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We present a scheme to establish nonclassical correlations in the motion of two macroscopically separated massive particles without resorting to entanglement in their internal degrees of freedom. It is based on the dissociation of a diatomic molecule with two temporally separated Feshbach pulses generating a motional state of two counterpropagating atoms that is capable of violating a Bell inequality by means of correlated single-particle interferometry. We evaluate the influence of dispersion on the Bell correlation, showing it to be important but manageable in a proposed experimental setup. The latter employs Bose-Einstein condensation of fermionic lithium atoms, uses laser-guided atom interferometry, and seems to be within the reach of present-day technology.

Introduction.—The possibility of entangling macroscopically separate, noninteracting particles challenges our classical view of the world by putting into question the concepts of realism and locality [1]. Nowadays entangled states are routinely established with photons [2]. Also the internal states of material particles have been entangled, e.g., using nonclassical light as a carrier for the quantum correlations [3–7], or their Coulomb interaction in an ion trap [8,9]. However, the original discussion of entanglement focused on the motional state of massive particles, whose spatial separation is a dynamic feature of the entangled two-particle wave function [10,11]. The latter is spatially extended, unlike with internal entanglement, where the positions only play the passive role of separating the parties. Since the positions and momenta are quantum observables with a direct classical analog, an observation of nonclassical correlations arising from macroscopically distinct phase space regions would therefore be a striking demonstration of the failure of classical mechanics.

A convincing demonstration of nonclassical correlations between two parties requires the experimental violation of a Bell-type inequality [12]. In the simplest case, it involves detecting dichotomic properties on each side, such as the polarization of spin-1/2 systems, where a maximal violation is obtained if the spins are in a Bell state, say $|\Phi\rangle = (|\downarrow\rangle_1|\downarrow\rangle_2 + e^{i \phi} |\uparrow\rangle_1|\uparrow\rangle_2)/\sqrt{2}$. Such a dichotomic property is not readily available in the motional state of free, structureless particles. One possibility is to consider observables which have no classical analogue, such as the displaced parity or pseudospin operators used to discuss entanglement in the “original EPR state” [13,14]. While they are expedient for characterizing the quadratures of light fields, their experimental implementation seems exceedingly difficult in the case of free, macroscopically separated material particles, where only position measurements are easily realized.

Here we aim instead at producing a motional analogue of the Bell state $|\Phi\rangle$ and implementing a Bell test which requires a simple position measurement in the end. The idea is to expose a diatomic molecule to a sequence of two temporally separated dissociation pulses. Each of the two counterpropagating dissociated atoms then has an early and a late wave packet component corresponding to the two possible dissociation times, and their correlation may be called “dissociation time entanglement” (DTE), see Fig. 1(a). If one regards these components as spin state

![FIG. 1 (color online). (a) The two particles in a DTE state are each characterized by an early and a late wave packet component resulting from two different dissociation times. (b) Each particle is passed through an unbalanced Mach-Zehnder interferometer with a switch deflecting the early wave component into the long arm, while conducting the late component through the short arm. (c) The path difference is chosen such that the early and the late wave packets overlap. Detecting the particle in an output port, at a given phase $\varphi$ and splitting ratio $\theta$, amounts to a dichotomic measurement analogous to a spin 1/2 detection in an arbitrary orientation. The existence of DTE is established, implying a macroscopically delocalized two-particle state, if the correlations at the single-particle interferometers violate a Bell inequality.](image-url)
analogue in the motion (say, early corresponds to spin up, late to spin down), the DTE state shows the same structure as the Bell state $|\Phi\rangle$. Switched, unbalanced Mach-Zehnder interferometers on each side then serve to mimic arbitrary spin rotations, and the detection of each particle in one of the interferometer output ports completes the Bell test, see Figs. 1(b) and 1(c).

The DTE state is a variant of the “energy-time entangled” state introduced in [15], and it is closely related to the “time-bin entanglement” of photons [2,16,17], which has been used, e.g., for establishing nonlocal correlations over fiber distances of more than 50 km [18] in a similar setup as in Fig. 1. As a main difference, the DTE state is not composed of two single-particle product states, but it superposes their relative coordinate, while the center-of-mass state remains separable during the two-pulse dissociation process.

Our use of DTE reflects the necessity to come up with a state generation scheme appropriate to material particles. Their finite mass and internal structure entail substantial complications which require a careful investigation of whether a Bell violation can be expected at all. Most prominently, one must account for the unavoidable wave packet dispersion, and only the recent progress in manipulating ultracold molecules (such as their condensation [19] and controlled dissociation [20]) suggests the possibility to generate motional states that allow one to keep the detrimental effect of dispersion under control. We note that other ways to demonstrate nonlocal correlations of molecular dissociation products have been proposed in [21,22].

We will show below that, by appropriately choosing a sequence of magnetic field pulses, the Feshbach-induced dissociation of an ultracold $^6\text{Li}_2$ molecule within a guiding laser beam can generate a motional state of macroscopically entangled atoms that is capable of violating a Bell inequality. But first, to clarify the implications of dispersion, we determine the correlation function for a generic DTE state subject to correlated single-particle interferometry.

The general DTE Bell test.—It is natural to take the bound molecular two-particle state to be separable in the center-of-mass (c.m.) and the relative (rel) coordinate. Denoting by $\tau$ the period between the two dissociation pulses and assuming the transverse motion to be frozen in the ground state of the guiding laser beam, the longitudinal part of a pure DTE state then takes the form $|\Psi_{\text{DTE}}\rangle = (\hat{U}_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})}|\psi_{\text{c.m.}}\rangle + e^{i\phi}|\Psi_{\text{0}}\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$, where $|\Psi_0\rangle = |\psi_{\text{rel}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle$ is the state of the wave packet to be frozen. It involves the free time-evolution operator $\hat{U}_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})}$, the parity operator $\hat{P}$, and a relative phase $\phi$, determined by the details of the two-pulse dissociation process. The center-of-mass state of the original molecule $|\psi_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle$ is taken to rest in a waveguide, while $|\psi_{\text{rel}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle$ propagates into positive direction.

Indicating the output ports of the two interferometers $j = 1, 2$, by $\sigma_j = \pm 1$, the experimental output come is characterized by the two-time probability density $p(r_1, \sigma_2; t_1, t_2)$ for detecting particles behind the respective ports at the times $t_1, t_2$. It depends on the phase settings $\phi_j$ and mirror transmission angles $\theta_j$ of the interferometers, and the dispersive evolution of the DTE state leads to a complicated fringe pattern as a function of $t_1, t_2$, and $\tau$. However, as suggested by the above analogy with a discrete Bell test, a robust quantity characterizing entanglement is obtained by integrating the port-specific probabilities over all times, $P_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = \int p(r_1, \sigma_2; t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2$.

For any reasonable model of the time-of-arrival detection, the correlation function can be equally evaluated by means of the projections $\hat{\Pi}_{\sigma_1} \otimes \hat{\Pi}_{\sigma_2} = \hat{\Pi}_{\sigma'_1} \otimes \hat{\Pi}_{\sigma'_2}$ onto the (unbounded) spatial regions behind the respective ports, $P_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \|\hat{\Pi}_{\sigma'_1} \hat{U}_{\text{c.m.}} |\Psi_{\text{DTE}}\rangle\|^2$.

Since we are only interested in the interferometer output, the effect of dispersion is best incorporated by time-dependent scattering theory, which separates the “raw action” of the interferometers, described by the $S$ matrices $\hat{S}_j$, from the free dispersive time evolution $\hat{U}_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})}$. The projection of the DTE state component $|\psi_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle |\psi_{\text{rel}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle$ onto a particular output-port combination $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ then takes the form

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\sigma'_1} \hat{U}_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})} |\psi_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle |\psi_{\text{rel}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle = \hat{U}_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})} \left( \hat{S}_{\sigma_1}^{(\text{on})} \otimes \hat{S}_{\sigma_2}^{(\text{on})} \right) |\psi_{\text{c.m.}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle |\psi_{\text{rel}}^{(\text{on})}\rangle.$$

Here we assume $t$ to be sufficiently large, so that the wave packets have passed the interferometers, and we take the entrance switches to be in the “on” configuration, i.e., routing towards the long arms. Implementing the phase shifts by the arm-length variations $\epsilon_j$, the projected $S$ matrices $\hat{S}_{\sigma_j}^{(\text{on})} = \hat{\Pi}_{\sigma_j}^{(\text{on})} \hat{S}_j^{(\text{on})}$ are given by $\hat{S}_{\sigma_j}^{(\text{on})} = \exp(i\hat{\rho} \epsilon_j / \hbar) \cos\theta_j$ and $\hat{S}_{\sigma_j}^{(\text{off})} = \exp(i\hat{\rho} \epsilon_j / \hbar) \sin\theta_j$. For the “off” configuration we have correspondingly $\hat{S}_{\sigma_j}^{(\text{off})} = \sin\theta_j$ and $\hat{S}_{\sigma_j}^{(\text{off})} = -\cos\theta_j$.

The setup requires the dispersion-induced broadening of the wave packets to remain much smaller than the separation between the early and the late components, so that the switches can be changed in between. In this case the correlation function $P_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}$ can be evaluated by using Eq. (1) and its variants even for nonpure and nonseparable initial two-particle states, $|\Psi_0\rangle \otimes |\Psi_0\rangle \to |\Psi_0\rangle$. One obtains

$$P_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(\ell_1, \ell_2) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ 1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \text{Re} \left[ e^{-i\phi} \int dp_1 \int dp_2 \exp \left( i \frac{\hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \tau}{\hbar} - i \frac{\hat{\rho}^2}{2m\hbar} \right) \text{pr}(p_1, p_2) \right] \right\},$$

with $\hat{\rho} = (p_1, p_2)^T$, $\hat{\rho} = (\ell_1, \ell_2)^T$, and $\text{pr}(p_1, p_2) = \langle p_1, p_2 | \hat{P} | p_1, p_2 \rangle$ the momentum distribution function. For simplicity we take here the beam splitters to be symmetric ($\theta_j = \pi / 4$) and the particles to have equal mass $m$. Note that (2) is independent of the total time of flight and...
invariant under momentum phase transformations
\[ \langle p_1, p_2 \mid \Psi_0 \rangle = \exp \left[ i \xi(p_1, p_2) \right] \langle p_1, p_2 \mid \Psi_0 \rangle, \]
which includes spatial translations, thus rendering the correlation
\[ P_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(\ell_1, \ell_2) \] a robust signal.

For generic Gaussian states in the center-of-mass and relative motion Eq. (2) can be evaluated in closed form. The variances \( \sigma^2_{p, \text{c.m.}} \) and \( \sigma^2_{p, \text{rel}} \) then determine character-

\[ P_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(\ell_1, \ell_2) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \left( \frac{1 + \tau^2/T_{\text{c.m.}}^2}{1 + \tau^2/T_{\text{rel}}^2} \right)^{1/4} \exp \left[ -\frac{T_{\text{rel}}}{T_{\text{c.m.}}} + \frac{\tau}{\tau + 2v_{\text{rel}} \lambda_{\text{rel}}} \right] \right] \times \cos \left[ \frac{\ell_1 - \ell_2}{\lambda_{\text{rel}}} + \frac{\tau}{T_{\text{c.m.}}^2 + \tau^2} \right] \]

with \( \varphi_0 = \tau v_{\text{rel}} / \lambda_{\text{rel}} \) and \( \arctan(\tau / T_{\text{c.m.}}) + \arctan(\tau / T_{\text{rel}}) + 2 \phi \).

These DTE correlations can violate a Bell inequality. This is seen from the structural similarity of (3) to the correlation function of the standard spin-1/2-based setup,

\[ P_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \{ 1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cos(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \}/4, \]
where the \( \sigma_j = \pm 1 \) denote the spin measurement outcomes for analyzers tilted by the angles \( \varphi_j \) with respect to the Bell state quantization axis. It follows from this analogy that an unambiguous demonstration of entanglement requires the fringe visibility of the correlation signal to exceed \( 1/\sqrt{2} \) over at least a few periods.

The dispersive suppression of this fringe visibility is described by those terms in (3) which depend on the characteristic times \( T_{\text{c.m.}} \) and \( T_{\text{rel}} \). Specifically, the dispersion-induced distortion between the early and the late wave packet components is reflected in the Lorentzian reduction factor and in the quadratic compression of the fringe pattern, while their envelope mismatch causes the Gaussian suppression. Based on this result one finds that nonlocal correlations can be observed, for \( \lambda_{\text{rel}} / (\tau v_{\text{rel}}) \ll 1 \), provided \( (1 + \tau^2/T_{\text{c.m.}}^2) (1 + \tau^2/T_{\text{rel}}^2) < 4 \). In the following, we present a conceivable scenario for the generation of a DTE state, which meets these conditions.

Experimental scenario for a DTE Bell test.—We suggest to use a dilute molecular Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) produced from a 50:50 spin mixture of fermionic \(^6\text{Li}\) as a starting point. It can be prepared efficiently and with near-perfect purity, displaying a huge lifetime of more than 10 s due to the Pauli blocking of detrimental 3-body collisions \[23\]. A truly macroscopic time separation between the two pulses, say \( \tau = 1 \) s, is thus conceivable, and for a realistic dissociation velocity \( v_{\text{rel}} = 1 \) cm/s the de Broglie wavelength \( \lambda_{\text{rel}} = 13.3 \) \( \mu \text{m} \) is large enough to pose viable stability requirements for the interferometers.

The BEC is prepared in a red-detuned, far off-resonant laser beam (transverse trap frequency \( \omega_G/2\pi = 300 \) Hz), strong enough to guide the dissociated atoms towards the single-particle interferometers. The intersection with a second, weak laser beam creates an elongated dipole trap
A Green function analysis within the two-channel single-resonance approach shows that after an arbitrary magnetic field pulse sequence (close to an isolated resonance) $|\Phi_{bg}(t)\rangle$ is described, for low energies, at positions far from the dissociation center, and at large times, by the asymptotic form $|\Phi_{bg}(t)\rangle = C_{bg}|\phi_{cm}^0\rangle|\phi_{rel}^0\rangle U^{(0)}_{zz}\langle \Psi_\zeta|$, where $U^{(0)}_{zz}$ is the free propagator in the longitudinal direction and where the transverse motion is frozen in the harmonic ground state, $|\phi_{cm}^0\rangle$ and $|\phi_{rel}^0\rangle$, respectively, of the guiding laser beam. The longitudinal state is determined by $(p_{cm}, p_{rel}^0)|\Psi_\zeta\rangle = \hat{C}(p_{cm})/4mh + p_{rel}^0/mh + 2\omega_G(p_{cm}, |\Psi_\zeta\rangle/|C|)$, where $\hat{C}(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of the closed channel probability amplitude $C(t)$ and $\|\hat{C}\|^2 = \int dp_{cm} dp_{rel}^0 |\hat{C}(p_{cm})/4mh + p_{rel}^0/mh + 2\omega_G(p_{cm}, |\Psi_\zeta\rangle/|C|)|^2$. $C(t)$, in turn, is determined by the coupled channel dynamics as induced by the externally controlled magnetic field $B(t)$. The dissociation probability is given by $|C_{bg}|^2 = \omega_G a_{bg} \mu_{res} \Delta B_{res}/|\hat{C}|^2/\sqrt{h}$.

It involves the background scattering length $a_{bg}$, the resonance width $\Delta B_{res}$, and $\mu_{res}$, the difference between the magnetic moments of the resonance state and the open channel.

While the association of the molecules is best done at a broad resonance [23], shifting to a narrow resonance (e.g., $\Delta B_{res} = 1$ mG, $\mu_{res} = 0.01 \mu_B$, $a_{bg} = 100 a_0$) one can ensure by choosing field pulses $B(t)$ with short duration (e.g., $T = 60$ ms) that about a single molecule dissociates on average. A sequence of two square pulses with base value $B_0$ and height $\Delta B$ sweeping over the resonance position $B_{res}$ then generates a DTE longitudinal wave packet of the required form, $|\Psi_\zeta\rangle = \frac{U^{(0)}_{zz} + e^{i\phi^0}}{\sqrt{2}}|\Psi_0\rangle$. The momentum distribution is given by $[C(p_{cm}, p_{rel}^0)|\Psi_\zeta\rangle]^2 = p_0^0 p_0^1 \sin^2[(p_{cm}^2)/4 + p_{rel}^0/\Delta p^2]/\pi[(p_{cm}^2)/4 + p_{rel}^0/\Delta p^2]$, where we define $\Delta p^2 = \mu_{res} (B_0 + \Delta B - B_{res}) - 2U_T - h\omega_G$, as well as $p_0^0 = \mu_{res} \Delta B$ and $\Delta p^2 = 2mh/T$ [28]. Instead of evaluating Eq. (2) directly with this momentum distribution, it is more transparent to approximate the latter by Gaussians, which allows one to apply the analysis following Eq. (3). For reasonable pulses $B_0 + \Delta B - B_{res} = 350$ mG these Gaussians are centered at $p_{rel}^0 = \pm m v_{rel}/2$ and $p_{cm} = 0$, with spreads of $\sigma_{p_{cm}} = 1.196 mh/(p_0 T)$ and $\sigma_{p_{rel}} = \sqrt{h\omega_G m/2}$, respectively. This yields $T_{rel} = 3.4$ s and $T_{cm} = 0.64$ s, implying a visibility of about 72% in the correlation signal, which exceeds the threshold value of $1/\sqrt{2}$.

Our analysis thus shows that the observation of a macroscopic DTE state is feasible with material particles, even though dispersion poses tight constraints. The technological challenge is substantial, but not insurmountable. Stable lasers are required and the magnetic pulse sequence must be reproducible with a relative accuracy of $10^{-3}$ from shot to shot, so that the relative phase between the early and late components, given by $\phi^0 \approx (2U_T - \mu_{res} \Delta B + \mu_{res} (B_{res} - B_0)/h + \omega_G T)$, varies less than 50 mrad. Realistic choices of the laser wavelength ($1 \mu m$) and the vacuum pressure ($10^{-8}$ mbar) suffice to suppress decoherence due to scattering of off-resonant photons or background gas particles.

A great advantage of this setup is that no interferometric stability is required between the two interferometers, so that truly macroscopic separations are feasible. Moreover, the DTE state reveals its entanglement robustly since neither a spatial nor temporal resolution is required in the detection.
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[28] The normalization of the momentum distribution assumes $\Delta p, \sigma_{p_{cm}} \ll p_0$, which holds for our parameters.