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#### Abstract

The aim of the paper is to present a general construction of strongly aperiodic logarithmic signatures (SALS) for elementary abelian p-groups. Their existence significantly extends the classes of tame logarithmic signatures which are used for the cryptosystem $M S T_{3}$. They have particular characteristics that do not share with the well-known classes of transversal or fused transversal logarithmic signatures, and therefore will play a vital role for logarithmic signature based cryptosystems in practice. In theory, the construction of SALS is interesting in its own right as well.
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## 1 Introduction

Logarithmic signatures (LS) and covers are a kind of factorization of a finite group $\mathcal{G}$ through its subsets and they induce surjective mappings from $\mathbb{Z}_{|\mathcal{G}|}$ onto $\mathcal{G}$. These mappings were used to building trapdoor one-way-functions for public key cryptosystems $M S T_{1}, M S T_{2}$ [7] and $M S T_{3}$ $[4,12]$. Logarithmic signatures for elementary abelian $p$-groups are particularly essential for an instantiation of cryptosystem $M S T_{3}$. Before the papers [1] and [11] were published, periodic LS such as transversal or fused transversal were practically the only known classes, which had been analyzed for use in $M S T_{3}$ [ $\left.8,2,12,15\right]$. In a recent paper [10] Rybkin investigated the attack in [2] against the simple version $\mathrm{MST}_{3}$ [4] and showed that the attack as described in [2] may have significant complexity. For the strengthened version of $M S T_{3}$ [12], it has been shown that fused transversal LS withstand the powerful Matrix-Permutation attack. In [1] Baumeister and de Wiljes have proposed a method for constructing aperiodic LS for abelian groups based on the theory in Szabo's book about group factorizations [14]. Strong aperiodic LS have been introduced in [11]. Actually, the SALS class with its specific features that do not share with the known LS classes, is of vital significance for practical realizations of $M S T_{3}$ and also for LS based cryptosystems. The construction of strongly aperiodic LS in [11] consists of two main steps. In the first step, construct a specific class of aperiodic LS on the basis of Baumeister-de Wiljes method. In the second step, show that the constructed class is strongly aperiodic. It should be noted that the logarithmic signatures
constructed in the first step are generally not strongly aperiodic. The present paper shows a general construction of SALS with arbitrarily large block size for elementary abelian $p$-groups, which may be viewed as a generalization of a construction in [11]. The result thus establishes a non-periodic class of LS having particularly strong features which are relevant for cryptographic purposes.

## 2 Preliminaries

We briefly present notation, definitions and some basic facts about logarithmic signatures, covers for finite groups. For more details the reader is refered to [6], [7].

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite group. We define the width of $\mathcal{G}$ to be the positive integer $w=\left\lceil\log _{2}|\mathcal{G}|\right\rceil$. Suppose that $\alpha=\left[A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{s}\right]$ is a sequence of ordered subsets $A_{i}=\left\{a_{i, 1}, \ldots, a_{i, r_{i}}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left|A_{i}\right|$ is bounded by a polynomial in the width $w$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{G}$. We say that $\alpha$ is a cover for $\mathcal{S}$, if every product $a_{1, j_{1}} \ldots a_{s, j_{s}}$ lies in $\mathcal{S}$ and if every $g \in \mathcal{S}$ can be expressed in at least one way as a product of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=a_{1, j_{1}} \cdots a_{s, j_{s}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{i, j_{i}} \in A_{i}$. If the expression in (2.1) is unique for every $g \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\alpha$ is called a logarithmic signature (LS) for $\mathcal{S}$. If $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{G}, \alpha$ is called a cover, resp., a logarithmic signature for $\mathcal{G}$. The $A_{i}$ are called the blocks, and the vector $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}\right)$ with $r_{i}=\left|A_{i}\right|$ the type of $\alpha$. We say that $\alpha$ is proper if $\left|A_{i}\right| \neq 1$ and $A_{i} \neq \mathcal{G}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$; and we assume that all covers and logarithmic signatures are proper. The product $a_{1, j_{1}} \cdots a_{s, j_{s}}$ in (2.1) is called a factorization of $g$ with respect to $\alpha$. The sum $\ell(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}$ is defined as the length of $\alpha$.

Let $\Gamma=\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of pairs, indexed by the security parameter $i$, where the $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ are groups in a common representation, and where $\alpha_{i}$ is a specific cover for $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ of length polynomial in $w_{i}$. We say that $\Gamma$ is tame if there exists a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ such that for each $g \in \mathcal{G}_{i}, \mathcal{A}$ accepts $\left(\alpha_{i}, g\right)$ as input, and outputs a factorization of $g$ with respect to $\alpha_{i}$ (as in Equation (2.1)) with overwhelming probability of success. We say that $\Gamma$ is wild if for any probabilistic polynomial time algorithm $\mathcal{A}$, the probability that $\mathcal{A}$ succeeds in factorizing a random element $g \in \mathcal{G}$ is negligible. Often we simply say $\alpha_{i}$ is tame or wild.

Let $\gamma: \mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_{0}>\mathcal{G}_{1}>\cdots>\mathcal{G}_{s}=1$ be a chain of subgroups of a finite group $\mathcal{G}$, and let $A_{i}$ be an ordered, complete set of right (or left) coset representatives of $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$. Then it is clear that $\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}\right]$ forms a LS for $\mathcal{G}$, called an (exact) transversal logarithmic signature (TLS). It is shown in [13], for example, if $\mathcal{G}$ is abelian, then there is an algorithm for factoring each element $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with respect to a TLS in time complexity $O(w)$. Thus $\gamma$ is tame. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is a permutation group on the set $X=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Consider a chain of nested point stabilizers $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_{0}>\mathcal{G}_{1}>\cdots>\mathcal{G}_{s}=1$, where $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ fixes pointwise the symbols $1,2, \ldots, i$, for any $i \geq 1$. It is shown in [6] that a specific constructed class of transversal logarithmic signatures from this chain of subgroups has a factorization in time complexity $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. In general, the problem of finding a factorization in Equation (2.1) with respect to a given cover is presumedly intractable. There is strong evidence in support of the hardness of the problem. For example, let $\mathcal{G}$ be a cyclic group and $g$ be a generator of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\alpha=\left[A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{s}\right]$ be any cover for $\mathcal{G}$, for which the elements of $A_{i}$ are written as powers of $g$. Then the factorization with respect to $\alpha$ amounts to solving the

Discrete Logarithm Problem in $\mathcal{G}$.
The main point making covers and LS interesting for use in cryptography is that they induce one-way functions when the factorization problem is intractable. In fact, they form the basis for private key crytosystem $P G M$ [6], public key cryptosystems $M S T_{1}, M S T_{2}$ and $M S T_{3}$ [7, 4, 12], and pseudorandom number generators in $[5,9]$.

## 3 Logarithmic signatures and basic transformations

We list some basic mappings that generally transform LS into LS. Let $\alpha=\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}\right]$ be an LS for a finite group $\mathcal{G}$. The following transformations can be applied on $\alpha$.
(i) (Element shuffle): Permuting the elements within each block of $\alpha$.
(ii) (Block shuffle): Permuting the blocks of $\alpha$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is abelian, the block shuffle results in a logarithmic signature. If $\mathcal{G}$ is non-abelian, permuting two blocks of $\alpha$ may result in a cover for a certain subset of $\mathcal{G}$ and not an LS for $\mathcal{G}$.
(iii) (Two sided transformation): Let $g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s} \in \mathcal{G}$. Define a new logarithmic signature $\beta=$ $\left[B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right]$ by $B_{i}=g_{i-1}^{-1} A_{i} g_{i}$. Then $\beta$ is called a two sided transform of $\alpha$. When $g_{0}=g_{s}=1$, we say that $\beta$ is a sandwich of $\alpha$. When $g_{0}=1, \beta$, is said to be a right translation of $\alpha$ by $g_{s}$. If $g_{s}=1$, then $\beta$ is called a left translation of $\alpha$ by $g_{0}$.
(iv) (Fusion): If $\mathcal{G}$ is non-abelian, then replacing two consecutive blocks $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq s-1$ by a single block $B=A_{i} A_{i+1}:=\left\{x y \mid x \in A_{i}, y \in A_{i+1}\right\}$ will result in a logarithmic signature. $B$ is called a fused block. If $\mathcal{G}$ is abelian, the fusion transformation can be done on any two blocks of $\alpha$.
(v) (Automorphism action): If $\varphi$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{G}$, then $\beta=\left[B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right]$ with $B_{i}=\varphi\left(A_{i}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, is a logarithmic signature for $\mathcal{G}$.

Recall that a logarithmic signature obtained from an exact transversal logarithmic signature by applying transformations (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) is called a fused transversal logarithmic signature (FTLS).

Definition 3.1 A non-empty subset $A$ of a group $\mathcal{G}$ is called periodic if there exists an element $g \in \mathcal{G} \backslash\left\{1_{\mathcal{G}}\right\}$ such that $g A=A$. Such an element $g$ is called a period of $A$.

The set of all periods of $A$ will be denoted by $P(A)$, i.e. $P(A)=\left\{g \in \mathcal{G} \backslash\left\{1_{\mathcal{G}}\right\}: g A=A\right\}$.
Definition 3.2 A logarithmic signature $\alpha=\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}\right]$ for a group $\mathcal{G}$ is called aperiodic if none of the blocks $A_{i}$ is periodic.

Note that the exact TLS and FTLS are examples of periodic LS.

## 4 Strongly aperiodic logarithmic signatures for abelian groups

A simple observation shows that aperiodicity property of an LS is preserved under the transformations described above, except the fusion. For fusing two or more blocks of an aperiodic logarithmic signature may result in a non-aperiodic logarithmic signature. The example below illustrates the situation.

Example 1 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an elementary abelian 2-group of order $2^{9}$ generated by $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{9}$. Then, it can be checked that $\beta=\left[B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}\right]$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}=\left\{1, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{1} g_{2}, g_{7}, g_{1} g_{3} g_{7}, g_{2} g_{4} g_{7}, g_{1} g_{3} g_{2} g_{4} g_{7}\right\}, \\
& B_{2}=\left\{1, g_{3}, g_{4}, g_{3} g_{4}, g_{8}, g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} g_{8}, g_{1} g_{4} g_{8}, g_{2} g_{3} g_{4} g_{8}\right\}, \\
& B_{3}=\left\{1, g_{5}, g_{6}, g_{5} g_{6}, g_{9}, g_{1} g_{3} g_{5} g_{9}, g_{2} g_{4} g_{6} g_{9}, g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} g_{4} g_{5} g_{6} g_{9}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an aperiodic logarithmic signature of type $(8,8,8)$ for $\mathcal{G}$. However, when fusing blocks $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, we obtain a LS $\beta^{*}=\left[B^{*}, B_{3}\right]$ with $B^{*}=B_{1} \cdot B_{2}$, which is no longer aperiodic, since block $B^{*}$ is a subgroup and therefore periodic.

The SALS as introduced in [11] essentially require that the aperiodicity of an aperiodic LS should be preserved by all transformations listed above.

A word of caution is appropriate here. When fusing all the blocks of an LS for a group $\mathcal{G}$, we obtain one block equal $\mathcal{G}$, which is trivially a periodic LS. Therefore, when saying the aperiodicity of an LS is preserved under the fusion, we mean the resulting LS is nontrivial, i.e. the fusion is done on at most $s-1$ blocks, where $s$ is the number of blocks of the LS.

In this paper we deal with LS for abelian $p$-groups, whose block size is at least $p^{3}$. Under this condition we may give a simple definition of strongly aperiodic LS as follows.

Definition 4.1 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an abelian p-group and let $\beta=\left[B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right]$ be an aperiodic LS for $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\left|B_{i}\right| \geq p^{3}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$. The logarithmic signature $\beta$ is called strongly aperiodic if any fusion of at most $s-1$ blocks of $\beta$ always results in an aperiodic $L S$.

Remark 4.1 When $\left|B_{i}\right| \leq p^{2}$ for some blocks of $\beta$, the definition of strong aperiodicity of an LS needs to be modified slightly, see [11]. It is due to results shown in the book of Szabó [14] Topics of factorization of abelian groups.

Remark 4.2 It seems not meaningful to extend Definition 4.1 to non-abelian groups. Because in this case a fusion of non-consecutive blocks would no longer yield an LS.

Here is a small example of SALS [11].

Example 2 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the group given in Example 1. The following aperiodic LS $\beta=\left[B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}\right]$ of type $(8,8,8)$ for $\mathcal{G}$ with

$$
B_{1}=\left\{1, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{1} g_{2}, g_{7}, g_{1} g_{2} g_{4} g_{6} g_{7}, g_{2} g_{3} g_{5} g_{7}, g_{1} g_{3} g_{4} g_{5} g_{6} g_{7}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2}=\left\{1, g_{3}, g_{4}, g_{3} g_{4}, g_{8}, g_{1} g_{3} g_{8}, g_{2} g_{4} g_{8}, g_{1} g_{3} g_{2} g_{4} g_{8}\right\}, \\
& B_{3}=\left\{1, g_{5}, g_{6}, g_{5} g_{6}, g_{9}, g_{1} g_{5} g_{9}, g_{2} g_{6} g_{9}, g_{1} g_{5} g_{2} g_{6} g_{9}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

is strongly aperiodic. In fact, it can be checked that the fusion of any two blocks of $\beta$ yields an aperiodic block.

The next lemma is useful for the proof of strong aperiodicity of an LS.

Lemma 1 ([11]) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an abelian group. Let $\beta=\left[B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right]$ be a logarithmic signature for $\mathcal{G}$. Let $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Suppose that the fused block $\prod_{i \in I} B_{i}$ is aperiodic. Then $\prod_{j \in J} B_{j}$ is aperiodic for any non-empty subset $J \subseteq I$.

Remark 4.3 Lemma 1 is, in fact, very helpful. Suppose that we want to verify the strong aperiodicity of a logarithmic signature $\beta$ having $s$ blocks. Without Lemma 1 , to fuse up to $s-1$ blocks we have to check all $\binom{s}{1}+\binom{s}{2}+\cdots+\binom{s}{s-1}=2^{s}-2$ possible fusions for the blocks of $\beta$. Whereas by using Lemma 1 we simply need to check $\binom{s}{s-1}=s$ fusions for all possible combinations of $s-1$ blocks of $\beta$.

## 5 The Baumeister-de Wiljes construction of aperiodic LS

Constructing tame aperiodic LS for abelian groups is a problem of theoretical interest and of practical importance. For they form a class of LS beyond the well-known classes of transversal and their fused logarithmic signatures which are all periodic. With respect to cryptosystem $M S T_{3}$ aperiodic logarithmic signatures appear to be specially significant.

In [1] Baumeister and de Wiljes present an interesting method for constructing aperiodic signatures for abelian groups, for short we call it BW-method or BW-construction. The BW-method is based on results in the book of Szabó [14], and describes an approach to constructing aperiodic logarithmic signatures. It should be stressed that the BW-construction as described below is not an algorithm, as it might appear, the reason is that the necessary conditions to be fulfilled, quickly forbids its computational feasibility even for groups of moderate order. However, its basic idea has proved to be useful.

## Baumeister-de Wiljes construction

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite abelian group. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a transversal of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{T}$ is a complete set of coset representatives of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ ).
(i) Let $\theta=\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{s}\right]$ be a logarithmic signature of type $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}\right)$ for $\mathcal{T}$, where $T_{i}=$ $\left\{t_{i, 1}, \ldots, t_{i, r_{i}}\right\}$.
(ii) Suppose that for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq s$ there exists a collection

$$
\mathcal{L}_{i}=\left\{A_{i, 1}, \ldots, A_{i, r_{i}}\right\}
$$

of subsets $A_{i, j}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that any choice $\left[A_{1, j_{1}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}\right]$ with $A_{i, j_{i}} \in \mathcal{L}_{i}$ forms a logarithmic signature for $\mathcal{H}$.
(iii) Then $\beta:=\left[B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right]$ defined by $B_{i}=t_{i, 1} A_{i, 1} \cup \ldots \cup t_{i, r_{i}} A_{i, r_{i}}$, for $1 \leq i \leq s$ forms a logarithmic signature for $\mathcal{G}$.

The next proposition characterizes the aperiodicity of the constructed LS $\beta$.
For any subsets $A, B$ of a group $\mathcal{G}$ we say that $B$ is a translate of $A$ if there is an element $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g A=B$. The translate $B$ is called proper if $A \neq B$.

Proposition 1 ([1]) Suppose that $A_{i, j}$ is not a translate of $A_{i, k}$ for any $j, k \in\left\{1, \ldots, r_{i}\right\}$. Then $B_{i}$ is periodic if and only if

$$
\bigcap_{j=1}^{r_{i}} P\left(A_{i, j}\right) \neq \emptyset .
$$

The main idea of the BW-construction is to find collections $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ satisfying condition (ii).
An interesting property of aperiodic LS constructed by the BW-method is that they are tame when certain conditions are satisfied [1], [3]. We record the result in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let $\beta:=\left[B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right]$ be a logarithmic signature constructed by the BW-method. Assume that $\theta$ and all logarithmic signatures $\left[A_{1, j_{1}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}\right], 1 \leq j_{i} \leq r_{i}$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$, are tame. If $\theta$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{s}$ are known, then $\beta$ is tame.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{G}$ be an element that we want to factorize with respect to $\beta$. Then there exist unique elements $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $g=h t$. Since $\theta$ is tame, we can find a factorization of $t=t_{1, j_{1}} \cdots t_{s, j_{s}}$ with respect to $\theta$ in time bounded by $O\left(w^{c_{1}}\right)$, where $w=\left\lceil\log _{2}|\mathcal{G}|\right\rceil$ and $c_{1}$ is a constant. Having obtained $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right)$ we can determine the logarithmic signature $\left[A_{1, j_{1}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}\right]$ which is tame by the assumption. So, the complexity of factoring $h=a_{1, k_{1}} \cdots a_{s, k_{s}}$ with respect to $\left[A_{1, j_{1}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}\right]$ is bounded by $O\left(w^{c_{2}}\right)$, where $c_{2}$ is a constant. Thus

$$
g=h t=a_{1, k_{1}} \cdots a_{s, k_{s}} \cdot t_{1, j_{1}} \cdots t_{s, j_{s}}=\underbrace{\left(a_{1, k_{1}} t_{1, j_{1}}\right)}_{\in B_{1}} \cdots \underbrace{\left(a_{s, k_{s}} t_{s, j_{s}}\right)}_{\in B_{s}} .
$$

Since, finding $a_{i, k_{i}} t_{i, j_{i}} \in B_{i}$ only requires a time of $O\left(\log _{2}\left(\left|B_{i}\right|\right)\right)$ when $B_{i}$ is sorted. It follows that $\beta$ is tame.

The following observation about the fusion operation on a logarithmic signature obtained from the BW-construction is useful.

Lemma 2 We use the notation as described in the BW-construction above. The fusion of blocks $B_{i}$ and $B_{j}, i \neq j$, of $\beta$ results in a logarithmic signature, which is again derived from the $B W$ construction, in which $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ are replaced by $\mathcal{L}_{i} \mathcal{L}_{j}$ and $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$ by $T_{i} T_{j}$.

From now on let $\mathcal{G}$ be an elementary abelian $p$-group of order $p^{f}$. We use additive notation for the group operation and 0 will denote the identity of $\mathcal{G}$. In fact we identify $\mathcal{G}$ with the additive group of the Galois field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}$. In this way $\mathcal{G}$ is viewed as a vector space of dimension $f$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and thus we may freely use the language of linear algebra with respect to $\mathcal{G}$. For example, a minimal generator set for $\mathcal{G}$ may be called a basis for $\mathcal{G}$.

## 6 A construction of SALS of type $\left(p^{m}, \ldots, p^{m}\right)$ for elementary abelian groups of order $p^{m s}$ with $m \geq 3$ and $s \geq 2$

In this section we first construct an aperiodic LS of type $\left(p^{m}, \ldots, p^{m}\right)$ for an elementary abelian $p$-group $\mathcal{G}$ of order $p^{m s}$, where $p=2$ or $p$ is an odd prime with $m \geq 3$ and $s \geq 2$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s}, v_{s+1}, \ldots, v_{2 s}, \ldots, v_{(m-1) s+1}, \ldots, v_{m s}$ be a generator set of $\mathcal{G}$. By using the BW-method we define
(i) $\mathcal{T}=\left\langle v_{(m-1) s+1}, \ldots, v_{m s}\right\rangle$ (a subgroup of order $p^{s}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ ), $\theta=\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{s}\right]$ an LS of $\mathcal{T}$ with $T_{i}=\left\{0, v_{(m-1) s+i}, 2 v_{(m-1) s+i}, \ldots,(p-1) v_{(m-1) s+i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$,
(ii) $\mathcal{H}=\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{(m-1) s}\right\rangle$, a subgroup of order $p^{(m-1) s}$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $u \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}=\mathbb{F}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$ be a chosen parameter. For $i=1, \ldots, s$ define a collection

$$
\mathcal{L}_{i}=\left\{A_{i, 0}, A_{i, 1}, \ldots, A_{i,(p-1)}\right\}
$$

as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1,0} & =\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right\rangle, \\
A_{1, j} & =\left\langle v_{1}+v_{2}+j \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+1}, v_{1}+v_{3}+j \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+2}, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.v_{1}+v_{m-1}+j \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+(m-2)}, u \cdot v_{m-2}+j \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+(m-1)}\right\rangle, \\
j & \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}, \\
A_{i, j} & =\left\langle v_{(m-1)(i-1)+1}+j v_{1}, v_{(m-1)(i-1)+2}+j v_{2}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)(i-1)+(m-1)}+j v_{m-1}\right\rangle, \\
\quad i & \in\{2, \ldots, s\}, j \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6.1 Note that in (i) we may replace $\mathcal{T}$ by any transversal $\mathcal{T R}$ of $\mathcal{H}$. Here $\mathcal{T R}$ is not a subgroup in general. In fact, it is simple to create an LS for a transversal of $\mathcal{H}$ by passing to the quotient group $\overline{\mathcal{T}}=\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{H}$. Namely, let $\bar{\theta}=\left[\bar{T}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{T}_{s}\right]$ be an LS for $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$, where $\bar{T}_{i}=$ $\left[x_{i, 0} \mathcal{H}, \ldots, x_{i,(p-1)} \mathcal{H}\right], 1 \leq i \leq s$. Note that there are $|\mathcal{H}|$ possibilities for choosing $x_{i, j}$ as coset representatives. By lifting $\bar{\theta}$ to $\mathcal{G}$ we obtain an LS $\theta=\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{s}\right]$ with $T_{i}=\left[x_{i, 0}, \ldots, x_{i,(p-1)}\right]$ for a certain transversal $\mathcal{T R}$ of $\mathcal{H}$.

We now prove that the subsets $A_{i, j}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$ satisfy condition (ii) of the BW-construction. This means that for any $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s}$ the collection $\left[A_{1, j_{1}}, A_{2, j_{2}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}\right]$ forms a logarithmic signature for $\mathcal{H}$. This is equivalent to say that the basis elements of $A_{1, j_{1}}, A_{2, j_{2}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}$ are linearly independent.

We consider two cases: $j_{1}=0$ and $j_{1} \neq 0$.
Case $j_{1}=0$.
Since $j_{1}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1,0} & =\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right\rangle \\
A_{2, j_{2}} & =\left\langle v_{(m-1)+1}+j_{2} v_{1}, v_{(m-1)+2}+j_{2} v_{2}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{2} v_{m-1}\right\rangle \\
A_{3, j_{3}} & =\left\langle v_{2(m-1)+1}+j_{3} v_{1}, v_{2(m-1)+2}+j_{3} v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{3} v_{m-1}\right\rangle \\
& \vdots \\
A_{s, j_{s}} & =\left\langle v_{(s-1)(m-1)+1}+j_{s} v_{1}, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+2}+j_{s} v_{2}, \ldots, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{s} v_{m-1}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

By forming a linear combination of the basis elements of $A_{1,0}, A_{2, j_{2}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}$ for the zero element we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\lambda_{1,1} \cdot v_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{1,(m-1)} \cdot v_{m-1} \\
& +\lambda_{2,1} \cdot\left(v_{(m-1)+1}+j_{2} v_{1}\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{2,(m-1)} \cdot\left(v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{2} v_{m-1}\right)+\cdots \\
& +\lambda_{s, 1} \cdot\left(v_{(s-1)(m-1)+1}+j_{s} v_{1}\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{s,(m-1)} \cdot\left(v_{(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{s} v_{m-1}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\lambda_{i, j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. The matrix form of Equation (6.2) is given by

$$
\left(\lambda_{1,1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1,(m-1)}, \lambda_{2,1}, \ldots, \lambda_{2,(m-1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{s, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s,(m-1)}\right) M=(0,0, \ldots, 0),
$$

where $M$ is the following $((m-1) s \times(m-1) s)$-matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
j_{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & j_{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
j_{3} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & j_{3} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & j_{3} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
j_{s} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & j_{s} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & j_{s} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $M$ is a lower triangular matrix with all 1 on the main diagonal, $M$ is invertible and Equation (6.2) has $\lambda_{i, j}=0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ as the unique solution. Thus the basis elements of $A_{1,0}, A_{2, j_{2}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}$ are linearly independent. In other words $\left[A_{1,0}, A_{2, j_{2}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}\right]$ forms a logarithmic signature for $\mathcal{H}$.

Case $j_{1} \neq 0$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1, j_{1}} & =\left\langle v_{1}+v_{2}+j_{1} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+1}, v_{1}+v_{3}+j_{1} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+2}, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.v_{1}+v_{m-1}+j_{1} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+(m-2)}, u \cdot v_{m-2}+j_{1} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
A_{2, j_{2}} & =\left\langle v_{(m-1)+1}+j_{2} v_{1}, v_{(m-1)+2}+j_{2} v_{2}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{2} v_{m-1}\right\rangle \\
A_{3, j_{3}} & =\left\langle v_{2(m-1)+1}+j_{3} v_{1}, v_{2(m-1)+2}+j_{3} v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{3} v_{m-1}\right\rangle \\
\quad & \vdots \\
A_{s, j_{s}} & =\left\langle v_{(s-1)(m-1)+1}+j_{s} v_{1}, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+2}+j_{s} v_{2}, \ldots, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{s} v_{m-1}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and obtain a linear combination of the zero element from the basis elements of $A_{1, j_{1}}, A_{2, j_{2}}, \ldots, A_{s, j_{s}}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\lambda_{1,1} \cdot\left(v_{1}+v_{2}+j_{1} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+1}\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{1,(m-2)} \cdot\left(v_{1}+v_{m-1}+j_{1} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+(m-2)}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{1,(m-1)} \cdot\left(u \cdot v_{m-2}+j_{1} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+(m-1)}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{2,1} \cdot\left(v_{(m-1)+1}+j_{2} v_{1}\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{2,(m-1)} \cdot\left(v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{2} v_{m-1}\right)+\cdots \\
& +\lambda_{s, 1} \cdot\left(v_{(s-1)(m-1)+1}+j_{s} v_{1}\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{s,(m-1)} \cdot\left(v_{(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)}+j_{s} v_{m-1}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient matrix $M$ of Equation (6.3) has the form

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & j_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & j_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & j_{1} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & j_{1} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & j_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & j_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & u & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & j_{1} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & j_{1} \\
j_{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & j_{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
j_{s} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{s} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & j_{s} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- By subtracting $j_{1}$ times the rows $(m-1)+1,2(m-1)+1, \ldots,(s-1)(m-1)+1$ from the first row,
- $j_{1}$ times the rows $(m-1)+2,2(m-1)+2, \ldots,(s-1)(m-1)+2$ from the second row, and so on, up to
- $j_{1}$ times the rows $(m-1)+(m-1), 2(m-1)+(m-1), \ldots,(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)$ from the $(m-1)^{t h}$-row
we obtain an $s(m-1) \times s(m-1)$-matrix $M^{\prime}$ of the form

$$
M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
1-J & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -J & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -J & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & u & -J & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
j_{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & j_{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
j_{s} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{s} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & j_{s} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $J=j_{1}\left(j_{2}+\cdots+j_{s}\right)$.
Thus we have $\operatorname{det} M=\operatorname{det} M^{\prime}=\operatorname{det} M_{m-1}$, where

$$
M_{m-1}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
1-J & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -J & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -J & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & u & -J
\end{array}\right)
$$

is an $(m-1) \times(m-1)$-matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. We will compute the determinant of $M_{m-1}$. Set $n=m-2$. Since $m \geq 3$, we have $n \geq 1$.

If $m=3$, i.e. $n=1$, we obtain

$$
M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1-J & 1 \\
u & -J
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{det} M_{2}=J^{2}-J-u$.
Now assume that $n=m-2 \geq 2$.
Define two $n \times n$-matrices $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ as follows.

$$
P_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
-J & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -J & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -J & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & u & -J
\end{array}\right),
$$

$$
Q_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -J & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -J & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & u & -J
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{det} M_{m-1}=(1-J) \operatorname{det} P_{n}-\operatorname{det} Q_{n} .
$$

Further

$$
\operatorname{det} P_{n}=(-J) \operatorname{det} P_{n-1} .
$$

As

$$
P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-J & 1 \\
u & -J
\end{array}\right),
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{det} P_{n}=(-J)^{n-2}\left(J^{2}-u\right) .
$$

For computing $\operatorname{det} Q_{n}$ we observe that

$$
\operatorname{det} Q_{n}=\operatorname{det} P_{n-1}-\operatorname{det} Q_{n-1} .
$$

Here

$$
Q_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
0 & -J
\end{array}\right)
$$

so $\operatorname{det} Q_{2}=-J$. The recursion for $\operatorname{det} Q_{n}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} Q_{n} & =(-1)^{0} \operatorname{det} P_{n-1}+(-1)^{1} \operatorname{det} P_{n-2}+(-1)^{2} \operatorname{det} P_{n-3}+\cdots+(-1)^{n-4} \operatorname{det} P_{3} \\
& +(-1)^{n-3} \operatorname{det} P_{2}+(-1)^{n-2} \operatorname{det} Q_{2} \\
& =(-1)^{0}(-J)^{n-3}\left(J^{2}-u\right)+(-1)^{1}(-J)^{n-4}\left(J^{2}-u\right)+\cdots+(-1)^{n-4}(-J)\left(J^{2}-u\right) \\
& +(-1)^{n-3}\left(J^{2}-u\right)+(-1)^{n-2}(-J) \\
& =(-1)^{n-3}\left(J^{2}-u\right)\left[J^{n-3}+J^{n-4}+\cdots+J+1\right]+(-1)^{n-2}(-J) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the values of $\operatorname{det} P_{n}$ and $\operatorname{det} Q_{n}$ in

$$
\operatorname{det} M_{m-1}=(1-J) \operatorname{det} P_{n}-\operatorname{det} Q_{n},
$$

and simplifying gives

$$
\operatorname{det} M_{m-1}=(-1)^{n-2}\left(J^{2}-u\right)\left[-J^{n-1}+J^{n-2}+J^{n-3}+\cdots+J+1\right]+(-1)^{n-2} J .
$$

Recall that our goal is to show that for any given $m$ we can choose a $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\operatorname{det} M^{\prime}=\operatorname{det} M_{m-1} \neq 0$ for any $J$, i.e. for any possible choices of $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s}$.

Now, we consider det $M_{m-1}$ as a polynomial $f_{u}^{(n)}(J)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, i.e.

$$
f_{u}^{(1)}(J):=J^{2}-J-u
$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$
\left.f_{u}^{(n)}(J):=(-1)^{n-2}\left(J^{2}-u\right)\left[-J^{n-1}+J^{n-2}+J^{n-3}+\cdots+J+1\right)\right]+(-1)^{n-2} J
$$

Hence the statement that $\operatorname{det} M^{\prime} \neq 0$ for a suitable choice of $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$ is equivalent to the statement that $f_{u}^{(n)}(J)$ has no root in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3 Let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}:=\mathbb{F}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$ with $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$. Suppose that there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ such that $f_{u_{1}}^{(n)}\left(a_{1}\right)=0$ and $f_{u_{2}}^{(n)}\left(a_{2}\right)=0$. Then $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$.

Proof. First consider case $n=1$. Suppose that $a_{1}=a_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =f_{u_{1}}^{(1)}\left(a_{1}\right) \\
& =a_{1}^{2}-a_{1}-u_{1} \\
& =f_{u_{2}}^{(1)}\left(a_{2}\right) \\
& =f_{u_{2}}^{(1)}\left(a_{1}\right) \\
& =a_{1}^{2}-a_{1}-u_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $u_{1}=u_{2}$, a contradiction.
Assume that $n \geq 2$. Again suppose that $a_{1}=a_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =f_{u_{1}}^{(n)}\left(a_{1}\right) \\
& \left.=(-1)^{n-2}\left(a_{1}^{2}-u_{1}\right)\left[-a_{1}^{n-1}+a_{1}^{n-2}+a_{1}^{n-3}+\cdots+a_{1}+1\right)\right]+(-1)^{n-2} a_{1} \\
& =f_{u_{2}}^{(n)}\left(a_{2}\right) \\
& =f_{u_{2}}^{(n)}\left(a_{1}\right) \\
& \left.=(-1)^{n-2}\left(a_{1}^{2}-u_{2}\right)\left[-a_{1}^{n-1}+a_{1}^{n-2}+a_{1}^{n-3}+\cdots+a_{1}+1\right)\right]+(-1)^{n-2} a_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\left.Z=\left[-a_{1}^{n-1}+a_{1}^{n-2}+a_{1}^{n-3}+\cdots+a_{1}+1\right)\right]$.
It follows that $u_{1} Z=u_{2} Z$ or $\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) Z=0$.
Since $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$ we have $Z=0$.
So

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{u_{1}}^{(n)}\left(a_{1}\right) & =(-1)^{n-2}\left(a_{1}^{2}-u_{1}\right) Z+(-1)^{n-2} a_{1} \\
& =(-1)^{n-2} a_{1} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $a_{1}=0$, but this is a contradiction, since $f_{u}^{(n)}(0)=(-1)^{n-2}(-u) \neq 0$, as $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$.

We evaluate the values of $f_{u}^{(n)}(J)$ at $0,1,2, u$.
Assume $n \geq 2$. Using

$$
\frac{J^{n}-1}{J-1}=J^{n-1}+\cdots+J+1
$$

for $J \neq 1$, the polynomial $f_{u}^{(n)}(J)$ will be simplified to

$$
f_{u}^{(n)}(J)=(-1)^{n-2} \frac{\left(J^{2}-u\right)}{(J-1)}\left[-J^{n}+2 J^{n-1}-1\right]+(-1)^{n-2} J .
$$

We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{u}^{(n)}(0)=(-1)^{n-1} u, \\
& f_{u}^{(n)}(1)=(-1)^{n-2}(-(n-2) u+n-1), \\
& f_{u}^{(n)}(2)=(-1)^{n-2}(u-2), \\
& f_{u}^{(n)}(u)=(-1)^{n-2} u^{n}(-u+2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n=1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{u}^{(1)}(0)=-u, \\
& f_{u}^{(1)}(1)=-u, \\
& f_{u}^{(1)}(2)=(2-u), \\
& f_{u}^{(1)}(u)=u(u-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next proposition shows that $\operatorname{det} M^{\prime} \neq 0$ for an appropriate choice of $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$.
Proposition 2 For any given $n \geq 1$, there is a $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$such that $f_{u}^{(n)}(J)$ has no root in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
Proof. Assume $n \geq 2$. We distinguish case $p=2,3$ from case $p \geq 5$. It is clear that the proposition is true for $p=2,3$, as we may choose $u=1$. Thus, for $p=2$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}^{(n)}(0)=(-1)^{n-1} 1 \neq 0, \\
& f_{1}^{(n)}(1)=(-1)^{n-2} 1 \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $p=3$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}^{(n)}(0) & =(-1)^{n-1} 1 \neq 0, \\
f_{1}^{(n)}(1) & =(-1)^{n-2} 1 \neq 0, \\
f_{1}^{(n)}(2) & =(-1)^{n-2}(-1) \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now assume that $p \geq 5$.
Consider ( $p-2$ ) polynomials

$$
f_{1}^{(n)}(J), f_{3}^{(n)}(J), f_{4}^{(n)}(J), \ldots, f_{p-1}^{(n)}(J)
$$

i.e. all polynomials $f_{u}^{(n)}(J)$ with $u \neq 2$.

Suppose by contradiction that each of these polynomials have a root in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then these roots are in $\mathbb{F}_{p} \backslash\{0,2, u\}$, this is because $f_{u}^{(n)}(0) \neq 0, f_{u}^{(n)}(2) \neq 0, f_{u}^{(n)}(u) \neq 0$ for all $u \neq 2$. By Lemma 3
these $(p-2)$ roots are pairwise distinct. But this is a contradiction, as $\left|\mathbb{F}_{p} \backslash\{0,2, u\}\right|=p-3$. It follows that there is a value $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times} \backslash\{2\}$ such that $f_{u}^{(n)}(J)$ has no root in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

For case $n=1$ the proof is similar, and therefore is omitted.
Proposition 2 finally shows that $\beta$ is a LS of type $\left(p^{m}, \ldots, p^{m}\right)$ for $\mathcal{G}$. By using Proposition 1 and the fact that $A_{i, j} \cap A_{i, k}=\{0\}$ for any $A_{i, j}, A_{i, k} \in \mathcal{L}_{i}$ with $j \neq k, 1 \leq i \leq s$, we find that $\beta$ is aperiodic. We record the result in the following theorem.

Theorem 2 The construction above yields an aperiodic LS $\beta$ of type $\left(p^{m}, \ldots, p^{m}\right)$ for $\mathcal{G}$.

Remark 6.2 In the proof of Proposition 2 we observe that for $p=2,3$ we may choose $u=1$ for every $n \geq 1$. However for $p \geq 5$, the choice $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$actually depends on $n$. Consider $p=5$, for example. It is straightforward to check that we may choose $u$ as follows.

$$
\begin{cases}u=1 & \text { if } n \text { even, } \\ u=-1 & \text { if } n \text { odd and } n \not \equiv 4 \bmod 5, \\ u=3 & \text { if } n \text { odd and } n \equiv 4 \bmod 5 .\end{cases}
$$

Now we proceed to prove that $\beta$ is strongly aperiodic.

Theorem 3 The above constructed LS $\beta$ of type $\left(p^{m}, \ldots, p^{m}\right)$ for the elementary abelian p-group $\mathcal{G}$ of order $p^{m s}$ is strongly aperiodic.

Proof. Recall that Lemma 2 states that fusing any two blocks of $\beta$ results in an LS, which is again obtained from the BW-construction. By using Lemma 1 we simply need to show that the fusion of any $(s-1)$ blocks of $\beta=\left[B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right]$ forms an aperiodic block. The proof is done by showing that the fusion of any $(s-1)$ collections $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ yields a collection of subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$ having only the identity element 0 of $\mathcal{G}$ in their intersection.

We consider three cases.
Case 1: Fusing $\mathcal{L}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{s}$.
Let $\mathcal{L}_{2}+\cdots+\mathcal{L}_{s}$ denote the collection of subgroups obtained by fusing $\mathcal{L}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{s}$. The subsets of $\mathcal{L}_{2}+\cdots+\mathcal{L}_{s}$ are of the form $\left(A_{2, j_{2}}+A_{3, j_{3}}+\cdots+A_{s, j_{s}}\right)$ with $\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s-1}$

We show that

$$
\bigcap_{\substack{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \\ \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s-1}}}\left(A_{2, j_{2}}+A_{3, j_{3}}+\cdots+A_{s, j_{s}}\right)=\{0\} .
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s, 0}\right) \cap\left(A_{2,1}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s, 0}\right) \\
= & \left\langle v_{(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}, \ldots, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
& \cap\left\langle v_{(m-1)+1}+v_{1}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}+v_{m-1}, v_{2(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{2(m-1)+(m-1)}, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.v_{(s-1)(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle v_{2(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{2(m-1)+(m-1)}, \ldots, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
= & A_{3,0}+A_{4,0}+\cdots+A_{s, 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+A_{4,0}+\cdots+A_{s, 0}\right) \cap\left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,1}+A_{4,0}+\cdots+A_{s, 0}\right) \\
= & A_{2,0}+A_{4,0}+\cdots+A_{s, 0}, \\
\vdots & \\
& \left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}+A_{s, 0}\right) \cap\left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,1}+A_{s, 0}\right) \\
= & A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-2,0}+A_{s, 0},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}+A_{s, 0}\right) \cap\left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}+A_{s, 1}\right) \\
= & A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that the intersection of the elements on the right hand side of the equalities is equal to $\{0\}$.

Case 2: Fusing $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{s-1}$.
We show that

$$
\bigcap_{\substack{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s-1}\right) \\ \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s-1}}}\left(A_{1, j_{1}}+A_{2, j_{2}}+\cdots+A_{s-1, j_{s-1}}\right)=\{0\} .
$$

First, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1,0}+A_{2,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right) \cap\left(A_{1,1}+A_{2,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right) \\
= & \left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)}, v_{(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
& \cap\left\langle v_{1}+v_{2}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+1}, v_{1}+v_{3}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+2}, \ldots,\right. \\
& v_{1}+v_{m-1}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+(m-2)}, u \cdot v_{m-2}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} v_{(m-1) \ell+(m-1)}, \\
& \left.v_{(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
= & A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider further intersection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right) \cap\left(A_{1,1}+A_{2,1}+A_{3,0} \cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right) \\
= & \left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0} \cap\left(A_{2,1}+A_{3,0} \cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right)\right. \\
= & \left.v_{(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
& \cap\left\langle v_{(m-1)+1}+v_{1}, v_{(m-1)+2}+v_{2}, \ldots, v_{(m-1)+(m-1)}+v_{m-1},\right. \\
& \left.v_{2(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{2(m-1)+(m-1)}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle v_{2(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{2(m-1)+(m-1)}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-2)(m-1)+(m-1)}\right\rangle \\
= & A_{3,0}+A_{4,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right) \cap\left(A_{1,1}+A_{2,0}+A_{3,1}+A_{4,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right) \\
= & \left(A_{3,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right) \cap\left(A_{2,0}+A_{3,1}+A_{4,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0}\right) \\
= & A_{4,0}+\cdots+A_{s-1,0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, this process can further be iterated so that we eventually get $\{0\}$ as the intersection.
Case 3: Fusing $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{k-1}, \mathcal{L}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{s}$ for all $k \in\{2,3, \ldots, s-2, s-1\}$.
We claim that

$$
\bigcap_{\substack{\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k-1}, j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \\ \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s-1}}}\left(A_{1, j_{1}}+\cdots+A_{k-1, j_{k-1}}+A_{k+1, j_{k+1}}+\cdots+A_{s, j_{s}}\right)=\{0\}
$$

Define an automomorphism $\Phi$ of $\mathcal{G}$ as follows

$$
\Phi\left(v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}v_{(s-1)(m-1)+j} & \text { if } i=(k-1)(m-1)+j, j=1, \ldots, m-1 \\ v_{(k-1)(m-1)+j} & \text { if } i=(s-1)(m-1)+j, j=1, \ldots, m-1 \\ v_{i} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Thus $\Phi$ interchanges

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{(k-1)(m-1)+1} \text { with } v_{(s-1)(m-1)+1}, \\
& v_{(k-1)(m-1)+2} \text { with } v_{(s-1)(m-1)+2}, \\
& \vdots \\
& v_{(k-1)(m-1)+(m-1)} \text { with } v_{(s-1)(m-1)+(m-1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and fixes the remaining generators of $\mathcal{G}$. We have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{i, j_{i}}\right)= \begin{cases}A_{i, j_{i}} & \text { if } i \neq k, s \\ A_{s, j_{k}} & \text { if } i=k \\ A_{k, j_{s}} & \text { if } i=s\end{cases}
$$

From

$$
\bigcap_{\substack{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s-1}\right) \\ \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s-1}}}\left(A_{1, j_{1}}+A_{2, j_{2}}+\cdots+A_{s-1, j_{s-1}}\right)=\{0\}
$$

in Case 2, we obtain

$$
\bigcap_{\substack{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s-1}\right) \\ \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s-1}}}\left(\Phi\left(A_{1, j_{1}}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{2, j_{2}}\right)+\cdots+\Phi\left(A_{s-1, j_{s-1}}\right)\right)=\{0\}
$$

This gives

$$
\bigcap_{\substack{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s-1}\right) \\ \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s-1}}}\left(A_{1, j_{1}}+\cdots+A_{k-1, j_{k-1}}+\Phi\left(A_{k, j_{k}}\right)+A_{k+1, j_{k+1}}+\cdots+A_{s-1, j_{s-1}}\right)=\{0\}
$$

So we have

$$
\bigcap_{\substack{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s-1}\right) \\=\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{s-1}}}\left(A_{1, j_{1}}+\cdots+A_{k-1, j_{k-1}}+A_{s, j_{k}}+A_{k+1, j_{k+1}}+\cdots+A_{s-1, j_{s-1}}\right)=\{0\}
$$

which shows the claim. This completes the proof.

## 7 Conclusion

We have presented a general construction of strongly aperiodic LS for elementary abelian $p$-groups. The existence of SALS has significantly extended the key space for LS-based cryptosystems, in particular for cryptosystem $\mathrm{MST}_{3}$. Their favourable features would also enhance the security of those systems. Moreover, the question of existence of strongly aperiodic logarithmic signatures for abelian groups in general is a challenging and interesting problem that is worth studying.
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