

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

**STATISTICAL INFERENCE FOR TIME-CHANGED LÉVY
 PROCESSES VIA COMPOSITE CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION
 ESTIMATION**

BY DENIS BELOMESTNY

Duisburg-Essen University

In this article, the problem of semi-parametric inference on the parameters of a multidimensional Lévy process L_t with independent components based on the low-frequency observations of the corresponding time-changed Lévy process $L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$, where \mathcal{T} is a nonnegative, nondecreasing real-valued process independent of L_t , is studied. We show that this problem is closely related to the problem of composite function estimation that has recently got much attention in statistical literature. Under suitable identifiability conditions, we propose a consistent estimate for the Lévy density of L_t and derive the uniform as well as the pointwise convergence rates of the estimate proposed. Moreover, we prove that the rates obtained are optimal in a minimax sense over suitable classes of time-changed Lévy models. Finally, we present a simulation study showing the performance of our estimation algorithm in the case of time-changed Normal Inverse Gaussian (NIG) Lévy processes.

1. Introduction. The problem of nonparametric statistical inference for jump processes or more generally for semimartingale models has long history and goes back to the works of [Rubin and Tucker \(1959\)](#) and [Basawa and Brockwell \(1982\)](#). In the past decade, one has witnessed the revival of interest in this topic which is mainly related to a wide availability of financial and economical time series data and new types of statistical issues that have not been addressed before. There are two major strands of recent literature dealing with statistical inference for semimartingale models. The first type of literature considers the so-called high-frequency setup, where the asymptotic properties of the corresponding estimates are studied under the assumption that the frequency of observations tends to infinity. In the second strand of literature, the frequency of observations is assumed to be fixed (the so-called low-frequency setup) and the asymptotic analysis is done under the premiss that the observational horizon tends to infinity. It is clear that none of the above asymptotic hypothesis can be perfectly realized on real data and they can only serve as a convenient approximation, as in practice the frequency of

Received December 2010; revised April 2011.

¹Supported in part by SFB 649 “Economic Risk.”

MSC2010 subject classifications. Primary 62F10; secondary 62J12, 62F25, 62H12.

Key words and phrases. Time-changed Lévy processes, dependence, pointwise and uniform rates of convergence, composite function estimation.

1 observations and the horizon are always finite. The present paper studies the prob- 1
 2 lem of statistical inference for a class of semimartingale models in low-frequency 2
 3 setup. 3

4 Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a stochastic process valued in \mathbb{R}^d and let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}(s))_{s \geq 0}$ be 4
 5 a nonnegative, nondecreasing stochastic process not necessarily independent of X 5
 6 with $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$. A time-changed process $Y = (Y_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is then defined as $Y_s = X_{\mathcal{T}(s)}$. 6
 7 The process \mathcal{T} is usually referred to as time change. Even in the case of the 7
 8 one-dimensional Brownian motion X , the class of time-changed processes $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ is 8
 9 very large and basically coincides with the class of all semimartingales [see, e.g., 9
 10 [Monroe \(1978\)](#)]. In fact, the construction in [Monroe \(1978\)](#) is not direct, meaning 10
 11 that the problem of specification of different models with the specific properties 11
 12 remains an important issue. For example, the base process X can be assumed to 12
 13 possess some independence property (e.g., X may have independent components), 13
 14 whereas a nonlinear time change can induce deviations from the independence. 14
 15 Along this line, the time change can be used to model dependence for stochastic 15
 16 processes. In this work, we restrict our attention to the case of time-changed Lévy 16
 17 processes, that is, the case where $X = L$ is a multivariate Lévy process and \mathcal{T} is an 17
 18 independent of L time change. Time-changed Lévy processes are one step further 18
 19 in increasing the complexity of models in order to incorporate the so-called styl- 19
 20 ized features of the financial time series, like volatility clustering [for more details, 20
 21 see [Carr et al. \(2003\)](#)]. This type of processes in the case of the one-dimensional 21
 22 Brownian motion was first studied by [Bochner \(1949\)](#). [Clark \(1973\)](#) introduced 22
 23 Bochner's time-changed Brownian motion into financial economics: he used it to 23
 24 relate future price returns of cotton to the variations in volume during different 24
 25 trading periods. Recently, a number of parametric time-changed Lévy processes 25
 26 have been introduced by [Carr et al. \(2003\)](#), who model the stock price S_t by a 26
 27 geometric time-changed Lévy model 27

$$28 \quad S_t = S_0 \exp(L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}), \quad 28$$

29 where L is a Lévy process and $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is a time change of the form 29
 30

$$31 \quad (1.1) \quad \mathcal{T}(t) = \int_0^t \rho(u) du \quad 31$$

32 with $\{\rho(u)\}_{u \geq 0}$ being a positive mean-reverting process. [Carr et al. \(2003\)](#) pro- 32
 33 posed to model $\rho(u)$ via the Cox–Ingersoll–Ross (CIR) process. Taking different 33
 34 parametric Lévy models for L (such as the normal inverse Gaussian or the variance 34
 35 Gamma processes) results in a wide range of processes with rather rich volatility 35
 36 structure (depending on the rate process ρ) and various distributional properties 36
 37 (depending on the specification of L). From statistical point of view, any paramet- 37
 38 ric model (especially one using only few parameters) is prone to misspecification 38
 39 problems. One approach to deal with the misspecification issue is to adopt the 39
 40 general nonparametric models for the functional parameters of the underlying pro- 40
 41 cess. This may reduce the estimation bias resulting from an inadequate parametric 41
 42 43

1 model. In the case of time-changed Lévy models, there are two natural nonpara- 1
 2 metric parameters: Lévy density ν , which determines the jump dynamics of the 2
 3 process L and the marginal distribution of the process \mathcal{T} . 3

4 In this paper, we study the problem of statistical inference on the character- 4
 5 istics of a multivariate Lévy process L with independent components based on 5
 6 low-frequency observations of the time-changed process $Y_t = L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$, where $\mathcal{T}(t)$ 6
 7 is a time change process independent of L with strictly stationary increments. We 7
 8 assume that the distribution of $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is unknown, except of its mean value. This 8
 9 problem is rather challenging one and has not been yet given attention in the liter- 9
 10 ature, except of the special case of $\mathcal{T}(t) \equiv t$ [see, e.g., [Neumann and Reiß \(2009\)](#) 10
 11 and [Comte and Genon-Catalot \(2010\)](#)]. In particular, the main difficulty in con- 11
 12 structing nonparametric estimates for the Lévy density ν of L lies in the fact that 12
 13 the jumps are unobservable variables, since in practice only discrete observations 13
 14 of the process Y are available. The more high-frequent are the observations, the 14
 15 more relevant information about the jumps of the underlying process and hence, 15
 16 about the Lévy density ν are contained in the sample. Such high-frequency based 16
 17 statistical approach has played a central role in the recent literature on nonparamet- 17
 18 ric estimation for Lévy type processes. For instance, under discrete observations 18
 19 of a pure Lévy process L_t at times $t_j = j\Delta$, $j = 0, \dots, n$, [Woerner \(2003\)](#) and 19
 20 [Figuroa-López \(2004\)](#) proposed the quantity 20

$$21 \quad \hat{\beta}(f) = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{k=1}^n f(L_{t_k} - L_{t_{k-1}}) \quad 21$$

22 as a consistent estimator for the functional 22
 23 24

$$25 \quad \beta(f) = \int f(x)\nu(x) dx, \quad 25$$

26 where f is a given “test function.” Turning back to the time-changed Lévy pro- 26
 27 cesses, it was shown in [Figuroa-López \(2009\)](#) [see also [Rosenbaum and Tankov \(2010\)](#)] 27
 28 that in the case, where the rate process ρ in (1.1) is a positive ergodic dif- 28
 29 fusion independent of the Lévy process L , $\hat{\beta}(f)$ is still a consistent estimator for 29
 30 $\beta(f)$ up to a constant, provided the time horizon $n\Delta$ and the sampling frequency 30
 31 Δ^{-1} converge to infinite at suitable rates. In the case of low-frequency data (Δ is 31
 32 fixed), we cannot be sure to what extent the increment $L_{t_k} - L_{t_{k-1}}$ is due to one or 32
 33 several jumps or just to the diffusion part of the Lévy process so that at first sight 33
 34 it may appear surprising that some kind of inference in this situation is possible at 34
 35 all. The key observation here is that for any bounded “test function” f 35
 36 37

$$38 \quad (1.2) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(L_{\mathcal{T}(t_j)} - L_{\mathcal{T}(t_{j-1})}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\pi[f(L_{\mathcal{T}(\Delta)})], \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad 38$$

39 provided the sequence $\mathcal{T}(t_j) - \mathcal{T}(t_{j-1})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, is stationary and ergodic 39
 40 with the invariant stationary distribution π . The limiting expectation in (1.2) is 40
 41 42
 43

1 then given by 1

$$2 \quad \mathbb{E}_\pi[f(L_{\mathcal{T}(\Delta)})] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[f(L_s)]\pi(ds). \quad 2$$

3 Taking $f(z) = f_u(z) = \exp(iu^\top z)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and using the independence of L 3
4 and \mathcal{T} , we arrive at the following representation for the c.f. of $L_{\mathcal{T}(s)}$: 4

$$5 \quad (1.3) \quad \mathbb{E}[\exp(iu^\top L_{\mathcal{T}(\Delta)})] = \int_0^\infty \exp(t\psi(u))\pi(dt) = \mathcal{L}_\Delta(-\psi(u)), \quad 5$$

6 where $\psi(u) = t^{-1} \log[\mathbb{E} \exp(iu L_t)]$ is the characteristic exponent of the Lévy pro- 6
7 cess L and \mathcal{L}_Δ is the Laplace transform of π . In fact, the most difficult part of 7
8 estimation procedure comes only now and consists in reconstructing the charac- 8
9 teristics of the underlying Lévy process L from an estimate for $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(-\psi(u))$. As 9
10 we will see, the latter statistical problem is closely related to the problem of com- 10
11 posite function estimation, which is known to be highly nonlinear and ill-posed. 11
12 The identity (1.3) also reveals the major difference between high-frequency and 12
13 low-frequency setups. While in the case of high-frequency data one can directly 13
14 estimate linear functionals of the Lévy measure ν , under low-frequency observa- 14
15 tions, one has to deal with nonlinear functionals of ν rendering the underlying es- 15
16 timation problem nonlinear and ill-posed. The last but not the least, the increments 16
17 of time-changed Lévy processes are not any longer independent, hence advanced 17
18 tools from time series analysis have to be used for the estimation of $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(-\psi(u))$. 18
19 19

20 The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we introduce the main ob- 20
21 ject of our study, the time-changed Lévy processes. In Section 2.2, our statistical 21
22 problem is formulated and its connection to the problem of composite function 22
23 estimation is established. In Section 2.3, we impose some restrictions on the struc- 23
24 ture of the time-changed Lévy processes in order to ensure the identifiability and 24
25 avoid the “curse of dimensionality.” Section 3 contains the main estimation pro- 25
26 cedure. In Section 4, asymptotic properties of the estimates defined in Section 3 26
27 are studied. In particular, we derive uniform and pointwise rates of convergence 27
28 (Sections 4.3 and 4.4, resp.) and prove their optimality over suitable classes of 28
29 time-changed Lévy models (Section 4.5). Section 4.7 contains some discussion. 29
30 Finally, in Section 5 we present a simulation study. The rest of the paper contains 30
31 proofs of the main results and some auxiliary lemmas. In particular, in Section 7.3 31
32 a useful inequality on the probability of large deviations for empirical processes in 32
33 uniform metric for the case of weakly dependent random variables can be found. 33
34 34

35 2. Main setup. 35

36 36
37 37
38 38
39 39
40 40
41 41
42 42
43 43

40 2.1. *Time-changed Lévy processes.* Let L_t be a d -dimensional Lévy process 40
41 on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with the characteristic exponent $\psi(u)$, that is, 41

$$42 \quad \psi(u) = t^{-1} \log \mathbb{E}[\exp(iu^\top L_t)]. \quad 42$$

1 We know by the Lévy–Khintchine formula that 1

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(u) = i\mu^\top u - \frac{1}{2}u^\top \Sigma u + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{iu^\top y} - 1 - iu^\top y \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}) \nu(dy),$$

2
3
4
5 where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$, Σ is a positive-semidefinite symmetric $d \times d$ matrix and ν is a
6 Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying 6

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (|y|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dy) < \infty.$$

7
8
9
10 A triplet (μ, Σ, ν) is usually called a characteristic triplet of the d -dimensional
11 Lévy process L_t . 11

12 Let $t \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(t)$, $t \geq 0$ be an increasing right-continuous process with left limits 12
13 such that $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$ and for each fixed t , the random variable $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is a stopping 13
14 time with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F} . Suppose furthermore that $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is finite \mathbb{P} -a.s. 14
15 for all $t \geq 0$ and that $\mathcal{T}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then the family of $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ defines 15
16 a random time change. Now consider a d -dimensional process $Y_t := L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$. The 16
17 process Y_t is called the time-changed Lévy process. Let us look at some examples. 17
18 If $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is a Lévy process, then Y_t would be another Lévy process. A more general 18
19 situation is when $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is modeled by a nondecreasing semimartingale 19

$$\mathcal{T}(t) = b_t + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty y \rho(dy, ds),$$

20
21
22
23 where b is a drift and ρ is the counting measure of jumps in the time change. As in
24 Carr and Wu (2004), one can take $b_t = 0$ and consider locally deterministic time
25 changes 25

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{T}(t) = \int_0^t \rho(s-) ds,$$

26
27
28
29 where ρ is the instantaneous activity rate which is assumed to be nonnegative. 29
30 When L_t is the Brownian motion and ρ is proportional to the instantaneous vari- 30
31 ance rate of the Brownian motion, then Y_t is a pure jump Lévy process with the 31
32 Lévy measure proportional to ρ . Let us now compute the characteristic function 32
33 of Y_t . Since $\mathcal{T}(t)$ and L_t are independent, we get 33

$$(2.3) \quad \phi_Y(u|t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{iu^\top L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}}) = \mathcal{L}_t(-\psi(u)),$$

34
35
36
37 where \mathcal{L}_t is the Laplace transform of $\mathcal{T}(t)$: 37

$$\mathcal{L}_t(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}(e^{-\lambda \mathcal{T}(t)}).$$

38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 the spectral domain and taking into account (2.1), we can reformulate our prob- 1
 2 lem as the problem of semi-parametric estimation of the characteristic expo- 2
 3 nent ψ under structural assumption (2.1) from an estimate of $\phi_Y(u|\Delta)$ based 3
 4 on $Y_0, Y_\Delta, \dots, Y_{n\Delta}$. The formula (2.3) shows that the function $\phi_Y(u|\Delta)$ can be 4
 5 viewed as a composite function and our statistical problem is hence closely re- 5
 6 lated to the problem of statistical inference on the components of a composite 6
 7 function. The latter type of problems in regression setup has got much attention 7
 8 recently [see, e.g., Horowitz and Mammen (2007) and Juditsky, Lepski and Tsy- 8
 9 bakov (2009)]. Our problem has, however, some features not reflected in the pre- 9
 10 vious literature. First, the unknown link function \mathcal{L}_Δ , being the Laplace transform 10
 11 of the r.v. $\mathcal{T}(\Delta)$, is completely monotone. Second, the complex-valued function ψ 11
 12 is of the form (2.1) implying, for example, a certain asymptotic behavior of $\psi(u)$ 12
 13 as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, we are not in regression setup and $\phi_Y(u|\Delta)$ is to be estimated 13
 14 by its empirical counterpart 14

$$\widehat{\phi}(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{iu^\top (Y_{\Delta j} - Y_{\Delta(j-1)})}. \quad 15$$

15 The contribution of this paper to the literature on composite function estimation is 15
 16 twofold. On the one hand, we introduce and study a new type of statistical prob- 16
 17 lems which can be called estimation of a composite function under structural con- 17
 18 straints. On the other hand, we propose new and constructive estimation approach 18
 19 which is rather general and can be used to solve other open statistical problems of 19
 20 this type. For example, one can directly adapt our method to the problem of semi- 20
 21 parametric inference in distributional Archimedean copula-based models [see, e.g., 21
 22 McNeil and Nešlehová (2009) for recent results], where one faces the problem of 22
 23 estimating a multidimensional distribution function of the form 23
 24 25

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_d) = G(f_1(x_1) + \dots + f_d(x_d)), \quad (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad 27$$

27 with a completely monotone function G and some functions f_1, \dots, f_d . Further 28
 29 discussion on the problem of composite function estimation can be found in Re- 29
 30 mark 4.14. 30

31 32
 33 2.3. *Specification analysis.* It is clear that without further restrictions on the 32
 34 class of time-changed Lévy processes our problem of estimating ν is not well de- 33
 35 fined, as even in the case of the perfectly known distribution of the process Y 34
 36 the parameters of the Lévy process L are generally not identifiable. Moreover, 35
 37 the corresponding statistical procedure will suffer from the “curse of dimension- 36
 38 ality” as the dimension d increases. In order to avoid these undesirable features, we 37
 39 have to impose some additional restrictions on the structure of the time-changed 38
 40 process Y . In statistical literature, one can basically find two types of restricted 39
 41 composite models: additive models and single-index models. While the latter class 40
 42 of models is too restrictive in our situation, the former one naturally appears if one 41
 43 assumes the independence of the components of L_t . In this paper, we study a class 42
 of time-changed Lévy processes satisfying the following two assumptions: 43

(ALI) The Lévy process L_t has independent components such that at least two of them are nonzero, that is,

$$(2.4) \quad \phi_Y(u|t) = \mathcal{L}_t(-\psi_1(u_1) - \cdots - \psi_d(u_d)),$$

where $\psi_k, k = 1, \dots, d$, are the characteristic exponents of the components of L_t of the form

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_k(u) = & i\mu_k u - \sigma_k^2 u^2 / 2 \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iux} - 1 - iux \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}) \nu_k(dx), \quad k = 1, \dots, d, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad |\mu_l| + \sigma_l^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 \nu_l(dx) \neq 0$$

for at least two different indexes l .

(ATI) The time change process \mathcal{T} is independent of the Lévy process L and satisfies $E[\mathcal{T}(t)] = t$.

Discussion. The advantage of the modeling framework (2.4) is twofold. On the one hand, models of this type are rather flexible: the distribution of Y_t for a fixed t is in general determined by $d + 1$ nonparametric components and $2 \times d$ parametric ones. On the other hand, these models remain parsimonious and, as we will see later, admit statistical inference not suffering from the “curse of dimensionality” as d becomes large. The latter feature of our model is in accordance with the well documented behavior of the additive models in regression setting and may become particularly important if one is going to use it, for instance, to model large portfolios of assets. The nondegeneracy assumption (2.6) basically excludes one-dimensional models and is not restrictive since it can be always checked prior to estimation by testing that

$$-\partial_{u_l u_l} \widehat{\phi}(u)|_{u=0} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_{\Delta j, l} - Y_{\Delta(j-1), l})^2 > 0$$

for at least two different indexes l . Let us make a few remarks on the one-dimensional case, where

$$(2.7) \quad \phi_Y(u|t) = \mathcal{L}_t(-\psi_1(u)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

If \mathcal{L}_Δ is known, that is, the distribution of the r.v. $\mathcal{T}(\Delta)$ is known, we can consistently estimate the Lévy measure ν_1 by inverting \mathcal{L}_Δ (see Section 4.6 for more details). In the case when the function \mathcal{L}_Δ is unknown, one needs some additional assumptions (e.g., absolute continuity of the time change) to ensure identifiability.

Indeed, consider a class of the one-dimensional Lévy processes of the so-called compound exponential type with the characteristic exponent of the form

$$\psi(u) = \log \left[\frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\psi}(u)} \right],$$

where $\tilde{\psi}(u)$ is the characteristic exponent of another one-dimensional Lévy process \tilde{L}_t . It is well known [see, e.g., Section 3 in Chapter 4 of [Steutel and van Harn \(2004\)](#)] that $\exp(\psi(u))$ is the characteristic function of some infinitely divisible distribution if $\exp(\tilde{\psi}(u))$ does. Introduce

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\Delta(z) = \mathcal{L}_\Delta(\log(1+z)).$$

As can be easily seen, the function $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\Delta$ is completely monotone with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\Delta(0) = 1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_\Delta(0) = \mathcal{L}'_\Delta(0)$. Moreover, it is fulfilled $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\Delta(-\tilde{\psi}(u)) = \mathcal{L}_\Delta(-\psi(u))$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. The existence of the time change (increasing) process \mathcal{T} with a given marginal $\mathcal{T}(\Delta)$ can be derived from the general theory of stochastic partial ordering [see [Kamae and Krengel \(1978\)](#)]. The above construction indicates that the assumption $E[\mathcal{T}(t)] = t, t \geq 0$, is not sufficient to ensure the identifiability in the case of one-dimensional time-changed Lévy models.

3. Estimation.

3.1. *Main ideas.* Assume that the Lévy measures of the component processes L_t^1, \dots, L_t^d are absolutely continuous with integrable densities $\nu_1(x), \dots, \nu_d(x)$ that satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 \nu_k(x) dx < \infty, \quad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Consider the functions

$$\bar{\nu}_k(x) = x^2 \nu_k(x), \quad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

By differentiating ψ_k two times, we get

$$\psi_k''(u) = -\sigma_k^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iux} \bar{\nu}_k(x) dx.$$

For the sake of simplicity, in the sequel we will make the following assumption:

(ALS) The diffusion volatilities $\sigma_k, k = 1, \dots, d$, of the Lévy process L are supposed to be known.

A way how to extend our results to the case of the unknown (σ_k) is outlined in Section 4.6. Introduce the functions $\bar{\psi}_k(u) = \psi_k(u) + \sigma_k^2 u^2/2$ to get

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{F}[\bar{\nu}_k](u) = -\bar{\psi}_k''(u) = -\sigma_k^2 - \psi_k''(u),$$

1 where $\mathbf{F}[\bar{v}_k](u)$ stands for the Fourier transform of \bar{v}_k . Denote $Z = Y_\Delta$, $\phi_k(u) =$ 1
 2 $\partial_{u_k} \phi_Z(u)$, $\phi_{kl}(u) = \partial_{u_k u_l} \phi_Z(u)$ and $\phi_{jkl}(u) = \partial_{u_j u_k u_l} \phi_Z(u)$ for $j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ 2
 3 with 3

$$(3.2) \quad \phi_Z(u) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(iu^\top Z)] = \mathcal{L}_\Delta(-\psi_1(u_1) - \dots - \psi_d(u_d)).$$

4 Fix some $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and for any real number u introduce a vector 4
 5
 6

$$u^{(k)} = (0, \dots, 0, u, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

7 with u being placed at the k th coordinate of the vector $u^{(k)}$. Choose some $l \neq k$, 7
 8 such that the component L_t^l is not degenerated. Then we get from (3.2) 8
 9

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\phi_k(u^{(k)})}{\phi_l(u^{(k)})} = \frac{\psi'_k(u)}{\psi'_l(0)},$$

10 if $\mu_l \neq 0$ and 10
 11

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\phi_k(u^{(k)})}{\phi_{ll}(u^{(k)})} = \frac{\psi'_k(u)}{\psi''_l(0)}$$

12 in the case $\mu_l = 0$. The identities $\phi_l(\mathbf{0}) = -\psi'_l(0)\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(0)$ and $\phi_{ll}(\mathbf{0}) = [\psi'_l(0)]^2 \times$ 12
 13 $\mathcal{L}''_\Delta(0) - \psi''_l(0)\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(0)$ imply $\psi'_l(0) = -[\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(0)]^{-1}\phi_l(\mathbf{0}) = \Delta^{-1}\phi_l(\mathbf{0})$ and $\psi''_l(0) =$ 13
 14 $-[\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(0)]^{-1}\phi_{ll}(\mathbf{0}) = \Delta^{-1}\phi_{ll}(\mathbf{0})$ if $\psi'_l(0) = 0$, since $\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(0) = -\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}(\Delta)] = -\Delta$. 14
 15 Combining this with (3.3) and (3.4), we derive 15
 16

$$(3.5) \quad \psi''_k(u) = \Delta^{-1}\phi_l(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\phi_{kk}(u^{(k)})\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \phi_k(u^{(k)})\phi_{lk}(u^{(k)})}{\phi_l^2(u^{(k)})}, \quad \mu_l \neq 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \psi''_k(u) = \Delta^{-1}\phi_{ll}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\phi_{kk}(u^{(k)})\phi_{ll}(u^{(k)}) - \phi_k(u^{(k)})\phi_{llk}(u^{(k)})}{\phi_{ll}^2(u^{(k)})}, \quad \mu_l = 0.$$

17 Note that in the above derivations we have repeatedly used the assumption (ATI), 17
 18 that turns out to be crucial for the identifiability. The basic idea of the algorithm, 18
 19 we shall develop in the Section 3.2, is to estimate \bar{v}_k by an application of the 19
 20 regularized Fourier inversion formula to an estimate of $\bar{\psi}''_k(u)$. As indicated by 20
 21 formulas (3.5) and (3.6), one could, for example, estimate $\bar{\psi}''_k(u)$, if some estimates 21
 22 for the functions $\phi_k(u)$, $\phi_{lk}(u)$ and $\phi_{llk}(u)$ are available. 22
 23
 24

25 **REMARK 3.1.** One important issue we would like to comment on is the ro- 25
 26 bustness of the characterizations (3.5) and (3.6) with respect to the independence 26
 27 assumption for the components of the Lévy process L_t . First, note that if the com- 27
 28 ponents are dependent, then the key identity (3.1) is not any longer valid for ψ''_k 28
 29 defined as in (3.5) or (3.6). Let us determine how strong can it be violated. For 29
 30 concreteness, assume that $\mu_l > 0$ and that the dependence in the components of L_t 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43

10

D. BELOMESTNY

1 is due to a correlation between diffusion components. In particular, let $\Sigma(k, l) > 0$.
 2 Since in the general case

$$3 \quad \partial_{u_k} \psi(u^{(k)}) = \partial_{u_l} \psi(u^{(k)}) \frac{\phi_k(u^{(k)})}{\phi_l(u^{(k)})}$$

4 and $\partial_{u_k u_k} \psi(u^{(k)}) = -\sigma_k^2 - \mathbf{F}[\bar{v}_k](u)$, we get

$$5 \quad \mathbf{F}[\bar{v}_k](u) + \psi_k''(u) + \sigma_k^2 = \frac{\Sigma(k, l)}{2} \left[u \partial_{u_k} \left\{ \frac{\phi_k(u^{(k)})}{\phi_l(u^{(k)})} \right\} + \frac{\phi_k(u^{(k)})}{\phi_l(u^{(k)})} \right].$$

6 Using the fact that both functions $u \partial_{u_k} \{\phi_k(u^{(k)})/\phi_l(u^{(k)})\}$ and $\phi_k(u^{(k)})/\phi_l(u^{(k)})$
 7 are uniformly bounded for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we get that the model “misspecification bias”
 8 is bounded by $C \Sigma(k, l)$ with some constant $C > 0$. Thus, the weaker is the dependence
 9 between components L^k and L^l , the smaller is the resulting “misspecification
 10 bias.”

11 *3.2. Algorithm.* Set $Z_j = Y_{\Delta j} - Y_{\Delta(j-1)}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and denote by Z_j^k the
 12 k th coordinate of Z_j . Note that Z_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, are identically distributed. The
 13 estimation procedure consists basically of three steps:

14 Step 1. First, we are interested in estimating partial derivatives of the function
 15 $\phi_Z(u)$ up to the third order. To this end, define

$$16 \quad (3.7) \quad \widehat{\phi}_k(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j^k \exp(iu^\top Z_j),$$

$$17 \quad (3.8) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{lk}(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j^k Z_j^l \exp(iu^\top Z_j),$$

$$18 \quad (3.9) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{llk}(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j^k Z_j^l Z_j^l \exp(iu^\top Z_j).$$

19 Step 2. In a second step, we estimate the second derivative of the characteristic
 20 exponent $\psi_k(u)$. Set

$$21 \quad (3.10) \quad \widehat{\psi}_{k,2}(u) = \Delta^{-1} \widehat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{kk}(u^{(k)}) \widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)}) - \widehat{\phi}_k(u^{(k)}) \widehat{\phi}_{lk}(u^{(k)})}{[\widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})]^2},$$

22 if $|\widehat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0})| > \kappa/\sqrt{n}$ and

$$23 \quad (3.11) \quad \widehat{\psi}_{k,2}(u) = \Delta^{-1} \widehat{\phi}_{ll}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{kk}(u^{(k)}) \widehat{\phi}_{ll}(u^{(k)}) - \widehat{\phi}_k(u^{(k)}) \widehat{\phi}_{llk}(u^{(k)})}{[\widehat{\phi}_{ll}(u^{(k)})]^2}$$

24 otherwise, where κ is a positive number.

Step 3. Finally, we construct an estimate for $\bar{v}_k(x)$ by applying the Fourier inversion formula combined with a regularization to $\hat{\psi}_{k,2}(u)$:

$$(3.12) \quad \hat{v}_k(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux} [\hat{\psi}_{k,2}(u) + \sigma_k^2] \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du,$$

where $\mathcal{K}(u)$ is a regularizing kernel supported on $[-1, 1]$ and h_n is a sequence of bandwidths which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The choice of the sequence h_n will be discussed later on.

REMARK 3.2. The parameter κ determines the testing error for the hypothesis $H: \mu_l > 0$. Indeed, if $\mu_l = 0$, then $\phi_l(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ and by the central limit theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|\hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0})| > \kappa/\sqrt{n}) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}|\hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0}) - \phi_l(\mathbf{0})| > \kappa) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|\xi| > \kappa/\sqrt{\text{Var}[Z^l]}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

with $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

4. Asymptotic analysis. In this section, we are going to study the asymptotic properties of the estimates $\hat{v}_k(x)$, $k = 1, \dots, d$. In particular, we prove almost sure uniform as well as pointwise convergence rates for $\hat{v}_k(x)$. Moreover, we will show the optimality of the above rates over suitable classes of time-changed Lévy models.

4.1. Global vs. local smoothness of Lévy densities. Let L_t be a one-dimensional Lévy process with a Lévy density ν . Denote $\bar{\nu}(x) = x^2\nu(x)$. For any two nonnegative numbers β and γ such that $\gamma \in [0, 2]$ consider two following classes of Lévy densities ν :

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{S}_\beta = \left\{ \nu : \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |u|^\beta) \mathbf{F}[\bar{\nu}](u) du < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{B}_\gamma = \left\{ \nu : \int_{|y|>\epsilon} \nu(y) dy \asymp \frac{\Pi(\epsilon)}{\epsilon^\gamma}, \epsilon \rightarrow +0 \right\},$$

where Π is some positive function on \mathbb{R}_+ satisfying $0 < \Pi(+0) < \infty$. The parameter γ is usually called the Blumenthal–Geetor index of L_t . This index γ is related to the “degree of activity” of jumps of L_t . All Lévy measures put finite mass on the set $(-\infty, -\epsilon] \cup [\epsilon, \infty)$ for any arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$. If $\nu([-\epsilon, \epsilon]) < \infty$ the process has finite activity and $\gamma = 0$. If $\nu([-\epsilon, \epsilon]) = \infty$, that is, the process has infinite activity and in addition the Lévy measure $\nu((-\infty, -\epsilon] \cup [\epsilon, \infty))$ diverges near 0 at a rate $|\epsilon|^{-\gamma}$ for some $\gamma > 0$, then the Blumenthal–Geetor index of L_t is equal to γ . The higher γ gets, the more frequent the small jumps become.

Let us now investigate the connection between classes \mathfrak{S}_β and \mathfrak{B}_γ . First, consider an example. Let L_t be a tempered stable Lévy process with a Lévy density

$$\nu(x) = \frac{2^\gamma \cdot \gamma}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} x^{-(\gamma+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x), \quad x > 0,$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. It is clear that $\nu \in \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ but what is about \mathfrak{S}_β ? Since

$$\bar{\nu}(x) = \frac{2^\gamma \cdot \gamma}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} x^{1-\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x),$$

we derive

$$\mathbf{F}[\bar{\nu}](u) = \int_0^\infty e^{iux} \bar{\nu}(x) dx \asymp 2^\gamma \gamma (1-\gamma) e^{i\pi(1-\gamma/2)} u^{-2+\gamma}, \quad u \rightarrow +\infty,$$

by Erdélyi lemma [see Erdélyi (1956)]. Hence, ν cannot belong to \mathfrak{S}_β as long as $\beta > 1 - \gamma$. The message of this example is that given the activity index γ , the parameter β determining the smoothness of $\bar{\nu}$, cannot be taken arbitrary large. The above example can be straightforwardly generalized to a class of Lévy densities supported on \mathbb{R}_+ . It turns out that if the Lévy density ν is supported on $[0, \infty)$, is infinitely smooth in $(0, \infty)$ and $\nu \in \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, then $\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_\beta$ for all β satisfying $0 \leq \beta < 1 - \gamma$ and $\nu \notin \mathfrak{S}_\beta$ for $\beta > 1 - \gamma$. As a matter of fact, in the case $\gamma = 0$ (finite activity case) the situation is different and β can be arbitrary large.

The above discussion indicates that in the case $\nu \in \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ with some $\gamma > 0$ it is reasonable to look at the local smoothness of $\bar{\nu}_k$ instead of the global one. To this end, fix a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and a positive integer number $s \geq 1$. For any $\delta > 0$ and $D > 0$ introduce a class $\mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D)$ of Lévy densities ν defined as

$$(4.3) \quad \mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D) = \left\{ \nu : \bar{\nu}(x) \in C^s([x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]), \right. \\ \left. \sup_{x \in]x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta[} |\bar{\nu}^{(l)}(x)| \leq D \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, s \right\}.$$

4.2. Assumptions. In order to prove the convergence of $\widehat{\nu}_k(x)$, we need the assumptions listed below:

(AL1) The Lévy densities ν_1, \dots, ν_d are in the class \mathfrak{B}_γ for some $\gamma > 0$.

(AL2) For some $p > 2$, the Lévy densities $\nu_k, k = 1, \dots, d$, have finite absolute moments of the order p :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^p \nu_k(x) dx < \infty, \quad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

(AT1) The time change \mathcal{T} is independent of the Lévy process L and the sequence $T_k = \mathcal{T}(\Delta k) - \mathcal{T}(\Delta(k-1)), k \in \mathbb{N}$, is strictly stationary, α -mixing with the mixing coefficients $(\alpha_{\mathcal{T}}(j))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{T}}(j) \leq \bar{\alpha}_0 \exp(-\bar{\alpha}_1 j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

1 for some positive constants $\bar{\alpha}_0$ and $\bar{\alpha}_1$. Moreover, assume that

$$2 \quad \mathbb{E}[T^{-2/\gamma}(\Delta)] < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E}[T^{2p}(\Delta)] < \infty$$

3 with γ and p being from the assumptions (AL1) and (AL2), respectively.

4 (AT2) The Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}_t(z)$ of $\mathcal{T}(t)$ fulfills

$$5 \quad \mathcal{L}'_t(z) = o(1), \quad \mathcal{L}''_t(z)/\mathcal{L}'_t(z) = O(1), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \operatorname{Re} z > 0.$$

6 (AK) The regularizing kernel \mathcal{K} is uniformly bounded, is supported on $[-1, 1]$
7 and satisfies

$$8 \quad \mathcal{K}(u) = 1, \quad u \in [-a_K, a_K],$$

9 with some $0 < a_K < 1$.

10 (AH) The sequence of bandwidths h_n is assumed to satisfy

$$11 \quad h_n^{-1} = O(n^{1-\delta}), \quad M_n \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{h_n} \log \frac{1}{h_n}} = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

12 for some positive number δ fulfilling $2/p < \delta \leq 1$, where

$$13 \quad M_n = \max_{l \neq k} \sup_{\{|u| \leq 1/h_n\}} |\phi_l^{-1}(u^{(k)})|.$$

14 **REMARK 4.1.** By requiring $\nu_k \in \mathfrak{B}_\gamma, k = 1, \dots, d$, with some $\gamma > 0$, we ex-
15 clude from our analysis pure compound Poisson processes and some infinite ac-
16 tivity Lévy processes with $\gamma = 0$. This is mainly done for the sake of brevity: we
17 would like to avoid additional technical calculations related to the fact that the
18 distribution of Y_t is not in general absolutely continuous in this case.

19 **REMARK 4.2.** Assumption (AT1) is satisfied if, for example, the process $\mathcal{T}(t)$
20 is of the form (1.1), where the rate process $\rho(u)$ is strictly stationary, geometrically
21 α -mixing and fulfills

$$22 \quad (4.4) \quad \mathbb{E}[\rho^{2p}(u)] < \infty, \quad u \in [0, \Delta], \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\Delta \rho(u) du\right)^{-2/\gamma} < \infty.$$

23 In the case of the Cox–Ingersoll–Ross process ρ (see Section 5.2), the assumptions
24 (4.4) are satisfied for any $p > 0$ and any $\gamma > 0$.

25 **REMARK 4.3.** Let us comment on the assumption (AH). Note that in order to
26 determine M_n , we do not need the characteristic function $\phi(u)$ itself, but only a low
27 bound for its tails. Such low bound can be constructed if, for example, a low bound
28 for the tail of $\mathcal{L}'_t(z)$ and an upper bound for the Blumenthal–Geetor index γ are
29 available [see Belomestny (2010b) for further discussion]. In practice, of course,
30 one should prefer adaptive methods for choosing h_n . One such method, based on
31 the so called “quasi-optimality” approach, is proposed and used in Section 5.1.
32 The theoretical analysis of this method is left for the future research.

1 4.3. *Uniform rates of convergence.* Fix some k from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. De- 1
2 fine a weighting function $w(x) = \log^{-1/2}(e + |x|)$ and denote 2

$$3 \quad \|\bar{v}_k - \widehat{v}_k\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [w(|x|) |\bar{v}_k(x) - \widehat{v}_k(x)|]. 3$$

4 Let ξ_n be a sequence of positive r.v. and q_n be a sequence of positive real 4
5 numbers. We shall write $\xi_n = O_{\text{a.s.}}(q_n)$ if there is a constant $D > 0$ such that 5
6 $\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n^{-1} \xi_n \leq D) = 1$. In the case $\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n^{-1} \xi_n = 0) = 1$, we 6
7 shall write $\xi_n = o_{\text{a.s.}}(q_n)$. 7
8 8

9 THEOREM 4.4. *Suppose that the assumptions (AL1), (AL2), (AT1), (AT2), 9
10 (AK) and (AH) are fulfilled. Let $\widehat{v}_k(x)$ be the estimate for $\bar{v}_k(x)$ defined in Sec- 10
11 tion 3.2. If $v_k \in \mathfrak{S}_\beta$ for some $\beta > 0$, then 11
12 12*

$$13 \quad \|\bar{v}_k - \widehat{v}_k\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du} + h_n^\beta \right) 13$$

14 for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$, where 14

$$15 \quad \mathfrak{R}_k(u) = \frac{(1 + |\psi'_k(u)|)^2}{|\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(-\psi_k(u))|}. 15$$

16 COROLLARY 4.5. *Suppose that $\sigma_k = 0$, $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ in the assumption (AL1) 16
17 and 17*

$$18 \quad |\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(z)| \gtrsim \exp(-a|z|^\eta), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0, 18$$

19 for some $a > 0$ and $\eta > 0$. If $\mu_k > 0$, then 19

$$20 \quad (4.5) \quad \|\bar{v}_k - \widehat{v}_k\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \exp(ac \cdot h_n^{-\eta})} + h_n^\beta \right) 20$$

21 with some constant $c > 0$. In the case $\mu_k = 0$ we have 21

$$22 \quad (4.6) \quad \|\bar{v}_k - \widehat{v}_k\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \exp(ac \cdot h_n^{-\gamma\eta})} + h_n^\beta \right). 22$$

23 Choosing h_n in such a way that the r.h.s. of (4.5) and (4.6) are minimized, we 23
24 obtain the rates shown in the Table 1. If $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ in the assumption (AL1) and 24

$$25 \quad |\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(z)| \gtrsim |z|^{-\alpha}, \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0, 25$$

26 for some $\alpha > 0$, then 26

$$27 \quad \|\bar{v}_k - \widehat{v}_k\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} h_n^{-1/2-\alpha}} + h_n^\beta \right) 27$$

TABLE 1
Uniform convergence rates for $\widehat{\nu}_k$ in the case $\sigma_k = 0$

$ \mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(z) \gtrsim z ^{-\alpha}$		$ \mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(z) \gtrsim \exp(-a z ^{\eta})$	
$\mu_k > 0$	$\mu_k = 0$	$\mu_k > 0$	$\mu_k = 0$
$n^{-\beta/(2\alpha+2\beta+1)}$ $\times \log^{(3+\varepsilon)\beta/(2\alpha+2\beta+1)}(n)$	$n^{-\beta/(2\alpha\gamma+2\beta+1)}$ $\times \log^{(3+\varepsilon)\beta/(2\alpha\gamma+2\beta+1)}(n)$	$\log^{-\beta/\eta} n$	$\log^{-\beta/\gamma\eta} n$

provided $\mu_k > 0$. In the case $\mu_k = 0$, one has

$$\|\bar{\nu}_k - \widehat{\nu}_k\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n}} h_n^{-1/2-\alpha\gamma} + h_n^{\beta} \right).$$

The choices $h_n = n^{-1/(2(\alpha+\beta)+1)} \log^{(3+\varepsilon)/(2(\alpha+\beta)+1)}(n)$ and

$$h_n = n^{-1/(2(\alpha\gamma+\beta)+1)} \log^{(3+\varepsilon)/(2(\alpha\gamma+\beta)+1)}(n)$$

for the cases $\mu_k > 0$ and $\mu_k = 0$, respectively, lead to the bounds shown in Table 1. In the case $\sigma_k > 0$, the rates of convergence are given in Table 2.

REMARK 4.6. As one can see, the assumption (AH) is always fulfilled for the optimal choices of h_n given in Corollary 4.5, provided $\alpha\gamma + \beta > 0$ and $p > 2 + 1/(\alpha\gamma + \beta)$.

4.4. *Pointwise rates of convergence.* Since the transformed Lévy density $\bar{\nu}_k$ is usually not smooth at 0 (see Section 4.1), pointwise rates of convergence might be more informative than the uniform ones if $\nu_k \in \mathfrak{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma > 0$. It is remarkable that the same estimate $\widehat{\nu}_k$ as before will achieve the optimal pointwise convergence rates in the class $\mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D)$, provided the kernel \mathcal{K} satisfies (AK) and is sufficiently smooth.

THEOREM 4.7. Suppose that the assumptions (AL1), (AL2), (AT1), (AT2), (AK) and (AH) are fulfilled. If $\nu_k \in \mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D)$ with $\mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D)$ being defined

TABLE 2
Uniform convergence rates for $\widehat{\nu}_k$ in the case $\sigma_k > 0$

$ \mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(z) \gtrsim z ^{-\alpha}$	$ \mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(z) \gtrsim \exp(-a z ^{\eta})$
$n^{-\beta/(4\alpha+2\beta+1)} \log^{(3+\varepsilon)\beta/(4\alpha+2\beta+1)}(n)$	$\log^{-\beta/2\eta} n$

1 in (4.3), for some $s \geq 1$, $\delta > 0$, $D > 0$, and $\mathcal{K} \in C^m(\mathbb{R})$ for some $m \geq s$, then 1

$$2 \quad (4.7) \quad |\widehat{v}_k(x_0) - \bar{v}_k(x_0)| = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du + h_n^s} \right) 2$$

3 with $\mathfrak{R}_k(u)$ as in Theorem 4.4. As a result, the pointwise rates of convergence 3
4 for different asymptotic behaviors of the Laplace transform \mathcal{L}_t coincide with ones 4
5 given in Tables 1 and 2, if we replace β with s . 5

6 **REMARK 4.8.** If the kernel \mathcal{K} is infinitely smooth, then it will automatically 6
7 “adapt” to the pointwise smoothness of \bar{v}_k , that is, (4.7) will hold for arbitrary large 7
8 $s \geq 1$, provided $v_k \in \mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D)$ with some $\delta > 0$ and $D > 0$. An example of 8
9 infinitely smooth kernels satisfying (AK) is given by the so called flat-top kernels 9
10 (see Section 5.1 for the definition). 10

11 **4.5. Lower bounds.** In this section, we derive a lower bound on the minimax 11
12 risk of an estimate $\widehat{v}(x)$ over a class of one-dimensional time-changed Lévy pro- 12
13 cesses $Y_t = L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$ with the known distribution of \mathcal{T} , such that the Lévy measure 13
14 ν of the Lévy process L_t belongs to the class $\mathfrak{S}_\beta \cap \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ with some $\beta > 0$ and 14
15 $\gamma \in (0, 1]$. The following theorem holds 15

16 **THEOREM 4.9.** Let L_t be a Lévy process with zero diffusion part, a drift μ 16
17 and a Lévy density ν . Consider a time-changed Lévy process $Y_t = L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$, where 17
18 the Laplace transform of the time change $\mathcal{T}(t)$ fulfills 18
19 19

$$20 \quad (4.8) \quad \mathcal{L}_\Delta^{(k+1)}(z)/\mathcal{L}_\Delta^{(k)}(z) = O(1), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{Re } z \geq 0, 20$$

21 for $k = 0, 1, 2$, and uniformly in $\Delta \in [0, 1]$. Then 21

$$22 \quad (4.9) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\widehat{v}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_\beta \cap \mathfrak{B}_\gamma} \mathbb{P}_{(\nu, \mathcal{T})}(\|\bar{v} - \widehat{v}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} > \varepsilon h_n^\beta \log^{-1}(1/h_n)) > 0 22$$

23 for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any sequence h_n satisfying 23

$$24 \quad n \Delta^{-1} [\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(c \cdot h_n^{-\gamma})]^2 h_n^{2\beta+1} = O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, 24$$

25 in the case $\mu = 0$ and 25

$$26 \quad n \Delta^{-1} [\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(c \cdot h_n^{-1})]^2 h_n^{2\beta+1} = O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, 26$$

27 in the case $\mu > 0$, with some positive constant $c > 0$. Note that the infimum in (4.9) 27
28 is taken over all estimators of ν based on n observations of the r.v. Y_Δ and $\mathbb{P}_{(\nu, \mathcal{T})}$ 28
29 stands for the distribution of n copies of Y_Δ . 29

1 COROLLARY 4.10. *Suppose that the underlying Lévy process is driftless, that* 1
 2 *is, $\mu = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_t(z) = \exp(-azt)$ for some $a > 0$, corresponding to a deterministic* 2
 3 *time change process $\mathcal{T}(t) = at$. Then by taking* 3

$$4 \quad h_n = \left(\frac{\log n - ((2\beta + 1)/\gamma) \log \log n}{2ac\Delta} \right)^{-1/\gamma}, \quad 4$$

5 we arrive at 5
 6 6

$$7 \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\widehat{v}} \sup_{v \in \mathfrak{S}_\beta \cap \mathfrak{B}_\gamma} \mathbb{P}_{(v, \mathcal{T})}(\|\bar{v} - \widehat{v}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} > \varepsilon \cdot \Delta^{\beta/\gamma} \log^{-\beta/\gamma} n) > 0. \quad 7$$

8 COROLLARY 4.11. *Again let $\mu = 0$. Take $\mathcal{L}_t(z) = 1/(1+z)^{\alpha_0 t}$, $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ for* 8
 9 *some $\alpha_0 > 0$, resulting in a Gamma process $\mathcal{T}(t)$ (see Section 5.1 for the defini-* 9
 10 *tion). Under the choice* 10

$$11 \quad h_n = (n\Delta)^{-1/(2\alpha\gamma+2\beta+1)} \quad 11$$

12 we get 12
 13 13

$$14 \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\widehat{v}} \sup_{v \in \mathfrak{S}_\beta \cap \mathfrak{B}_\gamma} \mathbb{P}_{(v, \mathcal{T})}(\|\bar{v} - \widehat{v}\|_{L_\infty, w(\mathbb{R})} > \varepsilon \cdot (n\Delta)^{-\beta/(2\alpha\gamma+2\beta+1)} \log^{-1} n) > 0, \quad 14$$

15 where $\alpha = \alpha_0 \Delta + 1$. 15
 16 16

17 REMARK 4.12. The Theorem 4.9 continues to hold for $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ and therefore 17
 18 can be used to derive minimax lower bounds for the risk of \widehat{v} in high-frequency 18
 19 setup. As can be seen from Corollaries 4.10 and 4.11, the rates will strongly depend 19
 20 on the specification of the time change process \mathcal{T} . 20
 21 21

22 The pointwise rates of convergence obtained in (4.7) turn out to be optimal over 22
 23 the class $\mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D) \cap \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ with $s \geq 1$, $\delta > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $D > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ as the 23
 24 next theorem shows. 24
 25 25

26 THEOREM 4.13. *Let L_t be a Lévy process with zero diffusion part, a drift μ* 26
 27 *and a Lévy density v . Consider a time-changed Lévy process $Y_t = L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$, where* 27
 28 *the Laplace transform of the time change $\mathcal{T}(t)$ fulfills (4.8). Then* 28
 29 29

$$30 \quad (4.10) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\widehat{v}} \sup_{v \in \mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D) \cap \mathfrak{B}_\gamma} \mathbb{P}_{(v, \mathcal{T})}(|\bar{v}(x_0) - \widehat{v}(x_0)| > \varepsilon h_n^s \log^{-1}(1/h_n)) > 0 \quad 30$$

31 for $s \geq 1$, $\delta > 0$, $D > 0$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any sequence h_n satisfying 31
 32 32

$$33 \quad n\Delta^{-1}[\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(c \cdot h_n^{-\gamma})]^2 h_n^{2s+1} = O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad 33$$

34 in the case $\mu = 0$ and 34
 35 35

$$36 \quad n\Delta^{-1}[\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(c \cdot h_n^{-1})]^2 h_n^{2s+1} = O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad 36$$

37 in the case $\mu > 0$, with some positive constant $c > 0$. 37
 38 38
 39 39
 40 40
 41 41
 42 42
 43 43

1 4.6. *Extensions.* 1

2
3 *One-dimensional time-changed Lévy models.* Let us consider a class of one- 3
4 dimensional time-changed Lévy models (2.7) with the known time change pro- 4
5 cess, that is, the known function \mathcal{L}_t for all $t > 0$. This class of models trivially 5
6 includes Lévy processes without time change [by setting $\mathcal{L}_t(z) = \exp(-tz)$] stud- 6
7 ied in Neumann and Reiß (2009) and Comte and Genon-Catalot (2010). We have 7
8 in this case 8

$$9 \quad (4.11) \quad \psi_1''(u) = -\frac{\phi''(u)\mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(-\psi_1(u)) - \phi'(u)\mathcal{L}''_{\Delta}(-\psi_1(u))/\mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(-\psi_1(u))}{[\mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(-\psi_1(u))]^2} \quad 9$$

10 with 10
11

$$12 \quad \psi_1(u) = -\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}^{-}(\phi(u)), \quad 12$$

13
14 where \mathcal{L}_{Δ}^{-} is an inverse function for \mathcal{L}_{Δ} . Thus, $\psi_1''(u)$ is again a ratio-type estimate 15
16 involving the derivatives of the c.f. ϕ up to second order, that agrees with the one 16
17 proposed in Comte and Genon-Catalot (2010) for the case of pure Lévy processes. 17
18 Although we do not study the case of one-dimensional models in this work, our 18
19 analysis can be easily adapted to this situation as well. In particular, the derivation 19
20 of the pointwise convergence rates can be directly carried over to this situation. 20
21

22 *The case of the unknown (σ_k) .* One way to proceed in the case of the unknown 22
23 (σ_k) and $v_k \in \mathfrak{B}_{\gamma}$ with $\gamma < 2$ is to define $\tilde{v}_k(x) = x^4 v_k(x)$. Assuming $\int \tilde{v}_k(x) dx < 23$
24 ∞ , we get 24

$$25 \quad \psi_k^{(4)}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iux} \tilde{v}_k(x) dx. \quad 25$$

26 Hence, in the above situation one can apply the regularized Fourier inversion for- 26
27 mula to an estimate of $\psi_k^{(4)}(u)$ instead of $\psi_k''(u)$. 27
28

29 *Estimation of \mathcal{L}_{Δ} .* Let us first estimate ψ_k . Set 29
30

$$31 \quad \widehat{\psi}_k(u) = \Delta^{-1} \widehat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0}) \int_0^u \frac{\widehat{\phi}_k(v^{(k)})}{\widehat{\phi}_l(v^{(k)})} dv. \quad 31$$

32 Under Assumptions (AL2), (AT1), (AT2), (AK) and (AH) we derive 32
33

$$34 \quad (4.12) \quad \|\psi_k - \widehat{\psi}_k\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n}} \right) \quad 34$$

35 with a weighting function 35
36

$$37 \quad w(u) = \left[\int_0^u \frac{1 + |\psi_k'(v)|}{|\mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(-\psi_k(v))|} dv \right]^{-1}. \quad 37$$

1 Now let us define an estimate for \mathcal{L}_Δ as a solution of the following optimization
2 problem

$$(4.13) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\Delta = \arg \inf_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{M}_\Delta} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \{w(u) |\mathcal{L}(-\widehat{\psi}_k(u)) - \widehat{\phi}(u^{(k)})|\},$$

3 where \mathfrak{M}_Δ is the set of completely monotone functions \mathcal{L} satisfying $\mathcal{L}(0) = 1$ and
4 $\mathcal{L}'(0) = -\Delta$. Simple calculations and the bound (4.12) yield

$$(4.14) \quad \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \{w(u) |\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\Delta(-\psi_k(u)) - \mathcal{L}_\Delta(-\psi_k(u))|\} = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n}} \right).$$

5 Since any function \mathcal{L} from \mathfrak{M}_Δ has a representation

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ux} dF(x)$$

6 with some distribution function F satisfying $\int x dF(x) = \Delta$, we can replace the
7 optimization over \mathfrak{M} in (4.13) by the optimization over the corresponding set of
8 distribution functions. The advantage of the latter approach is that herewith we can
9 directly get an estimate for the distribution function of the r.v. $\mathcal{T}(\Delta)$. A practical
10 implementation of the estimate (4.13) is still to be worked out, as the optimization
11 over the set \mathfrak{M}_Δ is not feasible and should be replaced by the optimization over
12 suitable approximation classes (sieves). Moreover, the “optimal” weights in (4.13)
13 depend on the unknown \mathcal{L} . However, it turns out that it is possible to use any
14 weighting function which is dominated by $w(u)$, that is, one needs only some
15 lower bounds for \mathcal{L}'_Δ .

16 **REMARK 4.14.** It is interesting to compare (4.12) and (4.14) with Theo-
17 rem 3.2 in Horowitz and Mammen (2007). At first sight it may seem strange that,
18 while the rates of convergence for our “link” function \mathcal{L}_Δ and the “components”
19 ψ_k depend on the tail behavior of \mathcal{L}'_Δ , the rates in Horowitz and Mammen (2007)
20 rely only on the smoothness of the link function and the components. The main
21 reason for this is that the derivative of the link function in the above paper is as-
22 sumed to be uniformly bounded from below [assumption (A8)], a restriction that
23 can be hardly justifiable in our setting. The convergence analysis in the unbounded
24 case is, in our opinion, an important contribution of this paper to the problem of
25 estimating composite functions that can be carried over to other setups and set-
26 tings.

27 **4.7. Discussion.** As can be seen, the estimate \widehat{v}_k can exhibit various asymp-
28 totic behavior depending on the underlying Lévy process L_t and the time-change
29 $\mathcal{T}(t)$. In particular, if the Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}_t(z)$ of \mathcal{T} dies off at exponential rate
30 as $\text{Re } z \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\mu_k = 0$, then the rates of convergence of \widehat{v}_k are logarithmic
31 and depend on the Blumenthal–Geetor index of the Lévy process L_t . The larger
32 is the Blumenthal–Geetor index, the slower are the rates and the more difficult
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

1 the estimation problem becomes. For the polynomially decaying $\mathcal{L}_t(z)$ one gets 1
 2 polynomial convergence rates that also depend on the Blumenthal–Geotro index 2
 3 of L_t . Let us also note that the uniform rates of convergence are usually rather 3
 4 slow, since $\beta < 1 - \gamma$ in most situations. The pointwise convergence rates for 4
 5 points $x_0 \neq 0$ can, on the contrary, be very fast. The rates obtained turn out to be 5
 6 optimal up to a logarithmic factor in the minimax sense over the classes $\mathfrak{S}_\beta \cap \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ 6
 7 and $\mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D) \cap \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$. 7
 8

9 **5. Simulation study.** In our simulation study, we consider two models based 9
 10 on time-changed normal inverse Gaussian (NIG) Lévy processes. The NIG Lévy 10
 11 processes is a relatively new class of processes introduced in [Barndorff-Nielsen](#) 11
 12 (1998) as a model for log returns of stock prices. The processes of this type 12
 13 are characterized by the property that their increments have NIG distribution. 13
 14 [Barndorff-Nielsen](#) (1998) considered classes of normal variance–mean mixtures 14
 15 and defined the NIG distribution as the case when the mixing distribution is in- 15
 16 verse Gaussian. Shortly after its introduction, it was shown that the NIG distribu- 16
 17 tion fits very well the log returns on German stock market data, making the NIG 17
 18 Lévy processes of great interest for practioneers. A NIG distribution has in general 18
 19 four parameters: $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varkappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\varkappa| < \alpha$. Each parameter 19
 20 in NIG($\alpha, \varkappa, \delta, \mu$) distribution can be interpreted as having a different effect on 20
 21 the shape of the distribution: α is responsible for the tail heaviness of steepness, 21
 22 \varkappa has to do with symmetry, δ scales the distribution and μ determines its mean 22
 23 value. The NIG distribution is infinitely divisible with c.f. 23
 24

$$25 \quad \phi(u) = \exp\{\delta(\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \varkappa^2} - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - (\varkappa + iu)^2} + i\mu u)\}. \quad 25$$

26 Therefore, one can define the NIG Lévy process $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ which starts at zero and 26
 27 has independent and stationary increments such that each increment $L_{t+\Delta} - L_t$ 27
 28 has NIG($\alpha, \varkappa, \Delta\delta, \Delta\mu$) distribution. The NIG process has no diffusion component 28
 29 making it a pure jump process with the Lévy density 29
 30

$$31 \quad (5.1) \quad \nu(x) = \frac{2\alpha\delta \exp(\varkappa x) K_1(\alpha|x|)}{\pi |x|}, \quad 31$$

32 where $K_\lambda(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind. Taking into account 32
 33 the asymptotic relations 33
 34

$$35 \quad K_1(z) \asymp 2/z, \quad z \rightarrow +0, \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(z) \asymp \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z}, \quad z \rightarrow +\infty, \quad 35$$

36 we conclude that $\nu \in \mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\nu \in \mathfrak{H}_s(x_0, \delta, D)$ for arbitrary large $s > 0$ and some 36
 37 $\delta > 0$, $D > 0$, if $x_0 \neq 0$. Moreover, the assumption (AL2) is fulfilled for any $p > 0$. 37
 38 Furthermore, the identity 38
 39

$$40 \quad \frac{d^2}{du^2} \log \phi(u) = -\alpha^2 / (\alpha^2 - (\varkappa + iu)^2)^{3/2} \quad 40$$

1 implies $\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_{2-\delta}$ for arbitrary small $\delta > 0$. In the next sections are going to study
 2 two time-changed NIG processes: one uses the Gamma process as a time change
 3 and another employs the integrated CIR processes to model \mathcal{T} .

4
 5 *5.1. Time change via a Gamma process.* Gamma process is a Lévy process
 6 such that its increments have Gamma distribution, so that \mathcal{T} is a pure-jump in-
 7 creasing Lévy process with the Lévy density

$$8 \quad \nu_{\mathcal{T}}(x) = \theta x^{-1} \exp(-\lambda x), \quad x \geq 0, \quad 8$$

9
 10 where the parameter θ controls the rate of jump arrivals and the scaling parameter
 11 λ inversely controls the jump size. The Laplace transform of \mathcal{T} is of the form

$$12 \quad \mathcal{L}_t(z) = (1 + z/\lambda)^{-\theta t}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0. \quad 12$$

13
 14 It follows from the properties of the Gamma and the corresponding inverse Gamma
 15 distributions that the assumptions (AT1) and (AT2) are fulfilled for the Gamma
 16 process \mathcal{T} , provided $\theta \Delta > 2/\gamma$. Consider now the time-changed Lévy process
 17 $Y_t = L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$ where $L_t = (L_t^1, L_t^2, L_t^3)$ is a three-dimensional Lévy process with in-
 18 dependent NIG components and \mathcal{T} is a Gamma process. Note that the process Y_t
 19 is a multidimensional Lévy process since \mathcal{T} was itself the Lévy process. Let us be
 20 more specific and take the Δ -increments of the Lévy processes L_t^1 , L_t^2 and L_t^3
 21 to have NIG(1, -0.05, 1, -0.5), NIG(3, -0.05, 1, -1) and NIG(1, -0.03, 1, 2)
 22 distributions, respectively. Take also $\theta = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ for the parameters of the
 23 Gamma process \mathcal{T} . Next, fix an equidistant grid on $[0, 10]$ of the length $n = 1,000$
 24 and simulate a discretized trajectory of the process Y_t . Let us stress that the de-
 25 pendence structure between the components of Y_t is rather flexible (although they
 26 are uncorrelated) and can be efficiently controlled by the parameters of the cor-
 27 responding Gamma process \mathcal{T} . Next, we construct an estimate $\widehat{\nu}_1$ as described in
 28 Section 3.2. We first estimate the derivatives ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , ϕ_{11} and ϕ_{12} by means of (3.7)
 29 and (3.8). Then we estimate $\psi_1''(u)$ using the formula (3.10) with $k = 1$ and $l = 2$.
 30 Finally, we get $\widehat{\nu}_1$ from (3.12) where the kernel \mathcal{K} is chosen to be the so called
 31 flat-top kernel of the form

$$32 \quad \mathcal{K}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 0.05, \\ \exp\left(-\frac{e^{-1/(|x|-0.05)}}{1-|x|}\right), & 0.05 < |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad 32$$

33
 34
 35
 36
 37 The flat-top kernels obviously satisfy the assumption (AK). Thus, all assumptions
 38 of Theorem 4.4 are fulfilled and Corollary 4.5 leads to the following convergence
 39 rates for the estimate $\widehat{\nu}_1$ of the function $\bar{\nu}_1(x) = x^2 \nu(x)$:

$$40 \quad \|\bar{\nu}_1 - \widehat{\nu}_1\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}}(n^{-(1-\delta')/(\theta\Delta+5/2)} \log^{(3+\epsilon')/(\theta\Delta+5/2)}(n)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad 40$$

41
 42 with arbitrary small positive numbers δ' and ϵ' , provided the sequence h_n is chosen
 43 as in Corollary 4.5. Let us turn to the finite sample performance of the estimate $\widehat{\nu}_1$.




FIG. 1. *Left-hand side: objective function $f(l)$ for “quasi-optimality” approach versus the corresponding bandwidths h_l , $l = 1, \dots, 40$. Right-hand side: adaptive estimate \tilde{v}_1 (dashed line) together with the true function \bar{v}_1 (solid line).*

It turns out that the choice of the sequence h_n is crucial for a good performance of v_1 . For this choice, we adopt the so called “quasi-optimality” approach proposed in Bauer and Reiß (2008). This approach is aimed to perform a model selection in inverse problems without taking into account the noise level. Although one can prove the optimality of this criterion on average only, it leads in many situations to quite reasonable results. In order to implement the “quasi-optimality” algorithm in our situation, we first fix a sequence of bandwidths h_1, \dots, h_L and construct the estimates $v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_1^{(L)}$ using the formula (3.12) with bandwidths h_1, \dots, h_L , respectively. Then one finds $l^* = \arg \min_l f(l)$ with

$$f(l) = \|\hat{v}_1^{(l+1)} - \hat{v}_1^{(l)}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad l = 1, \dots, L.$$

Denote by $\tilde{v}_1 = \hat{v}_1^{l^*}$ a new adaptive estimate for \bar{v}_1 . In our implementation of the “quasi-optimality” approach, we take $h_l = 0.5 + 0.1 \times l$, $l = 1, \dots, 40$. In Figure 1, the sequence $f(l)$, $l = 1, \dots, 40$, is plotted. On the right-hand side of Figure 1, we show the resulting estimate \tilde{v}_1 together with the true function \bar{v}_1 . Based on the estimate \tilde{v}_1 , one can estimate some functionals of \bar{v}_1 . For example, we have $\int \tilde{v}_1(x) dx = 1.049053$ [$\int \bar{v}_1(x) dx = 1.015189$].

5.2. *Time change via an integrated CIR process.* Another possibility to construct a time-changed Lévy process from the NIG Lévy process L_t is to use a time change of the form (2.2) with some rate process $\rho(t)$. A possible candidate for the rate of the time change is given by the Cox–Ingersoll–Ross process (CIR process). The CIR process is defined as a solution of the following SDE:

$$dZ_t = \kappa(\eta - Z_t) dt + \zeta \sqrt{Z_t} dW_t, \quad Z_0 = 1,$$

1 where W_t is a Wiener process. This process is mean reverting with $\kappa > 0$ being the
 2 speed of mean reversion, $\eta > 0$ being the long-run mean rate and $\zeta > 0$ controlling
 3 the volatility of Z_t . Additionally, if $2\kappa\eta > \zeta^2$ and Z_0 has Gamma distribution, then
 4 Z_t is stationary and exponentially α -mixing [see, e.g., Masuda (2007)]. The time
 5 change \mathcal{T} is then defined as

$$6 \quad \mathcal{T}(t) = \int_0^t Z_t dt. \quad 7$$

8 Simple calculations show that the Laplace transform of $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is given by

$$9 \quad \mathcal{L}_t(z) = \frac{\exp(\kappa^2 \eta t / \zeta^2) \exp(-2z / (\kappa + \gamma(z) \coth(\gamma(z)t/2))}{10 \quad (\cosh(\gamma(z)t/2) + \kappa \sinh(\gamma(z)t/2) / \gamma(z))^{2\kappa\eta/\zeta^2}} \quad 11$$

12 with $\gamma(z) = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + 2\zeta^2 z}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_t(z) \asymp \exp(-\frac{\sqrt{2z}}{\zeta}[1 + t\kappa\eta])$ as
 13 $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ with $\text{Re } z \geq 0$. Moreover, it can be shown that $E|\mathcal{T}(t)|^p < \infty$ for any
 14 $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Let L_t be again a three-dimensional NIG Lévy process with independent
 15 components distributed as in Section 5.1. Construct the time-changed process $Y_t =$
 16 $L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$. Note that the process Y_t is not any longer a Lévy process and has in general
 17 dependent increments. Let us estimate \bar{v}_1 , the transformed Lévy density of the
 18 first component of L_t . First, note that according to Theorem 4.4, the estimate \hat{v}_1
 19 constructed as described in Section 3.2, has the following logarithmic convergence
 20 rates

$$21 \quad \|\bar{v}_1 - \hat{v}_1\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}}(\log^{-2(2-\delta)}(n)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad 22$$

23 for arbitrary small $\delta > 0$, provided the bandwidth sequence is chosen in the op-
 24 timal way. Finite sample performance of \hat{v}_1 with the choice of h_n based on
 25 the “quasi-optimality” approach is illustrated in Figure 2 where the sequence
 26 of estimates $\hat{v}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{v}_1^{(L)}$ was constructed from the time series $Y_\Delta, \dots, Y_{n\Delta}$
 27 with $n = 5,000$ and $\Delta = 0.1$. The parameters of the used CIR process are
 28 $\kappa = 1$, $\eta = 1$ and $\zeta = 0.1$. Again we can compute some functionals of \bar{v}_1 . We
 29 have, for example, following estimates for the integral and for the mean of \bar{v}_1 :
 30 $\int \bar{v}_1(x) dx = 1.081376$ [$\int \bar{v}_1(x) dx = 1.015189$] and $\int x \bar{v}_1(x) dx = -0.4772505$
 31 [$\int x \bar{v}_1(x) dx = -0.3057733$].

32 Let us now test the performance of estimation algorithm in the case of a time-
 33 changed NIG process (parameters are the same as before), where the time change
 34 is again given by the integrated CIR process with the parameters $\eta = 1$, $\zeta = 0.1$
 35 and $\kappa \in \{0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1\}$. Figure 3(left) shows the boxplots of the resulting error
 36 $\|\bar{v}_1 - \tilde{v}_1\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)}$ computed using 100 trajectories each of the length $n = 5,000$,
 37 where the time span between observation is $\Delta = 0.1$. Note that if our time units
 38 are days, then we get about 2 years of observations with about one mean reversion
 39 per month in the case $\kappa = 0.05$. As one can see, the performance of the algorithm
 40 remains reasonable for the whole range of κ . In Figure 3(right), we present the
 41 boxplots of the error $\|\bar{v}_1 - \tilde{v}_1\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)}$ in the case of $\eta = 1$, $\zeta = 0.1$, $\kappa = 1$ and $n \in$
 42
 43




FIG. 2. *Left-hand side: objective function $f(l)$ for the “quasi-optimality” approach versus the corresponding bandwidths h_l . Right-hand side: adaptive estimate \tilde{v}_1 (dashed line) together with the true function v_1 (solid line).*

{500, 1,000, 3,000, 5,000}. As one can expect, the performance of the algorithm becomes worse as n decreases. However, the quality of the estimation remains reasonable even for $n = 500$.

6. Proofs of the main results.

6.1. *Proof of Theorem 4.4.* For simplicity, let consider the case of $\mu_l > 0$ and $\sigma_k = 0$. By Proposition 7.4 [take $G_n(u, z) = \exp(iuz)$, $L_n = \bar{\mu}_n = \bar{\sigma}_n = 1$, $a = 0$, $b = 1$]

$$\mathbb{P}(|\hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0})| \leq \kappa/\sqrt{n}) \geq \mathbb{P}(|\hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0}) - \phi_l(\mathbf{0})| > \mu_l) \leq Bn^{-1-\delta}$$

for some constants $\delta > 0$, $B > 0$ and n large enough. Furthermore, simple calculations lead to the following representation:

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_k''(u) - \hat{\psi}_{k,2}(u) &= \frac{\psi_k''(u)}{\psi_l'(0)} (\phi_l(\mathbf{0}) - \hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0})) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{R}_0(u) + \mathcal{R}_1(u) + \mathcal{R}_2(u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_0(u) &= [V_1(u)\psi_k''(u) - V_2(u)\psi_k'(u)](\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})) \\ &\quad + V_2(u)(\phi_k(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_k(u^{(k)})) \\ &\quad - V_1(u)(\phi_{kk}(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_{kk}(u^{(k)})) \\ &\quad + V_1(u)\psi_k'(u)(\phi_{lk}(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_{lk}(u^{(k)})), \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 3. Boxplots of the error $\|\tilde{v}_1 - \tilde{v}_1\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)}$ for different values of the mean reversion speed parameter κ and different numbers of observations n .

26

D. BELOMESTNY

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1(u) = & [\tilde{V}_1(u)\psi_k''(u) - \tilde{V}_2(u)\psi_k'(u)](\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})) \\ & + \tilde{V}_2(u)(\phi_k(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_k(u^{(k)})) \\ & - \tilde{V}_1(u)(\phi_{kk}(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_{kk}(u^{(k)})) \\ & + \tilde{V}_1(u)\psi_k'(u)(\phi_{lk}(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_{lk}(u^{(k)})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_2(u) = & \Gamma^2(u) \frac{\phi_l(\mathbf{0})(\phi_{lk}(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_{lk}(u^{(k)}))}{[\phi_l(u^{(k)})]^2} \\ & \times [(\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)}))\psi_k'(u) - (\phi_k(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_k(u^{(k)}))] \\ & + \frac{(\hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0}) - \phi_l(\mathbf{0}))}{\phi_l(u^{(k)})} \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_1}{\phi_l(\mathbf{0})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

with

$$V_1(u) = \frac{\phi_l(\mathbf{0})}{\Delta\phi_l(u^{(k)})} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(-\psi_k(u))},$$

$$V_2(u) = \frac{\phi_l(\mathbf{0})\phi_{lk}(u^{(k)})}{\Delta[\phi_l(u^{(k)})]^2} = -V_1(u)\psi_k'(u) \frac{\mathcal{L}''_{\Delta}(-\psi_k(u))}{\mathcal{L}'_{\Delta}(-\psi_k(u))},$$

$$\tilde{V}_1(u) = (\Gamma(u) - 1)V_1(u), \quad \tilde{V}_2(u) = (\Gamma^2(u) - 1)V_2(u)$$

and

$$\Gamma(u) = \left[1 - \frac{1}{\phi_l(u^{(k)})}(\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \hat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})) \right]^{-1}.$$

The representation (6.1) and the Fourier inversion formula imply the following representation for the deviation $\bar{v}_k - \hat{v}_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}_k(x) - \hat{v}_k(x) = & \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(\phi_l(\mathbf{0}) - \hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{0}))}{\psi_l'(\mathbf{0})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux} \psi_k''(u) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux} \mathcal{R}_0(u) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux} \mathcal{R}_1(u) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux} \mathcal{R}_2(u) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux} (1 - \mathcal{K}(uh_n)) \psi_k''(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

First, let us show that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux} \mathcal{R}_1(u) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \right| = o_{a.s.} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du} \right)$$

1 and

$$2 \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux} \mathcal{R}_2(u) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \right| = o_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du} \right).$$

3 We have, for example, for the first term in $\mathcal{R}_1(u)$

$$4 \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iuz} (\Gamma(u) - 1) V_1(u) \psi_k''(u) (\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \right|$$

$$5 \quad \leq \sup_{|u| \leq 1/h_n} |\Gamma(u) - 1| \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} [w(|u|) |\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})|] w^{-1}(1/h_n)$$

$$6 \quad \times \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} |V_1(u)| |\psi_k''(u)| du$$

7 with $w(u) = \log^{-1/2}(e+u)$, $u \geq 0$. Fix some $\xi > 0$ and consider the event

$$8 \quad \mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sup_{\{|u| \leq 1/h_n\}} [w(|u|) |\widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)}) - \phi_l(u^{(k)})|] \leq \xi \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \right\}.$$

9 By the assumption (AH), it holds on \mathcal{A}

$$10 \quad \sup_{|u| < 1/h_n} \left| \frac{\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})}{\phi_l(u^{(k)})} \right| \leq \xi M_n w^{-1}(1/h_n) \sqrt{\log n/n}$$

$$11 \quad = o(\sqrt{h_n}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

12 and hence

$$13 \quad (6.2) \quad \sup_{\{|u| \leq 1/h_n\}} |1 - \Gamma(u)| = o(\sqrt{h_n}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

14 Therefore, one has on \mathcal{A}

$$15 \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} e^{-iux} (\Gamma(u) - 1) V_1(u) \psi_k''(u) (\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \right|$$

$$16 \quad = o \left(\sqrt{\frac{h_n \log^2 n}{n} \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k(u) du} \right) = o \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du} \right)$$

17 since $\psi_k''(u)$ and $\mathcal{K}(u)$ are uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R} . On the other hand, Proposition 7.4 implies [on can take $G_n(u, z) = \exp(iuz)$, $L_n = \bar{\mu}_n = \bar{\sigma}_n = 1$, $a = 0$, $b = 1$]

$$18 \quad \mathbb{P}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}) \lesssim n^{-1-\delta'}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

1 for some $\delta' > 0$. The Borel–Cantelli lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} e^{-iux} (\Gamma(u) - 1) V_1(u) \psi_k''(u) (\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \right| \\ & = o_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du} \right). \end{aligned}$$

2 Other terms in \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 can be analyzed in a similar way. Turn now to the rate
3 determining term \mathcal{R}_0 . Consider, for instance, the integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} e^{-iux} V_1(u) \psi_k''(u) (\phi_l(u^{(k)}) - \widehat{\phi}_l(u^{(k)})) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \\ (6.3) \quad & = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[Z_j^l K_n \left(\frac{x - Z_j^k}{h_n} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left\{ Z^l \frac{1}{h_n} K_n \left(\frac{x - Z^k}{h_n} \right) \right\} \right] = \mathcal{S}(x) \end{aligned}$$

4 with

$$K_n(z) = \int_{-1}^1 e^{-iuz} V_1(u/h_n) \psi_k''(u/h_n) \mathcal{K}(u) du.$$

5 Now we are going to make use of Proposition 7.4 to estimate the term $\mathcal{S}(x)$ on the
6 r.h.s. of (6.3). To this end, let

$$G_n(u, z) = \frac{1}{h_n} K_n \left(\frac{u - z}{h_n} \right).$$

7 Since $\nu_k, \nu_l \in \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$ [assumption (AL1)], the Lévy processes L_t^k and
8 L_t^l possess infinitely smooth densities $p_{k,t}$ and $p_{l,t}$ which are bounded for $t > 0$
9 [see [Sato \(1999\)](#), Section 28] and fulfill [see [Picard \(1997\)](#)]

$$(6.4) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{p_{k,t}(x)\} \lesssim t^{-1/\gamma}, \quad t \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(6.5) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{p_{l,t}(x)\} \lesssim t^{-1/\gamma}, \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

10 Moreover, under assumption (AL2) [see [Luschgy and Pagès \(2008\)](#)]

$$(6.6) \quad \int |x|^m p_{k,t}(x) dx = O(t), \quad \int |x|^m p_{l,t}(x) dx = O(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0,$$

11 and

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int |x|^m p_{k,t}(x) dx = O(t^m), \\ & \int |x|^m p_{l,t}(x) dx = O(t^m), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

1 for any $2 \leq m \leq p$. As a result, the distribution of (Z^k, Z^l) is absolutely continuous
2 with uniformly bounded density q_{kl} given by

$$3 \quad q_{kl}(y, z) = \int_0^\infty p_{k,t}(y) p_{l,t}(z) d\pi(dt),$$

4 where π is the distribution function of the r.v. $\mathcal{T}(\Delta)$. The asymptotic relations
5 (6.4)–(6.7) and the assumption (AT1) imply

$$6 \quad \begin{aligned} 7 \quad \mathbb{E}[|Z^l|^2 | G_n(u, Z^k)|^2] &= \frac{1}{h_n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| K_n\left(\frac{u-y}{h_n}\right) \right|^2 \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |z|^2 q_{kl}(y, z) dz \right\} dy \\ 8 \quad &\leq \frac{C_0}{h_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_n(v)|^2 dv \\ 9 \quad &\leq C_1 \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} |V_1(u)|^2 du \end{aligned}$$

10 with some finite constants $C_0 > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$. Similarly,

$$11 \quad \mathbb{E}[|Z^k|^2 | G_n(u, Z^k)|^2] \leq C_2 \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} |V_1(u)|^2 du,$$

$$12 \quad \mathbb{E}[|Z^k|^4 | G_n(u, Z^k)|^2] \leq C_3 \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} |V_1(u)|^2 du,$$

$$13 \quad \mathbb{E}[|Z^k|^2 | Z^l|^2 | G_n(u, Z^k)|^2] \leq C_4 \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} |V_1(u)|^2 du$$

14 with some positive constants C_2, C_3 and C_4 . Define

$$15 \quad \bar{\sigma}_n^2 = C \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} |V_1(u)|^2 du,$$

$$16 \quad \bar{\mu}_n = \|\mathcal{K}\|_\infty \|\psi''\|_\infty \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} |V_1(u)| du,$$

$$17 \quad L_n = \|\mathcal{K}\|_\infty \|\psi''\|_\infty \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} |u| |V_1(u)| du,$$

18 where $C = \max_{k=1,2,3,4}\{C_k\}$. Since $|V_1(u)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ and $h_n \rightarrow \infty$, we
19 get $\bar{\mu}_n/\bar{\sigma}_n^2 = O(1)$. Furthermore, due to assumption (AH)

$$20 \quad (6.8) \quad \bar{\mu}_n \lesssim h_n^{-1/2} \bar{\sigma}_n \lesssim n^{1/2-\delta/2} \bar{\sigma}_n, \quad L_n \lesssim h_n^{3/2} \bar{\sigma}_n \lesssim n^{3/2} \bar{\sigma}_n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

21 and $\bar{\sigma}_n = O(h_n^{-1/2} M_n) = O(n^{1/2})$. Thus, the assumptions (AG1) and (AG2) of
22 Proposition 7.4 are fulfilled. The assumption (AZ1) follows from Lemma 7.1 and
23 the assumption (AT1). Therefore, we get by Proposition 7.4

$$24 \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} [w(|z|)|\mathcal{S}(z)|] \geq \xi \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_n^2 \log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n}}\right) \lesssim n^{-1-\delta'}$$

1 for some $\delta' > 0$ and $\xi > \xi_0$. Noting that

$$2 \quad \bar{\sigma}_n^2 \leq C \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du, \quad 3$$

4 we derive

$$5 \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} [w(|z|)|\mathcal{S}(z)|] = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du} \right). \quad 6$$

7 Other terms in \mathcal{R}_0 can be studied in a similar manner. Finally,

$$8 \quad \|\widehat{v}_k - \bar{v}_k\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} = O_{\text{a.s.}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log^{3+\varepsilon} n}{n} \int_{-1/h_n}^{1/h_n} \mathfrak{R}_k^2(u) du} \right) \quad 9$$

$$10 \quad (6.9) \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |1 - \mathcal{K}(uh_n)| |\psi_k''(u)| du. \quad 11$$

12 The second, bias term on the r.h.s. of (6.9) can be easily bounded if we recall that

$$13 \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |1 - \mathcal{K}(uh_n)| |\psi_k''(u)| du \lesssim h_n^\beta \int_{\{|u| > a_K/h_n\}} |u|^\beta |\mathbf{F}[\bar{v}_k](u)| du \quad 14$$

$$15 \quad \lesssim h_n^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |u|^\beta) |\mathbf{F}[\bar{v}_k](u)| du, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad 16$$

17 **6.2. Proof of Theorem 4.7.** We have

$$18 \quad \widehat{v}_k(x_0) - \bar{v}_k(x_0) = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iu x_0} \psi_k''(u) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du - \bar{v}_k(x_0) \right] \quad 19$$

$$20 \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iu x_0} (\widehat{\psi}_{k,2} - \psi_k''(u)) \mathcal{K}(uh_n) du \quad 21$$

$$22 \quad = J_1 + J_2 \quad 23$$

24 Introduce

$$25 \quad K(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 e^{iuz} \mathcal{K}(u) du, \quad 26$$

27 then by the Fourier inversion formula

$$28 \quad (6.10) \quad \mathcal{K}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iuz} K(z) dz. \quad 29$$

30 The assumption (AK) together with the smoothness of \mathcal{K} implies that $K(z)$ has

$$31 \quad (6.11) \quad \int K(z) dz = 1, \quad \int z^k K(z) dz = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \quad 32$$

1 Hence

$$J_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{v}_k(x_0 + h_n v) K(v) dv - \bar{v}_k(x_0)$$

4 and

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1| &\leq \left| \int_{|v| > \delta/h_n} [\bar{v}_k(x_0) - \bar{v}_k(x_0 + h_n v)] K(v) dv \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{|v| \leq \delta/h_n} [\bar{v}_k(x_0) - \bar{v}_k(x_0 + h_n v)] K(v) dv \right| \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

12 Since $\|\bar{v}\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\bar{v}}$ for some constant $C_{\bar{v}} > 0$, we get

$$I_1 \leq 2C_{\bar{v}} \int_{|v| > \delta/h_n} |K(v)| dv \leq C_{\bar{v}} C_K (h_n/\delta)^m$$

15 with $C_K = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K(v)| |v|^m dv$. Further, by Taylor expansion formula,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \left| \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{h_n^j \bar{v}_k^{(j)}(x_0)}{j!} \int_{|v| \leq \delta/h_n} K(v) v^j dv \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{|v| \leq \delta/h_n} K(v) \left[\int_{x_0}^{x_0 + h_n v} \frac{\bar{v}_k^{(s)}(\zeta) (\zeta - x_0)^{s-1}}{(s-1)!} d\zeta \right] dv \right| \\ &= I_{21} + I_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

24 First, let us bound I_{21} from above. Note that, due to (6.11),

$$I_{21} = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{h_n^j \bar{v}_k^{(j)}(x_0)}{j!} \int_{|v| > \delta/h_n} K(v) v^j dv \right|.$$

28 Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} &\leq \left(\frac{h_n}{\delta} \right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{\delta^j |\bar{v}_k^{(j)}(x_0)|}{j!} \int_{|v| > \delta/h_n} |K(v)| |v|^m dv \\ &\leq \left(\frac{h_n}{\delta} \right)^m LC_K \exp(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

34 Furthermore, we have for I_{22}

$$I_{22} \leq \frac{Lh_n^s}{s!} \int_{|v| \leq \delta/h_n} |K(v)| |v|^s dv.$$

38 Combining all previous inequalities and taking into account the fact that $m \geq s$,
39 we derive

$$|J_1| \lesssim h_n^s, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

41 The stochastic term J_2 can be handled along the same lines as in the proof of Theo-
42 rem 4.4.

43

1 6.3. *Proof of Theorem 4.9.* Define 1

$$2 K_0(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin(a_k x)}{a_k x} \right)^2 \quad 2$$

3 with $a_k = 2^{-k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $K_0(x)$ is continuous at 0 and does not vanish there, 3
4 the function 4

$$5 K(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(2x)}{\pi x} \frac{K_0(x)}{K_0(0)} \quad 5$$

6 is well defined on \mathbb{R} . Next, fix two positive numbers β and γ such that $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ 6
7 and $0 < \beta < 1 - \gamma$. Consider a function 7

$$8 \Phi(u) = \frac{e^{ix_0 u}}{(1+u^2)^{(1+\beta)/2} \log^2(e+u^2)} \quad 8$$

9 for some $x_0 > 0$ and define 9

$$10 \mu_h(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(x+zh)K(z) dz \quad 10$$

11 for any $h > 0$, where 11

$$12 \mu(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixu} \Phi(u) du. \quad 12$$

13 In the next lemma, some properties of the functions μ and μ_h are collected. 13

14 LEMMA 6.1. *Functions μ and μ_h have the following properties:* 14

- 15 (i) μ and μ_h are uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R} , 15
16 (ii) for any natural $n > 0$ 16

$$17 (6.12) \quad \max\{\mu(x), \mu_h(x)\} \lesssim |x|^{-n}, \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad 17$$

18 that is, both functions $\mu(x)$ and $\mu_h(x)$ decay faster than any negative power of x , 18

- 19 (iii) it holds 19

$$20 (6.13) \quad x_0^2 \mu(x_0) - x_0^2 \mu_h(x_0) \geq Dh^\beta \log^{-1}(1/h) \quad 20$$

21 for some constant $D > 0$ and h small enough. 21

22 Fix some $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider two functions 22

$$23 v_1(x) = v_\gamma(x) + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{(1+x^2)^2} + \varepsilon \mu(x), \quad 23$$

$$24 v_2(x) = v_\gamma(x) + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{(1+x^2)^2} + \varepsilon \mu_h(x), \quad 24$$

1 where $\nu_\gamma(x)$ is given by

$$2 \quad \nu_\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x^2)} \left[\frac{1}{x^{1+\gamma}} 1\{x \geq 0\} + \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\gamma}} 1\{x < 0\} \right].$$

3 Due to the statements (i) and (ii) of Lemma 6.1, one can always choose ε in such
4 a way that ν_1 and ν_2 stay positive on \mathbb{R}_+ and thus they can be viewed as the Lévy
5 densities of some Lévy processes $L_{1,t}$ and $L_{2,t}$, respectively. It directly follows
6 from the definition of ν_1 and ν_2 that $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_\gamma$. The next lemma describes some
7 other properties of $\nu_1(x)$ and $\nu_2(x)$. Denote $\bar{\nu}_1(x) = x^2 \nu_1(x)$ and $\bar{\nu}_2(x) = x^2 \nu_2(x)$.
8
9

10 LEMMA 6.2. *Functions $\bar{\nu}_1(x)$ and $\bar{\nu}_2(x)$ satisfy*

$$11 \quad (6.14) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\bar{\nu}_1(x) - \bar{\nu}_2(x)| \geq \varepsilon D h^\beta \log^{-1}(1/h)$$

12 and

$$13 \quad (6.15) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |u|^\beta) |\mathbf{F}[\bar{\nu}_i](u)| du < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

14 that is, both functions $\nu_1(x)$ and $\nu_2(x)$ belong to the class \mathfrak{S}_β .

15 Let us now perform a time change in the processes $L_{1,t}$ and $L_{2,t}$. To this end, in-
16 troduce a time change $\mathcal{T}(t)$, such that the Laplace transform of $\mathcal{T}(t)$ has following
17 representation

$$18 \quad \mathcal{L}_t(z) = \mathbb{E}[e^{-z\mathcal{T}(t)}] = \int_0^\infty e^{-zy} dF_t(y),$$

19 where $(F_t, t \geq 0)$ is a family of distribution functions on \mathbb{R}_+ satisfying

$$20 \quad 1 - F_t(y) \leq 1 - F_s(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

21 for any $t \leq s$. Denote by $\tilde{p}_{1,t}$ and $\tilde{p}_{2,t}$ the marginal densities of the resulting time-
22 changed Lévy processes $Y_{1,t} = L_{1,\mathcal{T}(t)}$ and $Y_{2,t} = L_{2,\mathcal{T}(t)}$, respectively. The fol-
23 lowing lemma provides us with an upper bound for the χ^2 -divergence between
24 $\tilde{p}_{1,t}$ and $\tilde{p}_{2,t}$, where for any two probability measures P and Q the χ^2 -divergence
25 between P and Q is defined as

$$26 \quad \chi^2(P, Q) = \begin{cases} \int \left(\frac{dP}{dQ} - 1 \right)^2 dQ, & \text{if } P \ll Q, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

27 LEMMA 6.3. *Suppose that the Laplace transform of the time change $\mathcal{T}(t)$*
28 *fulfills*

$$29 \quad (6.16) \quad |\mathcal{L}_\Delta^{(k+1)}(z)/\mathcal{L}_\Delta^{(k)}(z)| = O(1), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty,$$

30 for $k = 0, 1, 2$, and uniformly in $\Delta \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$31 \quad \chi^2(\tilde{p}_{1,\Delta}, \tilde{p}_{2,\Delta}) \lesssim \Delta^{-1} [\mathcal{L}'_\Delta(ch^{-\gamma})]^2 h^{(2\beta+1)}, \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

32 with some constant $c > 0$.

The proofs of Lemmas 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 can be found in the preprint version of our paper Belomestny (2010a). Combining Lemma 6.3 with the inequality (6.14) and using the well known Assouad's lemma [see, e.g., Theorem 2.6 in Tsybakov (2004)], one obtains

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\widehat{v}} \sup_{v \in \mathfrak{B}_\gamma \cap \mathfrak{G}_\beta} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{v}(x) - v(x)| > h_n^\beta \log^{-1}(1/h_n) \right) > 0$$

for any sequence h_n satisfying

$$n \Delta^{-1} [\mathcal{L}'_t(c \cdot h_n^{-\gamma})]^2 h_n^{(2\beta+1)} = O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

7. Auxiliary results.

7.1. Some results on time-changed Lévy processes.

LEMMA 7.1. *Let L_t be a d -dimensional Lévy process with the Lévy measure ν and let $\mathcal{T}(t)$ be a time change independent of L_t . Fix some $\Delta > 0$ and consider two sequences $T_k = \mathcal{T}(\Delta k) - \mathcal{T}(\Delta(k-1))$ and $Z_k = Y_{\Delta k} - Y_{\Delta(k-1)}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, where $Y_t = L_{\mathcal{T}(t)}$. If the sequence $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly stationary and α -mixing with the mixing coefficients $(\alpha_T(j))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, then the sequence $(Z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also strictly stationary and α -mixing with the mixing coefficients $(\alpha_Z(j))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, satisfying*

$$(7.1) \quad \alpha_Z(j) \leq \alpha_T(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PROOF. Fix some natural k, l with $k + l < n$. Using the independence of increments of the Lévy process L_t and the fact that \mathcal{T} is a nondecreasing process, we get $E[\phi(Z_1, \dots, Z_k)] = E[\tilde{\phi}(T_1, \dots, T_k)]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\phi(Z_1, \dots, Z_k) \psi(Z_{k+l}, \dots, Z_n)] \\ &= E[\tilde{\phi}(T_1, \dots, T_k) \tilde{\psi}(T_{k+l}, \dots, T_n)], \quad k, l \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

for any two functions $\phi: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{n-l-k} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $\tilde{\phi}(t_1, \dots, t_k) = E[\phi(L_{t_1}, \dots, L_{t_k})]$ and $\tilde{\psi}(t_1, \dots, t_k) = E[\psi(L_{t_1}, \dots, L_{t_k})]$. This implies that the sequence Z_k is strictly stationary and α -mixing with the mixing coefficients satisfying (7.1). \square

7.2. *Exponential inequalities for dependent sequences.* The following theorem can be found in Merlevéde, Peligrad and Rio (2009).

THEOREM 7.2. *Let $(Z_k, k \geq 1)$ be a strongly mixing sequence of centered real-valued random variables on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with the mixing coefficients satisfying*

$$(7.2) \quad \alpha(n) \leq \bar{\alpha} \exp(-cn), \quad n \geq 1, \bar{\alpha} > 0, c > 0.$$

1 Assume that $\sup_{k \geq 1} |Z_k| \leq M$ a.s., then there is a positive constant C depending
 2 on c and $\bar{\alpha}$ such that

$$3 \quad \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \geq \zeta \right\} \leq \exp \left[- \frac{C \zeta^2}{n v^2 + M^2 + M \zeta \log^2(n)} \right]$$

4 for all $\zeta > 0$ and $n \geq 4$, where

$$5 \quad v^2 = \sup_i \left(\mathbb{E}[Z_i]^2 + 2 \sum_{j \geq i} \text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) \right).$$

6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11 COROLLARY 7.3. Denote

$$12 \quad \rho_j = \mathbb{E}[Z_j^2 \log^{2(1+\varepsilon)}(|Z_j|^2)], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

13 with arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose that all ρ_j are finite. Then

$$14 \quad \sum_{j \geq i} \text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) \leq C \max_j \rho_j$$

15 for some constant $C > 0$, provided (7.2) holds. Consequently, the following in-
 16 equality holds

$$17 \quad v^2 \leq \sup_i \mathbb{E}[Z_i]^2 + C \max_j \rho_j.$$

18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23 The proof can be found in [Belomestny \(2010a\)](#).

24
 25
 26 7.3. *Bounds on large deviations probabilities for weighted sup norms.* Let
 27 $Z_j = (X_j, Y_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, be a sequence of two-dimensional random vectors
 28 and let $G_n(u, z)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of complex-valued functions defined
 29 on \mathbb{R}^2 . Define

$$30 \quad \hat{m}_1(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j G_n(u, X_j),$$

$$31 \quad \hat{m}_2(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j G_n(u, X_j),$$

$$32 \quad \hat{m}_3(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 G_n(u, X_j),$$

$$33 \quad \hat{m}_4(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j Y_j G_n(u, X_j).$$

34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42 PROPOSITION 7.4. Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

43

(AZ1) The sequence Z_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, is strictly stationary and is α -mixing with mixing coefficients $(\alpha_Z(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$\alpha_Z(k) \leq \bar{\alpha}_0 \exp(-\bar{\alpha}_1 k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some $\bar{\alpha}_0 > 0$ and $\bar{\alpha}_1 > 0$.

(AZ2) The r.v. X_j and Y_j possess finite absolute moments of order $p > 2$.

(AG1) Each function $G_n(u, z)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is Lipschitz in u with linearly growing (in z) Lipschitz constant, that is, for any $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|G_n(u_1, z) - G_n(u_2, z)| \leq L_n(a + b|z|)|u_1 - u_2|,$$

where a, b are two nonnegative real numbers not depending on n and the sequence L_n does not depend on u .

(AG2) There are two sequences $\bar{\mu}_n$ and $\bar{\sigma}_n$, such that

$$|G_n(u, z)| \leq \bar{\mu}_n, \quad (u, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and all the functions

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[(|X|^2 + |Y|^2)|G_n(u, X)|^2], \quad \mathbb{E}[|X|^4|G_n(u, X)|^2], \\ & \mathbb{E}[|X|^2|Y|^2|G_n(u, X)|^2] \end{aligned}$$

are uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R} by $\bar{\sigma}_n^2$. Moreover, assume that the sequences $\bar{\mu}_n$, L_n and $\bar{\sigma}_n$ fulfill

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_n/\bar{\sigma}_n^2 &= O(1), \quad \bar{\mu}_n/\bar{\sigma}_n = O(n^{1/2-\delta/2}), \quad \bar{\sigma}_n^2 = O(n), \\ L_n/\bar{\sigma}_n &= O(n^{3/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for some δ satisfying $2/p < \delta \leq 1$.

Let w be a symmetric, Lipschitz continuous, positive, monotone decreasing on \mathbb{R}_+ function such that

$$(7.3) \quad 0 < w(z) \leq \log^{-1/2}(e + |z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then there is $\delta' > 0$ and $\xi_0 > 0$, such that the inequality

$$(7.4) \quad \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log^{-(1+\varepsilon)}(1 + \bar{\mu}_n) \sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{\sigma}_n^2 \log n}} \|\hat{m}_k - \mathbb{E}[\hat{m}_k]\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, w)} > \xi \right\} \leq Bn^{-1-\delta'}$$

holds for any $\xi > \xi_0$, any $k \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$, some positive constant B depending on ξ and arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$.

The proof of the proposition can be found in [Belomestny \(2010a\)](#).

- 1 MERLEVÉDE, F., PELIGRAD, M. and RIO, E. (2009). Bernstein inequality and moderate deviations 1
 2 under strong mixing conditions. In *High Dimensional Probability V: The Luminy Volume. IMS* 2
 3 *Collections* **5** 273–292. Beachwood, Ohio. 3 <unstr>
- 4 MONROE, I. (1978). Processes that can be embedded in Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.* **6** 42–56. 4 <mr>
 5 [MR0455113](#) 5 <mr>
- 6 NEUMANN, M. H. and REISS, M. (2009). Nonparametric estimation for Lévy processes from low- 6 <mr>
 7 frequency observations. *Bernoulli* **15** 223–248. [MR2546805](#) 7 <mr>
- 8 PICARD, J. (1997). Density in small time for Levy processes. *ESAIM Probab. Stat.* **1** 357–389 (elec- 8 <mr>
 9 tronic). [MR1486930](#) 9 <mr>
- 10 ROSENBAUM, M. and TANKOV, P. (2010). Asymptotic results and statistical procedures for time- 10 <unstr>
 11 changed Lévy processes sampled at hitting times. Available at [arXiv:1007.1414](#). 11 <unstr>
- 12 RUBIN, H. and TUCKER, H. G. (1959). Estimating the parameters of a differential process. *Ann.* 12 <mr>
 13 *Math. Statist.* **30** 641–658. [MR0110174](#) 13 <mr>
- 14 SATO, K.-I. (1999). *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions. Cambridge Studies in Ad-* 14 <mr>
 15 *vanced Mathematics* **68**. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. Translated from the 1990 Japanese 15
 16 original, Revised by the author. [MR1739520](#) 16 <mr>
- 17 STEUTEL, F. W. and VAN HARN, K. (2004). *Infinite Divisibility of Probability Distributions on the* 17
 18 *Real Line. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **259**. Dekker, New York. 18 <mr>
 19 [MR2011862](#) 19 <mr>
- 20 TSYBAKOV, A. B. (2004). *Introduction à L'estimation Non-paramétrique. Mathématiques and Ap-* 20 <mr>
 21 *plications (Berlin) [Mathematics and Applications]* **41**. Springer, Berlin. [MR2013911](#) 21 <mr>
- 22 WOERNER, J. H. C. (2003). Variational sums and power variation: A unifying approach to model 22
 23 selection and estimation in semimartingale models. *Statist. Decisions* **21** 47–68. [MR1985651](#) 23 <mr>
- 24 YOKOYAMA, R. (1980). Moment bounds for stationary mixing sequences. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 24
 25 **52** 45–57. [MR0568258](#) 25 <mr>
- 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
- DUISBURG-ESSEN UNIVERSITY
 FORSTHAUSWEG 2, D-47057 DUISBURG
 GERMANY
 E-MAIL: denis.belomestny@uni-due.de

THE ORIGINAL REFERENCE LIST

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
- The list of entries below corresponds to the original Reference section of your article. The bibliography section on previous page was retrieved from MathSciNet applying an automated procedure.
- Please check both lists and indicate those entries which lead to mistaken sources in automatically generated Reference list.
- O. Barndorff-Nielsen (1998). Processes of normal inverse Gaussian type. *Finance Stoch.*, **2**(1), 41–68.
- I. Basawa and P. Brockwell (1982). Nonparametric estimation for nondecreasing Lévy processes, *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B*, **44**, 262–269.
- F. Bauer and M. Reiß (2008). Regularisation independent of the noise level: an analysis of quasi-optimality. *Inverse Problems*, **24**(5).
- D. Belomestny (2010). Statistical inference for multidimensional time-changed Lévy processes based on low-frequency data, arXiv:1003.0275.
- D. Belomestny (2010). Spectral estimation of the fractional order of a Lévy process. *Annals of Statistics*, **38**(1), 317–351.
- M. Bismut (1983). Calcul des variations stochastique et processus de sauts. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeits-theorie und verw. Gebiete*, **63**, 147–235.
- S. Bochner (1949). Diffusion Equation and Stochastic Process. *Proc. N.A.S. USA*, **35**.
- P. Carr and L. Wu (2004). Time-Changed Lévy Processes and Option Pricing. *Journal of Financial Economics*, **71**(1), 113–141.
- P. Carr, H. Geman, D. Madan, and M. Yor (2003). Stochastic volatility for Lévy processes. *Mathematical Finance*, **13**, 345–382.
- P. K. Clark (1973). A subordinated stochastic process model with fixed variance for speculative prices, *Econometrica*, **41**, 135–156.
- F. Comte and V. Genon-Catalot (2010). Nonparametric adaptive estimation for pure jump Lévy processes. *Annales de l’IHP*, **46**(3), 595–617.
- L. Dubins and G. Schwarz (1965). On continuous martingales, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **53**(5), 913–916.
- E. Eberlein (2001). Application of generalized hyperbolic Lévy motion to finance, in O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, T. Mikosch and S. Resnick, eds, Lévy processes: Theory and Applications, Birkhäuser, Basel, pp. 319–337.
- A. Erdélyi (1956). Asymptotic expansions. Dover Publications.
- J.E. Figueroa-Lopez (2004). Nonparametric estimation of Lévy processes with a view towards mathematical finance. PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology.
- J.E. Figueroa-Lopez (2009). Nonparametric estimation of time-changed Levy models under high-frequency data. *Advances in Applied Probability*, **41**(4), 1161–1188.
- J.E. Figueroa-Lopez (2011). Central limit theorems for the nonparametric estimation of time-changed Lévy models, to appear in the *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*. DOI:10.1111/j.1467-9469.2010.00728.x.
- J. Horowitz and E. Mammen (2007). Rate-optimal estimation for a general class of nonparametric regression models with unknown link functions. *Annals of Statistics*, **35**(6), 2589–2619.
- G. Jongbloed, F.H. van der Meulen and A.W. van der Vaart, (2005). Nonparametric inference for Lévy-driven Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Bernoulli*, **11**(5), 759–791.
- A. Juditsky, O. Lepski, and A. Tsybakov (2009). Nonparametric estimation of composite functions, *Annals of Statistics*, **37**(3), 1360–1404.
- T. Kamae and U. Krengel (1978). Stochastic partial ordering. *Annals of Probability*, **6**(6), 1044–1049.
- H. Luschgy and G. Pagès (2008). Moment estimates for Lévy processes. *Elect. Comm. in Probab.* **13**, 422–434.

1	H. Masuda (2007). Ergodicity and exponential β -mixing bounds for multidimensional diffusions with jumps, <i>Stochastic Process. Appl.</i> , 117 (1), 35-56.	1
2		2
3	A. McNeil and J. Neslehová (2009). Multivariate Archimedean copulas, d -monotone functions and l_1 -norm symmetric distributions. <i>Annals of Statistics</i> , 37 (5B), 3059-3097.	3
4		4
5	F. Merlevéde, M. Peligrad and E. Rio (2009). Bernstein inequality and moderate deviations under strong mixing conditions. IMS Collections High Dimensional Probability V: The Luminy Volume, 5 , 273–292.	5
6		6
7	I. Monroe (1978). Processes that can be embedded in Brownian motion, <i>Ann. Prob.</i> , 6 , 42–56.	7
8	M. Neumann and M. Reiß (2009). Nonparametric estimation for Lévy processes from low-frequency observations. <i>Bernoulli</i> , 15 (1), 223-248.	8
9		9
10	J. Picard (1997). Density in small time for Levy processes. <i>ESAIM Probab. Statist.</i> 1 , 357–389.	10
11	M. Rosenbaum and P. Tankov (2010). Asymptotic results and statistical procedures for time-changed Lévy processes sampled at hitting times. arXiv:1007.1414.	11
12	H. Rubin and H. Tucker (1959). Estimating the parameters of a differential process, <i>Ann. Math. Statist.</i> , 30 , 641-658.	12
13		13
14	K. Sato (1999). <i>Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions</i> . Cambridge University Press.	14
15	F. Steutel and K. van Harn (2004). Infinite divisibility of probability distributions on the real line. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 259. Dekker, New York.	15
16	A. Tsybakov (2008). <i>Introduction to Nonparametric Estimation</i> , Springer Series in Statistics, Springer.	16
17		17
18	J. Woerner (2003). Variational sums and power variation: a unifying approach to model selection and estimation in semimartingale models. <i>Statistics and Decisions</i> , 21 , 47-68.	18
19		19
20	R. Yokoyama (1980). Moment bounds for stationary mixing sequences. <i>Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete.</i> , 52 , 45–57.	20
21		21
22		22
23		23
24		24
25		25
26		26
27		27
28		28
29		29
30		30
31		31
32		32
33		33
34		34
35		35
36		36
37		37
38		38
39		39
40		40
41		41
42		42
43		43

1 META DATA IN THE PDF FILE 1

2 Following information will be included as pdf file Document Properties: 2

3
 4 **Title** : Statistical inference for time-changed L\351vy processes 4
 via composite characteristic function estimation 5
 5 **Author** : Denis Belomestny 5
 6 **Subject** : The Annals of Statistics, 0, Vol.0, No.00, 1-38 6
 7 **Keywords**: 62F10, 62J12, 62F25, 62H12, Time-changed Levy processes, 7
 8 dependence, pointwise and uniform rates of convergence, composite 8
 9 function estimation 9

10 THE LIST OF URI ADDRESSES 10

11
 12 Listed below are all uri addresses found in your paper. The non-active uri addresses, if any, are 11
 13 indicated as ERROR. Please check and update the list where necessary. The e-mail addresses 12
 14 are not checked – they are listed just for your information. More information can be found in 13
 15 the support page: 14
 16 <http://www.e-publications.org/ims/support/urihelp.html>. 15
 17 16

18
 19 200 <http://www.imstat.org/aos/> [2:pp.1,1] OK 18
 20 404 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/11-AOS901> [2:pp.1,1] Not Found 19
 21 200 <http://www.imstat.org> [2:pp.1,1] OK 20
 22 200 <http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/msc/msc2010.html> [2:pp.1,1] OK 21
 23 200 <http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1003.0275> [2:pp.37,37] OK 22
 24 200 <http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1007.1414> [2:pp.41,41] OK 23
 25 --- <mailto:denis.belomestny@uni-due.de> [2:pp.43,43] Check skip 24
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43