

Simple t -designs: A recursive construction for arbitrary t

Tran van Trung
Institute for Experimental Mathematics
University of Duisburg-Essen
Thea-Leymann-Straße 9, 45127 Essen, Germany

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present a recursive construction of simple t -designs for arbitrary t . The construction is of purely combinatorial nature and it requires finding solutions for the indices of the ingredient designs that satisfy a certain set of equalities. We give a small number of examples to illustrate the construction, whereby we have found a large number of new t -designs, which were previously unknown. This indicates that the method is useful and powerful.

MSC2010: 05B05

Keywords: recursive construction, simple t -design.

1 Introduction

One of the most challenging problems in design theory is the problem of constructing simple t -designs for large t . There are several major approaches to the problem. These are constructing t -designs from large sets of t -designs, for instance [1], [11], [14], [15], [20], [21], [25]; constructing t -designs by using prescribed automorphism groups, for example [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [9], [13], [16]; or constructing t -designs via recursive construction methods, see for instance [10], [12], [17], [18], [19], [22], [23], [24].

In this paper we present a new recursive method for constructing simple t -designs for arbitrary t . The method is of combinatorial nature, which is a composition technique where a t -design is built up from other smaller ingredient designs. Which ingredient designs will be necessary are determined by the solutions to a set of equalities involving their indices. The method proves to be very useful and powerful. Our experimental results obtained from its application have shown that, even for a small number of chosen parameters for the ingredient designs, plentiful new simple designs can be constructed, which were previously unknown.

We recall some basic definitions. A t -design, denoted by $t - (v, k, \lambda)$, is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where X is a v -set of *points* and \mathcal{B} is a collection of k -subsets, called *blocks*, of X having the property that every t -set of X is a subset of exactly λ blocks in \mathcal{B} . The parameter λ is called the *index* of the design. A t -design is called *simple* if no two blocks are identical i.e. no block of \mathcal{B} is repeated; otherwise, it is called *non-simple* (i.e. \mathcal{B} is a multiset). It can be shown by simple counting that a $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ design is an $s - (v, k, \lambda_s)$ design for $0 \leq s \leq t$, where $\lambda_s = \lambda \binom{v-s}{t-s} / \binom{k-s}{t-s}$. Since λ_s is an integer, necessary conditions for the parameters of a t -design are $\binom{k-s}{t-s} | \lambda \binom{v-s}{t-s}$, for $0 \leq s \leq t$. For given t, v and k , we denote by $\lambda_{\min}(t, k, v)$, or λ_{\min} for short, the smallest positive integer such that these conditions are satisfied for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. By complementing each block in X of a $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ design, we obtain a $t - (v, v - k, \lambda^*)$ design, where $\lambda^* = \lambda \binom{v-k}{t} / \binom{k}{t}$, hence we shall assume that $k \leq v/2$. The largest value for λ for which a simple $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ design exists is denoted by λ_{\max} and we have $\lambda_{\max} = \binom{v-t}{k-t}$. The simple $t - (v, k, \lambda_{\max})$ design is called the *complete* design or the *trivial* design. A $t - (v, k, 1)$ design is called a *t-Steiner system*.

We refer the reader to [2], [8] for more information about designs.

1.1 The Construction

We first introduce ingredients and notation used in the construction.

Let t, v, k be non-negative integers such that $v \geq k \geq t \geq 0$. Let X be a v -set and let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ be a partition of X (i.e. $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$) with $|X_1| = v_1$ and $|X_2| = v_2$.

Throughout the paper the parameter set $t - (v_2, j, \bar{\lambda}_t^{(j)})$ for a design indicates that the point set of the design is X_2 . Also, a design defined on the point set X_2 will be denoted by $\bar{D} = (X_2, \bar{\mathcal{B}})$.

1. For $i = 0, \dots, t$, let $D_i = (X_1, \mathcal{B}^{(i)})$ be the complete $i - (v_1, i, 1)$ design. For $i = t + 1, \dots, k$, let $D_i = (X_1, \mathcal{B}^{(i)})$ be a simple $t - (v_1, i, \lambda_t^{(i)})$ design.
2. Similarly, for $i = 0, \dots, t$, let $\bar{D}_i = (X_2, \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(i)})$ be the complete $i - (v_2, i, 1)$ design. And for $i = t + 1, \dots, k$, let $\bar{D}_i = (X_2, \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(i)})$ be a simple $t - (v_2, i, \bar{\lambda}_t^{(i)})$ design.
3. Two degenerate cases for designs occur when either $v = k = t = 0$ or $v = k$. The first case $v = k = t = 0$ gives an ‘‘empty’’ design, denoted by \emptyset , however we use the convention that the number of blocks of the empty design is 1 (i.e. the unique block is the empty block). The second case $v = k$ gives a degenerate k -design having just 1 block consisting of all v points. Thus, in these two extreme cases the number of blocks of the designs is always 1.
4. We denote by $T_{(s, t-s)}$ a t -subset T of X with $|T \cap X_1| = s$ and hence $|T \cap X_2| = t - s$, for $s = 0, \dots, t$. It is clear that any t -subset of X is a $T_{(s, t-s)}$ set for some $s \in \{0, \dots, t\}$.
5. Let X be a finite set and let $u \in \{0, 1\}$. The notation $X \times [u]$ has the following meaning. $X \times [0]$ is the empty set \emptyset , and $X \times [1] = X$.

We now describe our construction. Consider $(k + 1)$ pairs of simple designs (D_i, \bar{D}_{k-i}) for $i = 0, \dots, k$, where $D_i = (X_1, \mathcal{B}^{(i)})$ is a simple $t - (v_1, i, \lambda_t^{(i)})$ design and $\bar{D}_{k-i} = (X_2, \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(k-i)})$ a simple $t - (v_2, k - i, \bar{\lambda}_t^{(k-i)})$ design, as defined above. For each pair (D_i, \bar{D}_{k-i}) define

$$\mathcal{B}_{(i,k-i)} := \{B = B_i \cup \bar{B}_{k-i} / B_i \in \mathcal{B}^{(i)}, \bar{B}_{k-i} \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(k-i)}\}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{B}_{(i,k-i)}$ is a collection of k -subsets of X obtained by taking the union of blocks of D_i and \bar{D}_{k-i} . Note that the sets $\mathcal{B}_{(i,k-i)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{(j,k-j)}$ are pairwise disjoint for $i \neq j$ and $i, j = 0, \dots, k$.

Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_{(0,k)} \times [u_0] \cup \mathcal{B}_{(1,k-1)} \times [u_1] \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_{(k-1,1)} \times [u_{k-1}] \cup \mathcal{B}_{(k,0)} \times [u_k],$$

where $u_i \in \{0, 1\}$, for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

It should be noted that the notation $\mathcal{B}_{(i,k-i)} \times [u_i]$, as defined in [5.] above, indicates that either we have an empty set \emptyset (when $u_i = 0$) or the set $\mathcal{B}_{(i,k-i)}$ itself (when $u_i = 1$). The empty set case implies that the pair (D_i, \bar{D}_{k-i}) is not used and the other case shows the use of (D_i, \bar{D}_{k-i}) . Thus u_i 's are considered as variables.

We examine the necessary conditions for which (X, \mathcal{B}) forms a simple t -design. Consider the block set $\mathcal{B}_{(i,k-i)}$. We see that each t -subset $T_{(s,t-s)}$ of X is contained in

$$\lambda_s^{(i)} \cdot \bar{\lambda}_{t-s}^{(k-i)}$$

blocks of $\mathcal{B}_{(i,k-i)}$, for $s = 0, \dots, t$. It is clear because any s -set of X_1 is contained in $\lambda_s^{(i)}$ blocks of D_i and any $(t - s)$ -set of X_2 is contained in $\bar{\lambda}_{t-s}^{(k-i)}$ blocks of \bar{D}_{k-i} . Note that $\lambda_s^{(i)} \cdot \bar{\lambda}_{t-s}^{(k-i)}$ could be equal to 0; this is the case when $i < s$ or $k - i < t - s$. Define

$$\Lambda_{s,t-s}^{(i,k-i)} := \lambda_s^{(i)} \cdot \bar{\lambda}_{t-s}^{(k-i)}.$$

It follows that for a given t -set $T_{(s,t-s)}$ of X the number of blocks in \mathcal{B} containing $T_{(s,t-s)}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} L_{s,t-s} &:= u_0 \cdot \Lambda_{s,t-s}^{(0,k)} + u_1 \cdot \Lambda_{s,t-s}^{(1,k-1)} + \dots + u_k \cdot \Lambda_{s,t-s}^{(k,0)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k u_i \cdot \Lambda_{s,t-s}^{(i,k-i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k u_i \cdot \lambda_s^{(i)} \cdot \bar{\lambda}_{t-s}^{(k-i)}, \end{aligned}$$

Since any t -set T of X is of form $T_{s,t-s}$ for some $s \in \{0, \dots, t\}$, so if

$$L_{0,t} = L_{1,t-1} = L_{2,t-2} = \dots = L_{t,0} := \Lambda,$$

where Λ is a positive integer, then (X, \mathcal{B}) forms a simple t -design with parameters $t - (v, k, \Lambda)$.

We record the result of the construction discussed above in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let v, k, t be integers with $v > k > t \geq 2$. Let X be a v -set and let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ be a partition of X with $|X_1| = v_1$ and $|X_2| = v_2$. Let $D_i = (X_1, \mathcal{B}^{(i)})$ be the complete $i - (v_1, i, 1)$ design for $i = 0, \dots, t$ and let $D_i = (X_1, \mathcal{B}^{(i)})$ be a simple $t - (v_1, i, \lambda_t^{(i)})$ design for $i = t+1, \dots, k$. Similarly, let $\bar{D}_i = (X_2, \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(i)})$ be the complete $i - (v_2, i, 1)$ design for $i = 0, \dots, t$, and let $\bar{D}_i = (X_2, \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(i)})$ be a simple $t - (v_2, i, \bar{\lambda}_t^{(i)})$ design for $i = t+1, \dots, k$. Define*

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{(0,k)} \times [u_0] \cup \mathcal{B}_{(1,k-1)} \times [u_1] \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_{(k-1,1)} \times [u_{k-1}] \cup \mathcal{B}_{(k,0)} \times [u_k],$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{(i,k-i)} = \{B = B_i \cup \bar{B}_{k-i} / B_i \in \mathcal{B}^{(i)}, \bar{B}_{k-i} \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(k-i)}\}.$$

Assume that

$$L_{0,t} = L_{1,t-1} = L_{2,t-2} = \dots = L_{t,0} := \Lambda, \quad (1)$$

for a positive integer Λ , where

$$L_{s,t-s} = \sum_{i=0}^k u_i \cdot \lambda_s^{(i)} \cdot \bar{\lambda}_{t-s}^{(k-i)}, \quad (2)$$

$s = 0, \dots, t$, and $u_i \in \{0, 1\}$, for $i = 0, \dots, k$. Then (X, \mathcal{B}) is a simple $t - (v, k, \Lambda)$ design.

Two remarks should be included. Firstly, Eq.(1) always has at least one solution giving rise to the complete $t - (v, k, \binom{v-t}{k-t})$ design. In other words, if each ingredient design is a complete design with its corresponding parameters, then we obtain the complete design as a result. Secondly, we mainly focus on simple designs, so we have formulated Theorem 1.1 accordingly. But, the construction by no means restricts to simple t -designs. It works for both simple and non-simple designs. In fact, the construction only uses the ‘‘balance property’’ which depends on the indices $\lambda_t^{(i)}$, and not on any ‘‘structural property’’ of the ingredient designs. Thus, if any of the ingredient designs is non-simple, then so is the resulting design constructed from a solution of Eq.(1).

2 Applications

In this section we illustrate the construction in Theorem 1.1 through a number of examples which also prove the strength of the method. In fact, for some given parameters with $t = 4, 5, 6$, we have constructed a large number of new simple designs.

In the following we will employ the notation from Chapter 4 : t -Designs with $t \geq 3$ of the Handbook of Combinatorial Designs. The parameter set $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ of a design will be written as $t - (v, k, m\lambda_{\min})$. Since the supplement of a simple $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ design is a $t - (v, k, \lambda_{\max} - \lambda)$ design, we usually consider simple $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ designs with $\lambda \leq \lambda_{\max}/2$. Thus, the upper limit of m of a constructed design will be $\text{LIM} = \lfloor \lambda_{\max}/(2\lambda_{\min}) \rfloor$. But, it should be remarked that, when an ingredient design with index λ is used, then λ can take on all possible values, i.e. $\lambda_{\min} \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{\max}$.

2.1 Simple 5 – (36, k , Λ) designs

A detailed example will illustrate the construction.

2.1.1 Simple 5 – (36, 10, Λ) designs

Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ be a partition of the point set X with $|X| = 36$ into two subsets X_1 and X_2 with $|X_1| = |X_2| = 18$. For $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ let $D_i = (X_1, \mathcal{B}^{(i)})$ be the complete $i - (18, i, 1)$ designs. For $i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$ let $D_i = (X_1, \mathcal{B}^{(i)})$ be a simple $5 - (18, i, \lambda_5^{(i)})$ design. These designs have the following parameters.

- $5 - (18, 6, \lambda_5^{(6)}) = 5 - (18, 6, m)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 13$.
- $5 - (18, 7, \lambda_5^{(7)}) = 5 - (18, 7, m6)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 13$
- $5 - (18, 8, \lambda_5^{(8)}) = 5 - (18, 8, m2)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 143$
- $5 - (18, 9, \lambda_5^{(9)}) = 5 - (18, 9, m5)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 143$
- $5 - (18, 10, \lambda_5^{(10)}) = 5 - (18, 10, m9)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 143$ (the complement of a $5 - (18, 8, m2)$).

Correspondingly, let $\bar{D}_i = (X_2, \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(i)})$ be simple designs defined on X_2 . We first compute $L_{0,5}, L_{1,4}, L_{2,3}$. We have

$$L_{s,5-s} = \sum_{i=0}^{10} u_i \cdot \lambda_s^{(i)} \cdot \bar{\lambda}_{5-s}^{(10-i)}, \quad (3)$$

$s = 0, \dots, 5$, and $u_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i = 0, \dots, 10$.

Since $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(4)} = \bar{\lambda}_5^{(3)} = \bar{\lambda}_5^{(2)} = \bar{\lambda}_5^{(1)} = \bar{\lambda}_5^{(0)} = 0$ and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(5)} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{0,5} &= u_0 \lambda_0^{(0)} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(10)} + u_1 \lambda_0^{(1)} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(9)} + u_2 \lambda_0^{(2)} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(8)} + u_3 \lambda_0^{(3)} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(7)} + u_4 \lambda_0^{(4)} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(6)} + u_5 \lambda_0^{(5)} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(5)} \\ &= u_0 \bar{\lambda}_5^{(10)} + u_1 18 \bar{\lambda}_5^{(9)} + u_2 153 \bar{\lambda}_5^{(8)} + u_3 816 \bar{\lambda}_5^{(7)} + u_4 3060 \bar{\lambda}_5^{(6)} + u_5 8568. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(3)} = \bar{\lambda}_4^{(2)} = \bar{\lambda}_4^{(1)} = \bar{\lambda}_4^{(0)} = 0$ and $\lambda_1^{(0)} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1,4} &= u_1 \lambda_1^{(1)} \bar{\lambda}_4^{(9)} + u_2 \lambda_1^{(2)} \bar{\lambda}_4^{(8)} + u_3 \lambda_1^{(3)} \bar{\lambda}_4^{(7)} + u_4 \lambda_1^{(4)} \bar{\lambda}_4^{(6)} + u_5 \lambda_1^{(5)} \bar{\lambda}_4^{(5)} + u_6 \lambda_1^{(6)} \bar{\lambda}_4^{(4)} \\ &= u_1 \frac{14}{5} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(9)} + u_2 \frac{17 \times 7}{2} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(8)} + u_3 \frac{136 \times 14}{3} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(7)} + u_4 680 \times 7 \bar{\lambda}_5^{(6)} + \\ &\quad u_5 2380 \times 14 + u_6 476 \lambda_5^{(6)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, since $\bar{\lambda}_3^{(2)} = \bar{\lambda}_3^{(1)} = \bar{\lambda}_3^{(0)} = \lambda_2^{(0)} = \lambda_2^{(1)} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{2,3} &= u_2 \lambda_2^{(2)} \bar{\lambda}_3^{(8)} + u_3 \lambda_2^{(3)} \bar{\lambda}_3^{(7)} + u_4 \lambda_2^{(4)} \bar{\lambda}_3^{(6)} + u_5 \lambda_2^{(5)} \bar{\lambda}_3^{(5)} + u_6 \lambda_2^{(6)} \bar{\lambda}_3^{(4)} + u_7 \lambda_2^{(7)} \bar{\lambda}_3^{(3)} \\ &= u_2 \frac{21}{2} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(8)} + u_3 \frac{16 \times 35}{2} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(7)} + u_4 120 \times 35 \bar{\lambda}_5^{(6)} + u_5 560 \times 105 + \\ &\quad u_6 140 \times 15 \lambda_5^{(6)} + u_7 56 \lambda_5^{(7)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{3,2} &= u_3\lambda_3^{(3)}\bar{\lambda}_2^{(7)} + u_4\lambda_3^{(4)}\bar{\lambda}_2^{(6)} + u_5\lambda_3^{(5)}\bar{\lambda}_2^{(5)} + u_6\lambda_3^{(6)}\bar{\lambda}_2^{(4)} + u_7\lambda_3^{(7)}\bar{\lambda}_2^{(3)} + u_8\lambda_3^{(8)}\bar{\lambda}_2^{(2)} \\
&= u_356\bar{\lambda}_5^{(7)} + u_415 \times 140\bar{\lambda}_5^{(6)} + u_5105 \times 560 + u_635 \times 120\lambda_5^{(6)} + \\
&\quad u_7\frac{35 \times 16}{2}\lambda_5^{(7)} + u_8\frac{21}{2}\lambda_5^{(8)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{4,1} &= u_4\lambda_4^{(4)}\bar{\lambda}_1^{(6)} + u_5\lambda_4^{(5)}\bar{\lambda}_1^{(5)} + u_6\lambda_4^{(6)}\bar{\lambda}_1^{(4)} + u_7\lambda_4^{(7)}\bar{\lambda}_1^{(3)} + u_8\lambda_4^{(8)}\bar{\lambda}_1^{(2)} + u_9\lambda_4^{(9)}\bar{\lambda}_1^{(1)} \\
&= u_4476\bar{\lambda}_5^{(6)} + u_514 \times 2380 + u_67 \times 680\lambda_5^{(6)} + u_7\frac{14 \times 136}{3}\lambda_5^{(7)} + \\
&\quad u_8\frac{7 \times 17}{2}\lambda_5^{(8)} + u_9\frac{14}{5}\lambda_5^{(9)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{5,0} &= u_5\lambda_5^{(5)}\bar{\lambda}_0^{(5)} + u_6\lambda_5^{(6)}\bar{\lambda}_0^{(4)} + u_7\lambda_5^{(7)}\bar{\lambda}_0^{(3)} + u_8\lambda_5^{(8)}\bar{\lambda}_0^{(2)} + u_9\lambda_5^{(9)}\bar{\lambda}_0^{(1)} + u_{10}\lambda_5^{(10)}\bar{\lambda}_0^{(0)} \\
&= u_58568 + u_63060\lambda_5^{(6)} + u_7816\lambda_5^{(7)} + u_8153\lambda_5^{(8)} + u_918\lambda_5^{(9)} + u_{10}\lambda_5^{(10)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Each set of values of $u_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 0, \dots, 10$, and $\lambda_5^{(j)}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(j)}$, $j = 6, \dots, 10$, for which the condition

$$L_{0,5} = L_{1,4} = L_{2,3} = L_{3,2} = L_{4,1} = L_{5,0} := \Lambda \quad (4)$$

is fulfilled for a positive integer Λ will yield a simple $5 - (36, 10, \Lambda)$ design.

Note that a $5 - (36, 10, \lambda)$ design will be written as $5 - (36, 10, m63)$ with $\lambda_{\min} = 63$ and $\lambda_{\max} = \binom{31}{5} = 169911$. So, $\text{LIM} = \lfloor 169911/2 * 63 \rfloor = 1348$. By solving Eq.(1) above, we obtain designs for all $m63 \leq 2697$. Altogether 75 values for m have been found, of which 37 values of $m \leq \text{LIM}$. However, since not all simple $5 - (18, i, \lambda_5^{(i)})$ designs are known to exist, for example, $5 - (18, 6, m)$ designs are known for $m = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13$ only (here $5 - (18, 6, 13)$ is the complete design), we just obtain the following 10 new non-trivial simple $5 - (36, 10, m63)$ designs for $m = 542, 621, 645, 669, 748, 772, 932, 956, 1304, 1328$. More precisely, Table 1 below shows the details of these 10 solutions.

m	$\lambda_5^{(5)}$	$\lambda_5^{(6)}$	$\lambda_5^{(7)}$	$\lambda_5^{(8)}$	$\lambda_5^{(9)}$	$\lambda_5^{(10)}$
542	0	5	6	60	210	990
621	0	6	0	126	75	135
645	0	6	6	78	275	495
669	0	6	12	30	475	855
748	0	7	6	96	340	0
772	0	7	12	48	540	360
932	0	9	0	192	60	720
956	0	9	6	144	260	1080
1304	1	0	66	112	100	792
1328	1	0	72	64	300	1152

An entry 0 in a column of the table implies that $u_i = 0$, otherwise $u_i = 1$. No values for $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(j)}$ are given in the table, because we have $\lambda_5^{(j)} = \bar{\lambda}_5^{(j)}$, $j = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$, for all these solutions.

Remark 2.1 In order to simplify the expressions $L_{s,5-s}$ we may introduce the following variables $x_j = u_j \lambda_5^{(j)}$ and $y_j = u_{k-j} \bar{\lambda}_5^{(j)}$ for $j = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$. More precisely,

$$x_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u_j = 0 \\ \lambda_5^{(j)} & \text{if } u_j = 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u_{k-j} = 0 \\ \bar{\lambda}_5^{(j)} & \text{if } u_{k-j} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus $L_{s,5-s}$ have much simpler forms, in which x_j and y_j are allowed to take on the value of zero. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{2,3} &= \frac{21}{2}y_8 + \frac{16 \times 35}{2}y_7 + 120 \times 35y_6 + u_5 560 \times 105 + 140 \times 15x_6 + 56x_7. \\ L_{1,4} &= \frac{14}{5}y_9 + \frac{17 \times 7}{2}y_8 + \frac{136 \times 14}{3}y_7 + 680 \times 7y_6 + u_5 2380 \times 14 + 476x_6. \\ L_{0,5} &= y_{10} + 18y_9 + 153y_8 + 816y_7 + 3060y_6 + u_5 8568. \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2 Simple $5 - (36, k, \lambda)$ designs with $11 \leq k \leq 15$

We give a summary of the results from the construction of Theorem 1.1 for simple $5 - (36, k, \lambda)$ designs for $k = 11, \dots, 15$, for which $v_1 = v_2 = 18$.

When $v_1 = v_2$, we observe that most of the solutions of Eq.(1) have the property that $\lambda_5^{(k)} = \bar{\lambda}_5^{(k)}$, which we call *symmetric property*. Thus, assuming symmetric property for solutions of Eq.(1) appears to be reasonable. On the other hand, it will reduce the search time for solutions enormously. For $k = 12, 13, 14, 15$ we assume the symmetric property, but even so a great number of new designs have been constructed.

- Simple $5 - (36, 11, \lambda) = 5 - (36, 11, m21)$ designs with $\text{LIM} = 17530$. The construction yields 400 values for m with $m \leq \text{LIM}$ as solutions for Eq.(1). The 73 values for m below

$$\begin{aligned} m &= 11832, 8712, 8736, 9404, 9416, 9440, 10084, 10120, 10752, 10889, \\ &10913, 11432, 11444, 11456, 11545, 12124, 12136, 12225, 12249, \\ &12261, 12840, 12905, 12929, 12941, 12953, 13496, 14265, 14301, \\ &10676, 10717, 11356, 11397, 12077, 12101, 12781, 12805, 12894, \\ &13396, 13485, 13509, 13574, 14076, 14117, 14189, 14254, 14797, \\ &14821, 15501, 15614, 16205, 16294, 16861, 16909, 13426, 13450, \\ &14130, 14154, 14834, 14858, 15466, 15538, 16146, 16170, 16271, \\ &16850, 16874, 16951, 15390, 16070, 16803, 16875, 17483, 17507. \end{aligned}$$

show the constructed simple $5 - (36, 11, m21)$ designs. Of which 72 values of m yield new designs, except one, $m = 13485$, which has been known already.

- The results for $k = 12, 13, 14, 15$ are recorded in the following Table 2.

Parameters	LIM	# solutions of Eq.(1)	# constructed designs
$5 - (36, 12, m15)$	87652	3261	240
$5 - (36, 13, m585)$	6742	2427	359
$5 - (36, 14, m65)$	155077	26609	1926
$5 - (36, 15, m143)$	155077	48852	4452

In Table 2 the figures in column “# solutions of Eq.(1)” are the number of solutions of Eq.(1) having the symmetric property, whereas those in column “# constructed designs” are the number of constructed simple designs with parameters in the first column for $m \leq \text{LIM}$. The constructed 5-designs are derived from solutions of Eq.(1) and from known simple 5-designs on 18 points as given in [8].

Remark 2.2 We have also applied our method to constructing $5 - (36, k, \Lambda)$ designs for $k = 16, 17, 18$. In each of these cases we can always construct new designs.

Examples 2.1 We display some new simple 5-designs for $k = 11, 12, 13, 14, 15$ explicitly. All but one design have the symmetric property. The missing values for $\lambda_5^{(i)}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)}$ in the following examples imply that the corresponding designs are not used in the construction. Here are the designs.

- $5 - (36, 11, 11832 \times 21)$ with $\lambda_5^{(7)} = 54, \lambda_5^{(8)} = 16, \lambda_5^{(9)} = 240, \lambda_5^{(10)} = 1224, \bar{\lambda}_5^{(6)} = 8, \bar{\lambda}_5^{(7)} = 12, \bar{\lambda}_5^{(8)} = 108, \bar{\lambda}_5^{(9)} = 360$. This solution does not have the symmetric property.
 $5 - (36, 11, 8712 \times 21)$ with $\lambda_5^{(6)} = 4, \lambda_5^{(7)} = 6, \lambda_5^{(8)} = 142, \lambda_5^{(9)} = 40, \lambda_5^{(10)} = 72, \lambda_5^{(11)} = 1320$, and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}, i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$.
- $5 - (36, 12, 15337 \times 15)$ with $\lambda_5^{(6)} = 4, \lambda_5^{(7)} = 6, \lambda_5^{(8)} = 30, \lambda_5^{(9)} = 55, \lambda_5^{(10)} = 27, \lambda_5^{(11)} = 660, \lambda_5^{(12)} = 660$, and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}, i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12$.
 $5 - (36, 12, 50490 \times 15)$ with $\lambda_5^{(7)} = 42, \lambda_5^{(8)} = 46, \lambda_5^{(9)} = 135, \lambda_5^{(10)} = 864$, and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}, i = 7, 8, 9, 10$.
- $5 - (36, 13, 1347 \times 585)$ with $\lambda_5^{(6)} = 4, \lambda_5^{(7)} = 18, \lambda_5^{(8)} = 48, \lambda_5^{(9)} = 40, \lambda_5^{(10)} = 27, \lambda_5^{(11)} = 396, \lambda_5^{(12)} = 1716, \lambda_5^{(13)} = 1287$, and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}, i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13$.
 $5 - (36, 13, 2448 \times 585)$ with $\lambda_5^{(6)} = 4, \lambda_5^{(7)} = 48, \lambda_5^{(8)} = 48, \lambda_5^{(9)} = 120, \lambda_5^{(10)} = 360$, and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}, i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$.

- $5 - (36, 14, 20400 \times 65)$ with $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(6)} = 4$, $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(7)} = 30$, $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(9)} = 60$, $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(10)} = 144$, and $\lambda_5^{(i)} = \bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)}$, $i = 6, 7, 9, 10$.
 $5 - (36, 14, 19992 \times 65)$ with $\lambda_5^{(6)} = 4$, $\lambda_5^{(8)} = 98$, $\lambda_5^{(9)} = 60$, $\lambda_5^{(12)} = 1056$, and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}$, $i = 6, 8, 9, 12$.
- $5 - (36, 15, 19040 \times 143)$ with $\lambda_5^{(6)} = 4$, $\lambda_5^{(7)} = 6$, $\lambda_5^{(8)} = 112$, $\lambda_5^{(9)} = 320$, $\lambda_5^{(12)} = 528$, and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}$, $i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 12$.
 $5 - (36, 15, 119952 \times 143)$ with $\lambda_5^{(7)} = 42$, $\lambda_5^{(8)} = 280$, $\lambda_5^{(10)} = 1152$, $\lambda_5^{(12)} = 792$, and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}$, $i = 7, 8, 10, 12$.

Remark 2.3 It is worth mentioning that there may exist different solutions to Eq.(1) leading to the same value Λ for constructed designs. For instance, the following two distinct solutions (a) and (b) of Eq.(1) for $t = 5, v = 36, k = 13$:

- (a) $\lambda_5^{(6)} = 4$, $\lambda_5^{(7)} = 54$, $\lambda_5^{(8)} = 128$, $\lambda_5^{(10)} = 729$, $\lambda_5^{(11)} = 264$, $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}$, $i = 6, 7, 8, 10, 11$,
- (b) $\lambda_5^{(6)} = 7$, $\lambda_5^{(7)} = 42$, $\lambda_5^{(8)} = 64$, $\lambda_5^{(9)} = 240$, $\lambda_5^{(10)} = 288$, $\lambda_5^{(11)} = 528$, $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}$, $i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$,

lead to simple designs with the same parameters $5 - (36, 13, 3672 \times 585)$. However, they are not isomorphic.

2.2 Simple $4 - (35, k, \Lambda)$ designs with $k = 8, 9, 10$

We shall choose $v_1 = 17$ and $v_2 = 18$.

2.2.1 $k = 8$

There is a unique non-trivial solution for Eq.(1) with $\lambda_4^{(5)} = 13$, $\lambda_4^{(7)} = 264$, $\lambda_4^{(8)} = 320$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(5)} = 14$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(7)} = 336$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(8)} = 448$, which yields a simple $4 - (35, 8, 448 \times 35)$ design.

2.2.2 $k = 9$

There are in total 700 non-trivial solutions for Eq.(1), of which we can construct 452 simple $4 - (35, 9, \Lambda)$ designs. Here are two examples.

(a) $\lambda_4^{(6)} = 18$, $\lambda_4^{(7)} = 38$, $\lambda_4^{(8)} = 15$, $\lambda_4^{(9)} = 27$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(5)} = 4$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(7)} = 84$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(8)} = 133$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(9)} = 42$, which yields a simple $4 - (35, 9, 369 \times 63)$ design.

(b) $\lambda_4^{(5)} = 4$, $\lambda_4^{(7)} = 84$, $\lambda_4^{(8)} = 50$, $\lambda_4^{(9)} = 90$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(6)} = 28$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(8)} = 294$, $\bar{\lambda}_4^{(9)} = 140$, which yields a simple $4 - (35, 9, 414 \times 63)$ design.

2.2.3 $k = 10$

There is a huge number of non-trivial solutions for Eq.(1) in this case. For instance, with the restriction that $\lambda_4^{(5)} = 3$, we already have constructed 43225 simple $4 - (35, 10, \Lambda)$ designs (many designs have equal value Λ , but they are not isomorphic). Here is an example.

$\lambda_4^{(5)} = 3, \lambda_4^{(6)} = 12, \lambda_4^{(7)} = 6, \lambda_4^{(8)} = 85, \lambda_4^{(9)} = 153, \lambda_4^{(10)} = 612, \bar{\lambda}_4^{(5)} = 2, \bar{\lambda}_4^{(6)} = 11, \bar{\lambda}_4^{(7)} = 28, \bar{\lambda}_4^{(8)} = 70, \bar{\lambda}_4^{(9)} = 238, \bar{\lambda}_4^{(10)} = 357$, which yields a simple $4 - (35, 10, 3043 \times 21)$ design.

2.3 Some simple $6 - (46, k, \Lambda)$ designs with $k = 13, 15$

Some further examples for $6 - (46, 13, \Lambda)$ and $6 - (46, 15, \Lambda)$ designs are given here. In both cases the ingredient designs are on 23 points, i.e. $v_1 = v_2 = 23$.

- $6 - (46, 13, 3515 \times 1560)$ with $\lambda_6^{(7)} = 5, \lambda_6^{(8)} = 40, \lambda_6^{(9)} = 200, \lambda_6^{(10)} = 700, \lambda_6^{(11)} = 1820, \lambda_6^{(12)} = 3640, \lambda_6^{(13)} = 5720$, and $\bar{\lambda}_6^{(i)} = \lambda_6^{(i)}, i = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13$.
- $6 - (46, 13, 4218 \times 1560)$ with $\lambda_6^{(7)} = 6, \lambda_6^{(8)} = 48, \lambda_6^{(9)} = 240, \lambda_6^{(10)} = 840, \lambda_6^{(11)} = 2184, \lambda_6^{(12)} = 4368, \lambda_6^{(13)} = 6864$, and $\bar{\lambda}_6^{(i)} = \lambda_6^{(i)}, i = 7, \dots, 13$.
- $6 - (46, 15, 28120 \times 2860)$ with $\lambda_6^{(7)} = 5, \lambda_6^{(8)} = 136, \lambda_6^{(9)} = 200, \lambda_6^{(10)} = 700, \lambda_6^{(11)} = 1820, \lambda_6^{(12)} = 3640, \lambda_6^{(13)} = 5720, \lambda_6^{(14)} = 7150, \lambda_6^{(15)} = 7150$, and $\bar{\lambda}_6^{(i)} = \lambda_6^{(i)}, i = 7, \dots, 15$.

Remark 2.4 We note that Eq.(1) could have non-trivial solutions when $t + 1 \leq k \leq 2t - 1$. For example, when $t = 5, k = 8$ and $v_1 = v_2 = 22$, Eq.(1) has a non-trivial solution with $u_2 = u_4 = u_6 = 0$ (other u_i are equal to 1), $\lambda_5^{(7)} = 130, \bar{\lambda}_5^{(8)} = 160$ and $\bar{\lambda}_5^{(i)} = \lambda_5^{(i)}, i = 7, 8$, leading to a simple $5 - (44, 8, 4560)$ design. However, the existence of an ingredient design with parameters $5 - (22, 7, \lambda_5^{(7)}) = 5 - (22, 7, 130)$ seems to be still undecided.

3 Conclusion

We have presented a new recursive construction for simple t -designs based on a composition of smaller ingredient designs. The construction leads to find solutions for the indices of the ingredient designs that satisfy a certain set of equalities. With a small number of examples to demonstrate the strength of the method, we have constructed a large amount of new t -designs, which were unknown to date. Clearly the method is very fruitful and powerful. We believe that this method would enable interested researchers to improve the Table of simple t -designs in the Handbook of Combinatorial Designs considerably.

References

- [1] S. AJOODANI-NAMINI, Extending large sets of t -designs, *J. Combin. Theory A* **76** (1996) 139–144.
- [2] T. BETH, D. JUNGnickel AND H. LENZ, *Design Theory*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1999).
- [3] A. BETTEN, A. KERBER, A. KOHNERT, R. LAUE, AND A. WASSERMANN, The discovery of simple 7-designs with automorphism group $PGL(2, 32)$. In: *Applied algebra, algebraic algorithms and error-correcting codes* (eds. G. Cohen, M. Giusti and T. Mora). Springer, New York (1995) 131–145.
- [4] A. BETTEN, A. KERBER, R. LAUE, AND A. WASSERMANN, Simple 8-designs with small parameters, *Des. Codes Crypt.* **15** (1998) 5–27.
- [5] A. BETTEN, R. LAUE, AND A. WASSERMANN, A Steiner 5-design on 36 points, *Des. Codes Crypt.* **17** (1999) 181–186.
- [6] J. BIERBRAUER, A family of 4-designs with block size 9, *Discr. Math.* **138** (1995) 113–117.
- [7] J. BIERBRAUER, A family of 4-designs, *Graphs Comb.* **11** (1995) 209–212.
- [8] C. J. COLBOURN AND J. H. DINITZ, Eds. *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, 2nd Edition, CRC Press (2007).
- [9] R. H. F. DENNISTON, Some new 5-designs, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **8** (1976) 263–267.
- [10] L. H. M. E. DRIESSEN, t -designs, $t \geq 3$, Technical Report, Department of Mathematics, Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 1978.
- [11] G. B. KHOSROVSHAHI AND S. AJOODANI-NAMINI, Combining t -designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **58** (1991), 26–34.
- [12] M. JIMBO, Y. KUNIHARA, R. LAUE, AND M. SAWA, Unifying some infinite families of combinatorial 3-designs, *J. Combin. Theory A* **118** (2011) 1072–1085.
- [13] E. S. KRAMER, D. M. MESNER, t -designs on hypergraphs, *Discr. Math.* **15** (1976) 263–296.
- [14] E. S. KRAMER, S. S. MAGLIVERAS, AND E. A. O’BRIEN, Some new large sets of t -designs, *Australas. J. Combin.* **7** (1993) 189–193.
- [15] D. L. KREHER, An infinite family of (simple) 6-designs, *J. Combin. Des.* **1** (1993) 277–280.

- [16] S. S. MAGLIVERAS, AND D. M. LEAVITT, Simple 6-(33,8,36)-designs from $P\Gamma L_2(32)$, *Computational Group Theory*, Academic Press, New York (1984) 337–352.
- [17] S. S. MAGLIVERAS, AND T. E. PLAMBECK, New infinite families of simple 5-designs, *J. Combin. Theory A* **44** (1987) 1–5.
- [18] NGO DAC TUAN, Simple non-trivial designs with an arbitrary automorphism group, *J. Combin. Theory A* **100** (2002) 403–408.
- [19] M. SEBILLE, There exists a simple non-trivial t -design with an arbitrarily large automorphism group for every t , *Des. Codes Crypt.* **22** (2001) 203–206.
- [20] L. TEIRLINCK, Non-trivial t -designs without repeated blocks exist for all t , *Discr. Math.* **65** (1987) 301–311
- [21] L. TEIRLINCK, Locally trivial t -designs and t -designs without repeated blocks, *Discr. Math.* **77** (1989) 345–356.
- [22] TRAN VAN TRUNG, The existence of an infinite family of simple 5-designs, *Math. Zeitschr.* **187** (1984) 285–287.
- [23] TRAN VAN TRUNG, On the construction of t -designs and the existence of some new infinite families of simple 5-designs, *Arch. Math.* **47** (1986) 187–192.
- [24] TRAN VAN TRUNG, Recursive constructions for 3-designs and resolvable 3-designs, *J. Stat. Plann. Infer.* **95** (2001) 341–358.
- [25] QIU-RONG WU, A note on extending t -designs, *Australas. J. Combin.* **4** (1991) 229–235.