) or by $LS_{\lambda}(t,k,v)$ to emphasize the value λ . Moreover, if there is an LS[N](t, k, v), then there is an LS[N](t-u, k-u, v-u), for $u \leq t$.

For more information about s-resolvable t-designs with 1 < s < t we refer the reader to [12, 13]. It should be remarked that s-resolvable t-designs have been used in the construction of t-designs [12].

2 The main theorem of Wu and a proposition of Teirlinck

We begin by recalling the main extending theorem for t-designs of Qiu-rong Wu in [14] and a proposition of Teirlinck about large sets in [11].

Theorem 2.1 (Wu) Suppose that there exist

- (i) simple t- (v_1, k, λ_1) and t- (v_2, k, λ_2) designs D_1 and D_2 such that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\binom{v_1-t}{k-t}} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\binom{v_2-t}{k-t}} = z;$
- (ii) $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_1-1)$ and $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_2-1)$, where n is an integer such that zn is an integer.

Then there exists a simple $t - (v_1 + v_2 - k + 1, k, \lambda)$ design D_3 with $\lambda = z {\binom{v_1 + v_2 - k + 1 - t}{k - t}}$.

Corollary 2.2 (Wu) Suppose that there exist a simple t- (v, k, λ) design with $z = \frac{\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}}$ and a large set LS[n](k-2, k-1, v-1), where n is an integer such that zn is an integer. Then there exists a simple t- $(v + m(v - k + 1), k, z\binom{v-t+m(v-k+1)}{k-t})$ design, for any m > 0.

Proposition 2.3 (Teirlinck) If there exists a large set LS[n](t, t+1, v), then there exists a large set LS[n](t, t+1, v + m(v-t)) for any positive integer m.

Note that Proposition 2.3 is Proposition 9 given in [11], where Teirlinck also mentioned that it was implicitly in [10].

3 An Extending Theorem for *s*-resovable *t*-designs

Theorem 3.1 Let D_1 and D_2 be simple t- (v_1, k, λ_1) and t- (v_2, k, λ_2) designs respectively such that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\binom{v_1-t}{k-t}} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\binom{v_2-t}{k-t}} = z$. Suppose that

- (i) D_1 and D_2 are both s-resolvable with N resolution classes and $z = \frac{Nu}{n}$, where u, n are positive integers;
- (ii) there exist $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_1-1)$ and $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_2-1)$.

Then there exists a simple s-resolvable t- $(v_1 + v_2 - k + 1, k, \lambda)$ design D_3 with N resolution classes, where $\lambda = z {\binom{v_1+v_2-k+1-t}{k-t}}$.

The following simple lemma is needed for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2 Let (X, \mathcal{D}) be a t- (v, k, λ) design such that $z = \frac{\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{Nu}{n}$, where N, u, n are positive integers. Suppose that (X, \mathcal{D}) is s-resolvable with N resolution classes, where each class is an s- (v, k, δ_s) design. Then $z' = \frac{\delta_s}{\binom{v-s}{k-s}} = \frac{u}{n}$.

Proof. First note that $\lambda_s = \lambda \frac{\binom{v-s}{t-s}}{\binom{k-s}{t-s}}$. Since (X, \mathcal{D}) is a disjoint union of N designs with parameters s- (v, k, δ_s) , we have $\lambda_s = N\delta_3$. Thus

$$\delta_s = \frac{\lambda_s}{N} = \frac{u}{n} \binom{v-t}{k-t} \frac{\binom{v-s}{t-s}}{\binom{k-s}{t-s}}.$$

This simplifies to

$$\delta_s = \frac{u}{n} \binom{v-s}{k-s}.$$

Hence $z' = \frac{\delta_3}{\binom{v-s}{k-s}} = \frac{u}{n}$.

Proof. (of Theorem 3.1)

The proof consists of two parts. Part 1 is the construction of D_3 . Part 2 is the proof of *s*-resolvability of D_3 . Part 1 is the proof of Theorem 2.1, given by Qui-rong Wu in [14]. In order to follow the proof in Part 2 we need to describe the construction of D_3 in Part 1.

Part 1: Construction of D_3 .

Let $X = \{1, 2, \dots, v_1 + v_2 - k + 1\}$. Define $X_j = \{1, 2, \dots, v_1 - j\}, j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, and $Y_j = \{v_1 + 2 - j, v_1 + 3 - j, \dots, v_1 + v_2 - k + 1\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Note that $X_j \cup Y_j = X \setminus \{v_1 + 1 - j\},$ for 0 < j < k. Partition $P_k(X)$ into (k + 1) classes: $C_0, ..., C_k$, as follows. $C_0 = P_k(X_0), C_k = P_k(Y_k)$, and for $0 < j < k, C_j = \{A \cup A', A \in P_{k-j}(X_j), A' \in P_j(Y_j)\}$.

Let $P_t(X) = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{\binom{v_1+v_2-k+1}{t}}\}$ be the set of all *t*-subsets of *X*, and let n_{ij} denote the number of blocks $B \in C_j$ containing T_i . Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} n_{ij} = \binom{v_1 + v_2 - k + 1 - t}{k - t}$$
(1)

The main idea is to construct a collection \mathcal{B}_j of k-subsets of X from C_j such that any t-subset T_i of X is contained in zn_{ij} blocks in \mathcal{B}_j for $j = 0, \ldots, k$. Thus by equation (1), $(X, \bigcup_{j=0}^k \mathcal{B}_j)$ is a t- $(v_1 + v_2 - k + 1, k, z \binom{v_1 + v_2 - k + 1 - t}{k - t})$ design.

The description of \mathcal{B}_j is as follows. Consider two cases (a): j = 0, k and (b): 0 < j < k.

Case (a): j = 0, k.

 \mathcal{B}_0 is a collection of k-subsets of X_0 such that (X_0, \mathcal{B}_0) is a copy of the t- (v_1, k, λ_1) design D_1 . \mathcal{B}_k is a collection of k-subsets of Y_k such that (Y_k, \mathcal{B}_k) is a copy of the t- (v_2, k, λ_2) design D_2 .

Then it is clear that if $T_i \subset X_0$, then $zn_{i,0} = \lambda_1$. Thus T_i is contained in $zn_{i,0}$ blocks of \mathcal{B}_0 . Similarly, if $T_i \subset Y_k$, then T_i is contained in $zn_{i,k}$ blocks of \mathcal{B}_k .

Case (b): 0 < j < k.

The construction of \mathcal{B}_j , 0 < j < k, is based on the large sets $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_1-1)$ and $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_2-1)$ and their derived large sets. First, consider $X_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, v_1 - 1\}$. Let $(X_1, \mathcal{A}_{1,1}), (X_1, \mathcal{A}_{2,1}), \ldots, (X_1, \mathcal{A}_{n,1})$ be a large set of (k-2)- $(v_1 - 1, k - 1, \frac{v_1-k-1}{n})$ designs. Now $X_1 \setminus X_j = \{v_1 - j + 1, v_1 - j + 2, \ldots, v_1 - 1\}$. Deleting the points $v_1 - j + 1, v_1 - j + 2, \ldots, v_1 - 1$ gives the corresponding derived designs $(X_j, \mathcal{A}_{1,j}), (X_j, \mathcal{A}_{2,j}), \ldots, (X_j, \mathcal{A}_{n,j})$ which form a large set of (k - 1 - j)- $(v_1 - j, k - j, \frac{v_1-k-1}{n})$ designs.

Similarly, consider $Y_{k-1} = \{v_1 - k + 3, v_1 - k + 4, \dots, v_1 - k + v_2 + 1\}$. Let $(Y_{k-1}, \mathcal{A}'_{1,k-1}), (Y_{k-1}, \mathcal{A}'_{2,k-1}), \dots, (Y_{k-1}, \mathcal{A}'_{n,k-1})$ be a large set of $(k-2) \cdot (v_2 - 1, k - 1, \frac{v_2 - k - 1}{n})$ designs. By deleting the points $v_1 + 3 - k, v_1 + 4 - k, \dots, v_1 + 1 - j$ we obtain the corresponding derived designs $(Y_j, \mathcal{A}'_{1,j}), (Y_j, \mathcal{A}'_{2,j}), \dots, (Y_j, \mathcal{A}'_{n,j})$ which form a large set of $(j-1) \cdot (v_2 - k + j, j, \frac{v_2 - k - 1}{n})$ designs.

Let σ be any given permutation on $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, define a subset $C_{(j,\sigma)}$ of C_j as follows.

$$C_{(j,\sigma)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{A}_{i,j} \uplus \mathcal{A}'_{\sigma(i),j},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{i,j} \uplus \mathcal{A}'_{\sigma(i),j} = \{A \cup A' : A \in \mathcal{A}_{i,j}, A' \in \mathcal{A}'_{\sigma(i),j}\}$. Then it is shown that T_i is contained in n_{ij}/n blocks in $C_{(j,\sigma)}$ for every *i*. Finally, let m = zn = Nu and let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_m$ be *m* permutations on $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ with $\sigma_i = (12 \cdots n)^i, i = 1, 2 \ldots, m$. Define

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_{(j,\sigma_i)}$$

Then T_i is contained in $m(n_{i,j}/n) = zn_{i,j}$ blocks of \mathcal{B}_j . Cases (a) and (b) together show that $(X, \bigcup_{j=0}^k \mathcal{B}_j)$ is the desired design D_3 .

Part 2: Resolvability of D_3 .

Let $(X_0, \mathcal{B}_{0,1}), (X_0, \mathcal{B}_{0,2}), \dots, (X_0, \mathcal{B}_{0,N})$ be an s-resolution of $D_1 = (X_0, \mathcal{B}_0)$, with $\mathcal{B}_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{0,i}$ and each $(X_0, \mathcal{B}_{0,i})$ is an s- (v_1, k, δ_1) design. Let D'_1 denote the s- (v_1, k, δ_1) design.

Similarly, let $(Y_k, \mathcal{B}_{k,1}), (Y_k, \mathcal{B}_{k,2}), \dots, (Y_k, \mathcal{B}_{k,N})$ be an *s*-resolution of $D_2 = (Y_k, \mathcal{B}_k)$ with $\mathcal{B}_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{k,i}$ and each $(Y_k, \mathcal{B}_{k,i})$ is an *s*- (v_2, k, δ_2) design. Let D'_2 denote the *s*- (v_2, k, δ_2) design.

By Lemma 3.2 we have $z' = \frac{\delta_1}{\binom{v_1-s}{k-s}} = \frac{\delta_2}{\binom{v_2-s}{k-s}} = \frac{u}{n}$.

Let $P = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_m\}$ be the set of m = zn = Nu permutations on $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ with $\sigma_i = (12 \cdots n)^i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_N$ be a partition of Pwith $|P_i| = u$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

In Part 1, for 1 < j < k we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{j} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} C_{(j,\sigma_{i})}$$
$$= \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \bigcup_{h \in P_{i}} C_{(j,\sigma_{h})}$$
$$= \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{B}_{j,i},$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{j,i} := \bigcup_{h \in P_i} C_{(j,\sigma_h)}$. Here $(X, \bigcup_{j=0}^k \mathcal{B}_j)$ is the constructed $t \cdot (v_1 + v_2 - k + 1, k, z \binom{v_1 + v_2 - k + 1 - t}{k - t})$ design D_3 .

Define $D_3^{(i)} := (X, \bigcup_{j=0}^k \mathcal{B}_{j,i})$ for i = 1, ..., N. Then $D_3^{(i)}$ is the design constructed from the pair $D_1' = (X, \mathcal{B}_{0,i})$ and $D_2' = (X, \mathcal{B}_{k,i})$, and thus $D_3^{(i)}$ has parameters s- $(v_1 + v_2 - k + 1, k, z' \binom{v_1 + v_2 - k + 1 - s}{k - s})$. Since $D_3^{(1)}, \ldots, D_3^{(N)}$ are pairwise disjoint, they form an s-resolution of D_3 . The proof is complete. \Box

The next corollary providing a statement about large sets is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.3 Suppose there are large sets $LS[n](t, k, v_1)$, $LS[n](t, k, v_2)$, $LS[n](k - 2, k-1, v_1-1)$ and $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_2-1)$. Then there exists a large set $LS[n](t, k, v_1+v_2-k+1)$.

Proof. Here D_1 and D_2 are the complete k- $(v_1, k, 1)$ and k- $(v_2, k, 1)$ designs having both a *t*-resolution with *n* resolution classes. The constructed design D_3 is the complete k- $(v_1 + v_2 - k + 1, k, 1)$ design having again a *t*-resolution with *n* classes. \Box

Remark that Corollary 3.3 is Theorem 2 in [14], which is the main theorem for large sets of [14].

Corollary 3.4 Suppose that there exists an s-resolvable t- (v, k, λ) design with N resolution classes such that $z = \frac{\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{Nu}{n}$, where u, n are positive integers. If there exists an LS[n](k-2, k-1, v-1), then there exists an s-resolvable t- $(v + m(v - k + 1), k, z\binom{v-t+m(v-k+1)}{k-t})$ design with N resolution classes for any m > 0.

Proof. From the existence of an LS[n](k-2, k-1, v-1) by assumption, it follows that there exists an LS[n](k-2, k-1, v-1+m(v-k+1)) for any $m \ge 0$, by Proposition 2.3. Consider two starting steps of a recursion using Theorem 3.1. For m = 0, take $D_2 = D_1$, where D_1 is an s-resolvable t- (v, k, λ) design. Applying Theorem 3.1 gives an s-resolvable t- $(v + v - k + 1, k, z \binom{v+v-k+1-t}{k-t})$ design. For m = 1, take D_2 as an s-resolvable t- $(v+v-k+1, k, z \binom{v+v-k+1-t}{k-t})$ design. Large sets LS[n](k-2, k-1, v-1)and LS[n](k-2, k-1, v + (v - k)) exist for m = 0, 1. Thus Theorem 3.1 gives an s-resolvable t- $(v + 2(v - k + 1), k, z \binom{v+2(v-k+1)-t}{k-t})$ design. Hence, using Theorem 3.1 recursively will complete the proof. □

A simple form of Corollary 3.4 for large sets is as follows.

Corollary 3.5 Suppose that there exist large sets LS[n](t, k, v) and LS[n](k-2, k-1, v-1). Then there exist large sets LS[n](t, k, v + m(v-k+1)) for all $m \ge 0$.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 for mutually disjoint t-designs can be expressed as follows.

Corollary 3.6 Let D_1 and D_2 be the union of N mutually disjoint $t-(v_1, k, \lambda_1)$ and $t-(v_2, k, \lambda_2)$ designs respectively such that $\frac{N\lambda_1}{\binom{v_1-t}{k-t}} = \frac{N\lambda_2}{\binom{v_2-t}{k-t}} = \frac{Nu}{n}$, where u, n are positive integers. Suppose that there exist $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_1-1)$ and $LS[n](k-2, k-1, v_2-1)$. Then there exist N mutually disjoint $t-(v_1+v_2-k+1, k, \lambda)$ designs with $\lambda = \frac{u}{n} \binom{v_1+v_2-k+1-t}{k-t}$.

In this context Corollary 3.4 becomes

Corollary 3.7 Suppose that there exist N mutually disjoint $t \cdot (v, k, \lambda)$ designs such that $z = \frac{N\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{Nu}{n}$, where u, n are positive integers. If there exists an LS[n](k-2, k-1, v-1), then there exist N mutually disjoint $t \cdot (v + m(v-k+1), k, \frac{u}{n} \binom{v-t+m(v-k+1)}{k-t})$ designs for any m > 0.

4 Applications

First of all, we show the existence of simple 3-resolvable 4-(34, 6, 75) and 4-(35, 7, 31 · 25) designs with N = 5 resolution classes. Consider 3-resolvable 4-(33, 5, 5) and 4-(33, 6, 70) designs, both having N = 5 resolution classes. The former is constructed by Alltop and the latter by Bierbrauer, see [13]. Next, employ Corollary 4.3 of [13] which states that if there exist *s*-resolvable *t*-designs with parameters t-($v, k - 1, \lambda_t^{(k-1)}$) and

t- $(v, k, \lambda_t^{(k)})$ having the same number of resolution classes, such that $\lambda_{t-1}^{(k-1)} - \lambda_t^{(k-1)} = \lambda_t^{(k)}$, then there exists an *s*-resolvable t- $(v + 1, k, \lambda_{t-1}^{(k-1)})$ design. It is clear that the condition of the corollary is satisfied for the 4-(33, 5, 5) and 4-(33, 6, 70) designs, thus we obtain a 4-(34, 6, 75) design.

Now consider a 3-resolvable 4-(34, 7, 700) design with N = 5 resolution classes in Theorem 6.1 of [13]. Again, applying Corollary 4.3 of [13] to the 4-(34, 6, 75) and 4-(34, 7, 700) designs will give a 3-resolvable 4- $(35, 7, 31 \cdot 25)$ design.

We record this result in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 There exist simple 3-resolvable 4-designs with N = 5 resolution classes having parameters 4-(34, 6, 75) and 4-(35, 7, 31 \cdot 25).

Now, by using these 4-(33, 5, 5), 4-(34, 6, 75), and 4- $(35, 7, 31 \cdot 25)$ designs for Corollary 3.4, we may state the following.

- **Proposition 4.2** 1. If there exists an LS[29](3, 4, 32), then there exists a 3-resolvable 4-(4+29m, 5, 5m) design for any $m \ge 1$.
 - 2. If there exists an LS[29](4, 5, 33), then there exists a 3-resolvable 4- $(5+29m, 6, \frac{5}{2}m(1+29m))$ design for any $m \ge 1$.
 - 3. If an LS[29](5, 6, 34) exists, then there exists a 3-resolvable $4 \cdot (6 + 29m, 7, \frac{5}{3}m\binom{2+29m}{2})$ design for any $m \ge 1$.

The existence of any infinite family of 3-resolvale 4-designs in Proposition 4.2 thus reduces to the existence of a single large set. Hence the following problem is a great challenge.

Open problem 4.1 Does there exist any of the following large sets LS[29](3, 4, 32), LS[29](4, 5, 33), LS[29](5, 6, 34)?

Note that LS[29](4, 5, 33), LS[29](3, 4, 32) and LS[29](2, 3, 31) are the derived large sets of LS[29](5, 6, 34). Among these large sets, only LS[29](2, 3, 31) is known to exist.

A derived design of the 4-(33, 5, 5) design above is a 2-resolvable 3-(32, 4, 5) design. Since an LS[29](2, 3, 31) exists, we obtain the following result by Corollary 3.4.

Theorem 4.3 There exists a 2-resolvable 3-(3+29m, 4, 5m) design with N = 5 resolution classes for any $m \ge 1$.

We now show an interesting example of mutually disjoint 3-designs by using Corollary 3.7. In [3] Etzion and Hartman show that for $v = 5 \cdot 2^h$, $h \ge 1$, there exist $5 \cdot 2^h - 5$ mutually disjoint $3 \cdot (5 \cdot 2^h, 4, 1)$ Steiner quadruple systems. However, the existence of a large set of $3 \cdot (5 \cdot 2^h, 4, 1)$ designs remains an open problem for $h \ge 2$. For h = 1, i.e., v = 10, Kramer and Mesner show in [6] that the maximal number of mutually disjoint $3 \cdot (10, 4, 1)$ designs is 5. In other words, there is no large set of $3 \cdot (10, 4, 1)$ designs. Since there are $N = 5 \cdot 2^h - 5$ mutually disjoint $3 \cdot (5 \cdot 2^h, 4, 1)$ designs for any given $h \ge 1$, we have $z = \frac{N\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{Nu}{n} = \frac{5 \cdot 2^h - 5}{5 \cdot 2^h - 3}$. In addition, since $LS[5 \cdot 2^h - 3](2, 3, 5 \cdot 2^h - 1)$ exists, Corollary 3.7 yields $5 \cdot 2^h - 5$ mutually disjoint 3-designs with parameters $3 \cdot (3 + m(5 \cdot 2^n - 3), 4, m)$ for all $m \ge 1$. Thus we have

Theorem 4.4 For any given integer $h \ge 1$, there exist $N = 5 \cdot 2^h - 5$ mutually disjoint simple $3 \cdot (3 + m(5 \cdot 2^h - 3), 4, m)$ designs for all $m \ge 1$.

5 Some series of t-designs from Wu's result

Closer inspection of the literature reveals that works related to the result of Wu have focused on large sets rather than on finding *t*-designs. Here we include a short account of simple *t*-designs for t = 4, 5 concerning the latter case.

- 1. There exist simple 4-(18,5, h2) designs for h = 1, 2, 3 with $z := \frac{\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{h}{7}$. Further there is a LS[7](3, 4, 17) [2]. Using Corollary 2.2 we obtain a 4-(4 + 14m, 5, h2m) design for every $m \ge 1$.
- 2. There exist simple 5-(33, 6, h4) designs for h = 1, 2, 3 with $z := \frac{\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{h}{7}$. Further there is a LS[7](4, 5, 32) [8]. From Corollary 2.2 we obtain a 5-(5 + 28m, 6, h4m) design for every $m \ge 1$.

Using the following result of Teirlinck [9]: an $LS_{\lambda_{\min}}(3, 4, v)$ exists if $v \equiv 0 \mod 3$, we can derive more infinite classes of simple 4-designs from Corollary 2.2. Here are two examples.

- 3. There exist simple 4-(31, 5, h3) designs for h = 1, 2, 3, 4, as derived designs of 5-(32, 6, h3) designs [1], with $z := \frac{\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{h}{9}$. Since there exists a $LS_{\lambda_{\min}}(3, 4, 30) = LS[9](3, 4, 30)$, Corollary 2.2 gives a 4-(4 + 27m, 5, h3m) design for any $m \ge 1$.
- 4. There exist simple 4-(37, 5, h3) designs for h = 3, 4 with $z := \frac{\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{h}{11}$. Since there is a $LS_{\lambda_{\min}}(3, 4, 36) = LS[11](3, 4, 36)$ we have a 4-(4+33m, 5, h3m) design for any $m \ge 1$.

In summary, we obtain the following.

Theorem 5.1 There exist the following simple infinite series of t-designs with parameters:

- 1. $4 (4 + 14m, 5, h2m), h = 1, 2, 3, m \ge 1$.
- 2. $5 (5 + 28m, 6, h4m), h = 1, 2, 3, m \ge 1.$
- 3. 4-(4+27m, 5, h3m), $h = 1, 2, 3, 4, m \ge 1$.
- 4. 4-(4+33m, 5, h3m), $h = 3, 4, m \ge 1$.

In general, using Corollary 2.2 and Teirlinck's result [9], we can prove the following.

Theorem 5.2 Let v be a positive integer with $v \equiv 1 \mod 3$. Suppose that there exists a simple 4- $(v, 5, \lambda)$ design. Then, there exists a simple 4- $(4 + m(v - 4), 5, \lambda m)$ design for any $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Let λ_{\min} denote the smallest possible value for which a 3- $(v-1, 4, \lambda_{\min})$ design exists. From the assumption there is a 3- $(v-1, 4, \lambda)$ design. Thus $\lambda = h\lambda_{\min}$. Since $v-1 \equiv 0 \mod 3$, there is an $LS_{\lambda_{\min}}(3, 4, v-1) = LS[N](3, 4, v-1)$, where $N = \frac{v-4}{\lambda_{\min}}$. Now for the 4- $(v, 5, \lambda)$ design we have $z := \frac{\lambda}{\binom{v-t}{k-t}} = \frac{\lambda}{v-4} = \frac{h}{N}$. Hence Corollary 2.2 gives a 4- $(4 + m(v-4), 5, \lambda m)$ design for any $m \geq 1$.

Furthermore, there exist large sets $LS_{\lambda_{\min}}(4, 5, 20u + 4)$ if gcd(u, 30) = 1, and $LS_{60}(4, 5, 60u + 4)$ if gcd(u, 60) = 1 or 2 [11]. Similarly, we obtain the following result by using these large sets.

- **Theorem 5.3** 1. If there exists a simple $5 (v, 6, \lambda)$ design for v = 20u + 5 and gcd(u, 30) = 1, then there exists a simple $5 (5 + m(v 5), 6, \lambda m)$ design for any $m \ge 1$.
 - 2. If there exists a simple 5- $(v, 6, \lambda)$ design for v = 60u+5 and gcd(u, 60) = 1 or 2, then there exists a simple 5- $(5 + m(v 5), 6, \lambda m)$ design for any $m \ge 1$.

6 Conclusion

The main result of the paper presents an extending theorem for s-resolvable t-designs along the lines of the extending theorem for t-designs and large sets of Qiu-rong Wu. A particular feature of the method is that it will produce an infinite series of t-designs having s-resolutions on the basis of a single pair of an appropriate s-resolvable t-design and a specific large set. Another consequence of the result is a recursive construction for mutually disjoint t-designs.

References

- M. ARAYA, M. HARADA, V. D. TONCHEV, AND A. WASSERMANN, Mutually disjoint designs and new 5-designs derived from groups and codes, *J. Combin. Des.* 18, 305–317 (2010).
- [2] Y. M. CHEE AND S. .S MAGLIVERAS, A few more large sets of t-designs, J. Combin. Des. 6, 293–308 (1998).
- [3] T. ETZION AND A. HARTMAN, Towards a large set of Steiner quadruple systems, SIAM J. Disc. Math. 4, 182–195 (1991).
- [4] G. B. KHOSROVSHAHI AND S. AJOODANI-NAMINI, Combining t-designs, J. Combin. Theory A 58, 26–34 (1991).

- [5] E. S. KRAMER, S. S. MAGLIVERAS, AND E. A. O'BRIEN, Some new large sets of *t*-designs, *Australas. J. Combin.* 7, 189–193 (1993).
- [6] E. S. KRAMER AND D. M. MESNER, Intersections among Steiner systems, J. Combin. Theory A 16, 273–285 (1974).
- [7] D. L. KREHER, An infinite family of (simple) 6-designs, J. Comb. Des. 1, 277– 280 (1993).
- [8] R. LAUE, S. S. MAGLIVERAS, AND A. WASSERMANN, New large sets of tdesigns, J. Combin. Des. 9, 40-59 (2001).
- [9] L. TEIRLINCK, On large sets of disjoint quadruple systems, Ars Combin. 17, 173–176 (1984).
- [10] L. TEIRLINCK, Non-trivial t-designs without repeated blocks exist for all t, Discret. Math. 65, 301–311 (1987).
- [11] L. TEIRLINCK, Locally trivial t-designs and t-designs without repeated blocks, Discret. Math. 77, 345–356 (1989).
- [12] TRAN VAN TRUNG, A recursive construction for simple t-designs using resolutions, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 86, 1185–1200 (2018).
- [13] TRAN VAN TRUNG, Recursive construction for s-resolvable t-designs, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 87, 2835–2845 (2019).
- [14] QIU-RONG WU, A note on extending t-designs, Australas. J. Combin. 4, 229–235 (1991).