

FAMILIES OVER CURVES WITH A STRICTLY MAXIMAL HIGGS FIELD

ECKART VIEHWEG AND KANG ZUO

ABSTRACT. We study certain rigid Shimura curves in the moduli scheme of polarized minimal n -folds of Kodaira dimension zero. Those are characterized by some numerical condition on the Deligne extension of the corresponding variation of Hodge structures, or equivalently by the strict maximality of the induced Higgs field. We show that such Shimura curves can not be proper for n odd, and we give some examples, showing that they exist in all dimensions.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a family of n -dimensional complex algebraic varieties, smooth over $U = Y \setminus S$. We will assume that X is projective and non-singular, that Y is a smooth projective curve, and that the general fibre F of f is connected, hence irreducible. Writing $X_0 = f^{-1}(U)$ one has the \mathbb{Q} variations of Hodge structures $R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0}$ of weight k . Usually we will assume that the monodromy around each point $s \in S$ is unipotent and that the family is not birationally isotrivial.

Considered the Deligne extension of $(R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ to Y together with the extension of the Hodge filtration. Taking the graded sheaf one obtains the Higgs bundle

$$(E, \theta) = \left(\bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p,q}, \theta^{p,q} \right)$$

with $E^{p,q} = R^q f_* \Omega_{X/Y}^p(\log \Delta)$, for $\Delta = f^*(S)$. The restriction $\theta^{q,p}$ of the Higgs field to $E^{q,p}$ is given by the edge morphisms

$$R^q f_* \Omega_{X/Y}^p(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow R^{q+1} f_* \Omega_{X/Y}^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)$$

of the p -th wedge product of the tautological sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^* \Omega_Y^1(\log S) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta) \rightarrow 0.$$

In [16] we studied families of Abelian varieties, and $k = 1$. Then $E^{1,0}$ is a direct sum $F^{1,0} \oplus N^{1,0}$ with $F^{1,0}$ ample and $N^{1,0}$ flat, hence $N^{1,0} \subset \text{Ker}(\theta^{1,0})$. Correspondingly, one has $E^{0,1} = F^{0,1} \oplus N^{0,1}$ and E is the direct sum of the Higgs bundles

$$(F = F^{1,0} \oplus F^{0,1}, \theta^{1,0}|_{F^{1,0}}) \quad \text{and} \quad (N^{1,0} \oplus N^{0,1}, 0).$$

In this special case we called the Higgs field maximal if

$$\theta^{1,0} : F^{1,0} \rightarrow F^{0,1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)$$

This work has been supported by the ‘‘DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm Globale Methoden in der Komplexen Geometrie’’. The second named author is supported by a grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. CUHK 4034/02P).

is an isomorphism. The notion “strictly maximal”, introduced below in 1.2, adds the additional condition that $N^{1,0} = N^{0,1} = 0$, or in different terms that $R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0}$ has no unitary part.

The Arakelov inequalities ([5], generalized in [4], [11], [7]) say that

$$2 \cdot \deg(E^{1,0}) \leq \text{rank}(E^{1,0}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)),$$

and this inequality becomes an equality if and only if the Higgs field is strictly maximal.

In this article we extend both, the Arakelov inequalities, and the concept of the strict maximality to variation of Hodge structures \mathbb{X} of weight $k \geq 1$, and we discuss some implications for the shape of the variation of Hodge structures, for their special Mumford-Tate groups and for the rigidity of the family. Let us remark already, that for k even one allows $R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0}$ to have a unitary part \mathbb{U} , as long as it is concentrated in bidegree $(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2})$. Our main interest are variations of Hodge structures of weight $k = n$, given by a family $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with general fibre F a minimal model. We doubt that there are examples with $\kappa(F) > 0$. For $\kappa(F) = 0$ a non-isotrivial family with a strictly maximal Higgs field gives rise to a Shimura curve in the moduli space of polarized manifolds, and parallel to the case of Abelian varieties one is tempted to expect that there are few of such curves.

After giving the definition of strictly maximal Higgs fields in Section 1 we will prove in Section 2 a generalized Arakelov inequality for variations of Hodge structures of weight $k \geq 0$. As for $k = 1$, these inequalities become equalities if and only if the Higgs field is strictly maximal.

C. Simpson’s theory of Higgs bundles will allow in Section 3 to construct certain decompositions for Higgs bundles with a strictly maximal Higgs field. Roughly speaking, as for Abelian varieties [16] or for K3-surfaces [14], they are decomposed in direct sums and tensor products of a fixed \mathbb{C} -variation of Hodge structures \mathbb{L} of weight one and some unitary parts. This decomposition will imply rigidity and some minimality for the special Mumford-Tate group in Section 4.

In case $S \neq \emptyset$ the unipotence of the local monodromies will imply in Section 5 that both, the local system \mathbb{L} and the decomposition can be defined over \mathbb{Q} . This implies that the variation of Hodge structures looks like one given by the n -th cohomology of the n -th product $E \times_Y \cdots \times_Y E$, where $E \rightarrow Y$ is a modular family of elliptic curves. For $S = \emptyset$ and for k odd, we will show next that there are no variations of Hodge structures with a strictly maximal Higgs field and with $h^{k,0} = 1$.

In the final Section 7 we will give examples of families $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of n -dimensional varieties, whose n -th variation of Hodge structures is strictly maximal. Those families are obtained as quotients of families of Abelian varieties, and their general fibre F is a minimal model of Kodaira dimension zero. For $S = \emptyset$ we only have examples of families of Kummer type. In particular they only exist for n even, and they are not Calabi-Yau manifolds, except for $n = 2$. For $S \neq \emptyset$, generalizing a construction due to C. Borcea [1], will construct families of Calabi-Yau varieties by taking certain quotients of products of modular families of elliptic curves, as predicted by Theorem 5.2.

This note grew out of discussions started when the first named author visited the Morningside Center of Mathematics in the Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing. The final version was written during his visit of the Institute of Mathematical Science and the Department of Mathematics at the Chinese University of Hong Kong. He would like to thank the members of those Institutes for their hospitality and help.

1. STRICTLY MAXIMAL HIGGS FIELDS

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbb{X} be a polarized \mathbb{C} variation of Hodge structures of weight k , with Higgs bundle

$$(E, \theta) = \left(\bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p,q}, \theta^{p,q} \right).$$

\mathbb{X} (or (E, θ)) has a strict generically maximal Higgs field, if one has a direct sum decomposition

$$(E, \theta) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k (F_i, \theta|_{F_i}), \tag{1.1.1}$$

with:

- i. If $k - i$ is odd, $F_i = 0$.
- ii. If $k - i$ is even, $F_i^{p,k-p} = F_i \cap E^{p,k-p} = 0$ for $2p < k - i$ and $2p > k + i$.
Moreover, for all p with $k - i + 2 \leq 2p \leq k + i$,

$$\tau_i^{p,k-p} = \theta|_{F_i^{p,k-p}} : F_i^{p,k-p} \longrightarrow F_i^{p-1,k-p+1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)$$

is generically an isomorphisms.

So for $k - i$ even, $F_i^{p,k-p}$ can only be non-zero for

$$p = \frac{k+i}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{k+i-2}{2} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \frac{k-i+2}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{k-i}{2}$$

and all Higgs fields $* \rightarrow * \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)$ (indicated by \Rightarrow) are generically isomorphisms. In particular, if $F_i \neq 0$ the width of (F_i, τ_i) is i .

The polarization of \mathbb{X} induces an isomorphisms

$$(F_i, \tau_i) \simeq (F_i^\vee, \tau_i^\vee)(-k)$$

where $(-k)$ stands for the Tate twist, i.e. for the shift of the bigrading by (k, k) . In particular $\det(F_i)^2 = \mathcal{O}_Y$.

Definition 1.2. \mathbb{X} (or (E, θ)) has a strictly maximal Higgs field, if the morphisms $\tau_i^{p,k-p}$ in 1.1, ii), are all isomorphisms. We will also say that \mathbb{X} (or (E, θ)) is strictly maximal.

The strict maximality of the Higgs field allows to apply Simpson's Correspondence [13] (see also [16] and the references given there).

Lemma 1.3. *Assume that (E, θ) has a strictly maximal Higgs field and let F_i be the maximal component of E of width i . Then $\deg(F_i) = 0$, and $(F_i, \tau_i = \theta|_{F_i})$ is the Higgs bundle corresponding to a polarized variation of Hodge structures \mathbb{V}_i .*

Proof. Write $\theta^{(p)}$ for the iterated map

$$E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S) \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^p.$$

For $i = k$ the sub Higgs bundle (F_k, τ_k) of (E, θ) is given by

$$F_k^{k-p,p} = \theta^{(p)}(E^{k,0}) \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^{-p}.$$

Hence $\deg(F_k^{k-p,p}) = \deg(E^{k,0}) - p \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S))$ and for $p = k$ one finds

$$-\deg(E^{k,0}) = \deg(E^{0,k}) = \deg(E^{k,0}) - k \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)).$$

Adding up, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(F_k) &= k \cdot \deg(E^{k,0}) - \sum_{p=0}^k p \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) = \\ &= k \cdot \deg(E^{k,0}) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Simpson's Correspondence one has a decomposition $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{V}_k \oplus \mathbb{W}$, which one may choose orthogonal with respect to the polarization. \mathbb{W} is again a variation of Hodge structures, concentrated in bidegrees

$$(k-1, 1), \dots, (1, k-1).$$

The Tate twist $\mathbb{W}(1)$ is a variation of Hodge structures of weight $k-2$, obviously again with a strictly maximal Higgs field. By induction on the weight of the variation of Hodge structures one obtains 1.3. \square

Definition 1.4.

- a. Assume that \mathbb{X} has a strictly maximal Higgs field. We call (F_i, τ_i) in 1.1 (or the local system \mathbb{V}_i corresponding to the Higgs bundle (F_i, τ_i)) the strictly maximal pure component of \mathbb{X} of width i .
- b. \mathbb{X} has a strictly maximal and pure Higgs field (or \mathbb{X} is a strictly maximal and pure variation of Hodge structures) if it only has one strictly maximal pure component, i.e. if $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{V}_i$, for some i .

So \mathbb{X} is a strictly maximal and pure variation of Hodge structures, if and only if all the

$$\theta^{p,q} : E^{p,q} \longrightarrow E^{p-1,q+1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)$$

are isomorphisms, except if $E^{p,q} = 0$ or $E^{p-1,q+1} = 0$.

Remark 1.5. The decomposition (1.1.1) gives rise to a decomposition of \mathbb{C} local systems:

$$\mathbb{X} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{V}_{2i+1}, \quad \text{for } k \text{ odd}, \quad (1.5.1)$$

$$\mathbb{X} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{V}_{2i}, \quad \text{for } k \text{ even}, \quad (1.5.2)$$

where the \mathbb{V}_j are all zero or strictly maximal and pure of width j . In particular, \mathbb{X} can only have a unitary sub-system \mathbb{U} for k even, and $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{V}_0$ in this case.

By [16], 3.3, in case \mathbb{X} is a polarized $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ variation of Hodge structures, the decomposition (1.5.1) can be defined again over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$, and orthogonal with respect to the polarization.

Remarks 1.6. As mentioned in the introduction, in [16] for the maximality of Higgs field, of variation of Hodge structures of weight 1, one also allows unitary sub-systems of width 1, and in [14] for families of K3-surfaces one also allows Higgs fields with zero second iterated Kodaira Spencer map. Those are again maximal in a certain sense, but not strictly maximal.

2. ARAKELOV INEQUALITIES

In this section we consider the Higgs bundle

$$(E, \theta) = \left(\bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p,q}, \theta^{p,q} \right)$$

corresponding to the Deligne extension of a polarized complex variation of Hodge structures \mathbb{X} of weight k on $Y \setminus S$. We will assume that the local monodromies around the points in S are all unipotent. Let us write

$$E_0^{p,q} = \ker(\theta^{p,q} : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p-1,q+1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)), \quad h_0^{p,q} = \text{rk} E_0^{p,q}.$$

[7] and [11] contains the proof of generalized Arakelov inequalities:

If $k = 2l + 1$, then

$$\deg E^{k,0} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}(h^{k-l,l} - h_0^{k-l,l}) + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (h^{k-j,j} - h_0^{k-j,j}) \right) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)).$$

If $k = 2l$,

$$\deg E^{k,0} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (h^{j,k-j} - h_0^{j,k-j}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)).$$

We will show a slightly different inequality, for which the upper bound is reached, if and only if the Higgs field is strictly maximal.

Proposition 2.1.

a. For all $\nu \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ one has

$$\deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) \leq \frac{k-2\nu}{2} \cdot (h^{k-\nu,\nu} - h_0^{k-\nu,\nu}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)).$$

b. One has

$$0 \leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) \leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{k-2\nu}{2} \cdot (h^{k-\nu,\nu} - h_0^{k-\nu,\nu}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)).$$

c. Let μ be the smallest natural number with $\theta^{k-\mu,\mu} \neq 0$. Then

$$0 < \deg(E^{k-\mu,\mu}).$$

In particular $\deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) > 0$, if $\theta \neq 0$.

d. If $\theta \neq 0$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) For all $\nu \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$

$$\deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) = \frac{k-2\nu}{2} \cdot h^{k-\nu,\nu} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)).$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{k-2\nu}{2} \cdot h^{k-\nu,\nu} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)).$$

(iii) (E, θ) has a strictly maximal Higgs field.

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a non-isotrivial family with smooth part $V \rightarrow U$, and if ω_F is semi-ample for a general fibre F of f , then by [15]

$$\deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) > 0.$$

2.1, c), is the corresponding statement for variations of Hodge structures.

Proof of 2.1. Fix some $\nu \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ and write

$$A = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{\nu} E^{k-q,q} = E^{k,0} \oplus \dots \oplus E^{k-\nu,\nu}.$$

The sub Higgs bundle $\langle A \rangle$ of E , generated by A , contains A as a direct factor. Writing $\langle A \rangle = A \oplus B'$, and $\theta^{(j)}$ for the iterated map

$$E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S) \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^j,$$

one has

$$B' = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k-\nu} \theta^{(j)}(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^{-j} = \bigoplus_{q=\nu+1}^k B^{k-q,q} \subset \bigoplus_{q=\nu+1}^k E^{k-q,q}.$$

By definition $A \oplus B'$ is a sub Higgs bundle of E , hence [13] implies

$$\deg(A) + \deg(B') \leq 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$A^\vee = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{\nu} E^{k-q,q^\vee} = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{\nu} E^{q,k-q} = \bigoplus_{q=k-\nu}^k E^{k-q,q}$$

also is a Higgs sub-bundle, and

$$-\deg(A^\vee) = \deg(A) = \sum_{q=0}^{\nu} \deg(E^{k-q,q}) \geq 0.$$

For $\nu = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ we obtain the first inequality in b). The second one is the sum of the inequalities in a), which we will verify below.

For all $\nu \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ [13] implies that $\deg(A^\vee) = 0$, if and only if $(A^\vee, \theta|_{A^\vee})$ is a direct factor of (E, θ) . Equivalently $(A, \theta|_A)$ is a direct factor of (E, θ) , or $B' = 0$. The latter is equivalent to $\theta^{(1)}(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) = 0$ hence to $\theta^{k-\nu,\nu} = 0$.

In c) we assumed that $\theta^{k-\mu-i,\mu+i} = 0$ for all $i > 0$, whereas $\theta^{k-\mu,\mu} \neq 0$. This implies

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\mu-i} \deg(E^{k-q,q}) = 0, \quad \text{for } i > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{q=1}^{\mu} \deg(E^{k-q,q}) > 0,$$

and thereby $\deg(E^{k-\mu,\mu}) > 0$.

Let us consider next the Higgs bundle

$$A \oplus B \oplus \bigoplus_{q=k-\nu+1}^k E^{k-q,q} \quad \text{where}$$

$$B = \bigoplus_{q=\nu+1}^{k-\nu} B^{k-q,q} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k-2\nu} \theta^{(j)}(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^{-j}.$$

Up to one factor $E^{\nu,k-\nu}$ the last term of this decomposition coincides with A^\vee , and one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) &= \deg(A) + \sum_{q=k-\nu+1}^k \deg(E^{k-q,q}) \leq -\deg(B) = \\ &= -\sum_{q=\nu+1}^{k-\nu} \deg(B^{k-q,q}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{k-2\nu} \deg(B^{k-\nu-j,\nu+j}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.1)$$

By the choice of the $B^{q,p}$ the Higgs field θ induces surjections

$$E^{k-\nu,\nu} \longrightarrow B^{k-\nu-1,\nu+1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S), \quad \text{and, for } j > 0 \quad (2.1.2)$$

$$B^{k-\nu-j,\nu+j} \longrightarrow B^{k-\nu-j-1,\nu+j+1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S) \quad (2.1.3)$$

whose kernels, as a Higgs sub-bundles of E , have a non-positive degree. Writing $B^{k-\nu,\nu} = E^{k-\nu,\nu}$, for a moment, one obtains for $j \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(B^{k-\nu-j,\nu+j}) &\leq \\ &\leq \deg(B^{k-\nu-j-1,\nu+j+1}) + \text{rank}(B^{k-\nu-j-1,\nu+j+1}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)), \end{aligned}$$

and thereby

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) &\leq \deg(B^{k-\nu-1,\nu+1}) + \text{rank}(B^{k-\nu-1,\nu+1}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) \\ &\leq \deg(B^{k-\nu-j,\nu+j}) + \sum_{i=1}^j \text{rank}(B^{k-\nu-i,\nu+i}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)). \end{aligned}$$

So the right hand side of (2.1.1) has an upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\sum_{j=1}^{k-2\nu} \deg(B^{k-\nu-j,\nu+j}) \leq \\ &= -(k-2\nu) \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^j \text{rank}(B^{k-\nu-i,\nu+i}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)). \end{aligned}$$

Composing the surjections (2.1.2) and (2.1.3) one obtains surjections

$$E^{k-\nu,\nu} \longrightarrow B^{k-\nu-i,\nu+i} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^j.$$

In particular $\text{rank}(B^{k-\nu-i,\nu+i})$ is smaller than or equal to $h^{k-\nu,\nu} - h_0^{k-\nu,\nu}$. Altogether one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) \leq \\
& -(k-2\nu) \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^j \text{rank}(B^{k-\nu-i,\nu+i}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) \leq \\
& -(k-2\nu) \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^j (h^{k-\nu,\nu} - h_0^{k-\nu,\nu}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) = \\
& -(k-2\nu) \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2\nu} j \cdot (h^{k-\nu,\nu} - h_0^{k-\nu,\nu}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) = \\
& -(k-2\nu) \deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) + \\
& \quad \frac{(k-2\nu)(k-2\nu+1)}{2} (h^{k-\nu,\nu} - h_0^{k-\nu,\nu}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) \leq \frac{(k-2\nu)}{2} (h^{k-\nu,\nu} - h_0^{k-\nu,\nu}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S))$$

as claimed in a).

If $\theta \neq 0$ one finds some μ satisfying the condition pose in c). The inequality a) for $\nu = \mu$ implies that $\deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) > 0$. Using a) and b) one finds that d), (i), and d), (ii), are equivalent, and obviously both hold true if (E, θ) has a strictly maximal Higgs field.

Since we assume in d) that $\theta \neq 0$, c) implies that $\deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) > 0$. It remains to show that this condition together with the equations in d), (i), force the Higgs field to be strictly maximal.

By a), for $\nu = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) & \leq \frac{k-2\nu}{2} \cdot (h^{k-\nu,\nu} - h_0^{k-\nu,\nu}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) \leq \\
& \frac{k-2\nu}{2} \cdot h^{k-\nu,\nu} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)). \tag{2.1.4}
\end{aligned}$$

The equations d), (i), say that both inequalities in (2.1.4) are equalities, hence $h_0^{k-\nu,\nu} = 0$. So/

$$\text{rank}(B^{k-\nu-j-1,\nu+j+1}) = h^{k-\nu,\nu},$$

and the surjections (2.1.2) and (2.1.3) are isomorphisms. Hence for all ν

$$\deg(E^{k-\nu,\nu}) = \deg(B^{k-\nu-j,\nu+j}) + j \cdot h^{k-\nu,\nu} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)). \tag{2.1.5}$$

As in the proof of 1.3 the equality (2.1.5) allows recursively to decompose the Higgs bundle (E, θ) :

For $\nu = 0$ choose the sub Higgs bundle (F_k, τ_k) of with $F_k^{k,0} = E^{k,0}$ and with

$$F_k^{k-j,j} = B^{k-j,j} = \theta^j(E^{k,0}) \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^{-j}.$$

By (2.1.5) and d), (i), for $\nu = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(F_k) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \deg(F_k^{k-j,j}) = (k+1) \cdot \deg(E^{k,0}) - \sum_{j=1}^k j \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) = \\ &= (k+1) \cdot \deg(E^{k,0}) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} j \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Simpson's Correspondence [13] there exists a decomposition $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{V}_k \oplus \mathbb{W}$, where \mathbb{W} is a variation of Hodge structures, concentrated in bidegrees

$$(k-1, 1), \dots, (1, k-1),$$

and where \mathbb{V}_k is strictly maximal and pure of width k . The Tate twist $\mathbb{W}(1)$ is a variation of Hodge structures of weight $k-2$. If we denote by (E_1, θ_1) the corresponding Higgs field, and by $h_1^{p-1, q-1}$ the rank of $E_1^{p-1, q-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E^{k-\nu-1, \nu+1} &= E_1^{k-2-\nu, \nu} \oplus \theta^{(\nu+1)}(E^{k,0}) \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)^{-\nu-1} \\ \text{and } h_1^{k-2-\nu, \nu} &= h^{k-\nu-1, \nu+1} - h^{k,0}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor} \deg(E_1^{k-2-\nu, \nu}) = \\ &\sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor} (\deg(E^{k-\nu-1, \nu+1}) - (\nu+1) \cdot h^{k,0} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S))) = \\ &\sum_{\nu=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (\deg(E^{k-\nu, \nu}) - \nu \cdot h^{k,0} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S))) = \\ &\sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (\deg(E^{k-\nu, \nu}) - \nu \cdot h^{k,0} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S))) - \deg(E^{k,0}). \end{aligned}$$

Using d), (i), for $\nu = 0$, and d), (ii), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor} \deg(E_1^{k-2-\nu, \nu}) = \\ &\sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{k-2\nu}{2} \cdot (h^{k-\nu, \nu} - h^{k,0}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) - \deg(E^{k,0}) = \\ &\sum_{\nu=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{k-2\nu}{2} \cdot (h^{k-\nu, \nu} - h^{k,0}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) = \\ &\sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor} \frac{k-2-2\nu}{2} \cdot h_1^{k-2-\nu, \nu} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)) = \\ &\sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor} \frac{k-2-2\nu}{2} \cdot h_1^{k-2-\nu, \nu} \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)). \end{aligned}$$

Thereby $\mathbb{W}(1)$ satisfies again the equality d), (ii), hence d), (i) as well, and by induction on the weight of the variation of Hodge structures one obtains the decomposition asked for in 1.1 and 1.2. \square

3. DECOMPOSITIONS OF HIGGS BUNDLES WITH STRICTLY MAXIMAL HIGGS FIELDS

As in [16], 1.2 and 1.4, one obtains as a corollary of [13]:

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the Higgs field of a polarized \mathbb{C} variation of Hodge structures \mathbb{X} of weight k is strictly maximal. Then for each of the strictly maximal pure components F_i of width i the sheaves $F_i^{p,k-p}$ are poly-stable.*

Proof. Recall that $F_i^{p,k-p} \neq 0$ if and only if $k-i$ is even and $k-i \leq 2p \leq k+i$.

Since the Higgs field is given by isomorphisms, it is sufficient to show that for $\bar{p} = \frac{k+i}{2}$ the sheaf $F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}}$ is poly-stable. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}}$ be a sub-bundle and define inductively

$$\mathcal{A}^{p-1,k-p+1} = \tau_i(\mathcal{A}^{p,k-p}) \otimes (\Omega_Y^1(\log S))^{-1} \subset F_i^{p-1,k-p+1}.$$

Restricting the Higgs field, one finds that

$$\left(\bigoplus_{p=\bar{p}-i}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{A}^{p,k-p}, \tau_i \right)$$

is a sheaf, underlying a sub Higgs bundle of (F_i, τ_i) . Hence by [13]

$$\sum_{p=\bar{p}-i}^{\bar{p}} \deg(\mathcal{A}^{p,k-p}) \leq \sum_{p=\bar{p}-i}^{\bar{p}} \deg(F_i^{p,k-p}) = 0. \quad (3.1.1)$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{p=\bar{p}-i}^{\bar{p}} \deg(\mathcal{A}^{p,k-p}) = i \cdot \deg(\mathcal{A}) + i \cdot \sum_{p=\bar{p}-i}^{\bar{p}} \text{rank}(\mathcal{A}) \cdot \deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S)).$$

Since the same equation holds true for $F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}}$ instead of \mathcal{A} , (3.1.1) implies that

$$\frac{\deg(\mathcal{A})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{A})} \leq \frac{\deg(F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}})}{\text{rank}(F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}})}. \quad (3.1.2)$$

Hence $F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}}$ is semi-stable. If (3.1.2) is an equality,

$$\left(\bigoplus_{p=\bar{p}-i}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{A}^{p,k-p}, \tau \right)$$

must be a direct factor of (F_i, τ_i) , hence \mathcal{A} is a direct factor of $F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}}$. \square

Remark 3.2. Assume that $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$ and that the Higgs field (E, θ) is non-zero.

a. The strict maximality of the Higgs field implies by 3.1 that

$$F_i^{\frac{k+i}{2}, \frac{k-i}{2}} = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\nu) \quad (3.2.1)$$

for some ν , and that $2 \cdot \nu = i \cdot (-2 + \#S)$. If k is odd, hence $F_i \neq 0$ for some odd number i , one finds $\#S$ to be even.

- b. Independently of k one can always arrange the decomposition in (3.2.1) such that $(F_i, \tau_i) = \bigoplus (N_i, \rho_i)$ where N_i is a rank $i + 1$ Higgs bundle with $N_i^{p,q}$ at most one dimensional, and with a strictly maximal pure Higgs field.

Remark 3.3. From now on we will frequently assume that $\#S$ is even. If Y is a curve of genus $g > 0$, this holds true after replacing Y by an étale covering of degree 2. For $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$, and for k odd, this assumption follows from the strict maximality of the Higgs field. For $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$ and k even, one may have to replace Y by a twofold covering, ramified in two points of S .

If $\#S$ is even, we call an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} a logarithmic theta characteristic, if there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_Y^1(\log S) \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \sigma : \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S).$$

So $(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1}, \sigma)$ is an indecomposable Higgs field of degree zero, hence it corresponds to a local \mathbb{C} system \mathbb{L} . This system is uniquely determined by Y , up to the tensor product with local systems given by two division points in $\text{Pic}(Y)$.

Let us fix once for all one of those local systems \mathbb{L} . We will regard \mathbb{L} as a \mathbb{C} variation of Hodge structures of weight 1 and width 1, hence concentrated in bidegrees $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$. Then $S^i(\mathbb{L})$ has weight i and width i . Given k with $k - i$ even, the Tate twist $S^i(\mathbb{L})(-\frac{k-i}{2})$ is a \mathbb{C} variation of Hodge structures of weight k and width i .

The components of the Higgs bundle of $S^i(\mathbb{L})(-\frac{k-i}{2})$ are concentrated in bidegrees $(p, k - p)$ for

$$p = \frac{k-i}{2}, \frac{k-i}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{k+i}{2},$$

and for $p = \frac{k+i-2\mu}{2}$ the corresponding component is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^{i-2\mu}$. The Higgs field is induced by σ , hence an isomorphism, and we will denote it by

$$S^{i-2\mu}(\sigma) : \mathcal{L}^{i-2\mu} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{i-2\mu-2} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S).$$

In particular $S^i(\mathbb{L})(-\frac{k-i}{2})$ is strictly maximal and pure.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume again that \mathbb{X} is a \mathbb{C} variation of Hodge structures of weight k and with a strictly maximal Higgs field. Assume in addition that $\#S$ is even. Using the notations from 1.4, let \mathbb{V}_i be the strictly maximal pure component of \mathbb{X} of width i . Then one has decompositions*

$$\mathbb{V}_i = S^i(\mathbb{L})(-\frac{k-i}{2}) \otimes \mathbb{T}_i, \tag{3.4.1}$$

where each \mathbb{T}_i is a unitary local system and a \mathbb{C} variation of Hodge structures concentrated in bidegree $(0, 0)$.

Proof. By assumption $\deg(\Omega_Y^1(\log S))$ is even, hence that we can choose a logarithmic theta characteristic \mathcal{L} .

Let (F_i, τ) denote the Higgs bundle corresponding to \mathbb{V}_i . The strict maximality of the Higgs field implies that for $\frac{k-i}{2} < p \leq \bar{p} = \frac{k+i}{2}$

$$\tau^{p, k-p} : F_i^{p, k-p} \longrightarrow F_i^{p-1, k-p+1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)$$

is an isomorphism. Let us write g for the rank of those sheaves. Then $\det(F_i^{p,k-p}) \simeq \det(F_i^{p-1,k-p+1}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{2g}$, and

$$0 = \sum_{p=\bar{p}-i}^{\bar{p}} \deg(F_i^{p,k-p}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^i \deg(F_i^{\bar{p}-i,k+i-\bar{p}}) \cdot 2\nu g \deg(\mathcal{L}).$$

One obtains

$$\deg(F_i^{\bar{p}-i,k+i-\bar{p}}) = -ig \deg(\mathcal{L}), \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}}) = ig \deg(\mathcal{L}), \quad (3.4.2)$$

Choose $\mathcal{T} = F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-i}$ with trivial Higgs field. 3.1 implies that this sheaf is poly-stable, and by (3.4.2) its degree is zero. Hence $(\mathcal{T}, 0)$ corresponds to a unitary \mathbb{C} local system \mathbb{T} , which we may regard as a \mathbb{C} variation of polarized Hodge structures, concentrated in bidegree $(0, 0)$.

The $\bar{p} - \mu, k - \bar{p} + \mu$ component of the Higgs bundle corresponding to the local system $S^i(\mathbb{L})(-\frac{k-i}{2}) \otimes \mathbb{T}$ is given by $\mathcal{L}^{i-2\mu} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ and the Higgs field by $S^{i-2\mu}(\sigma) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}}$.

The isomorphism $\tau^{\bar{p}-\mu, k-\bar{p}+\mu}$, together with $\Omega_Y^1(\log S) \simeq \mathcal{L}^2$, induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} = F_i^{\bar{p},k-\bar{p}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-i} &\xrightarrow[\simeq]{\delta_\mu} F_i^{\bar{p}-\mu, k-\bar{p}+\mu} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-i+2\mu} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \\ &F_i^{\bar{p}-\mu-1, k-\bar{p}+\mu+1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-i+2(\mu-1)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_i^{\bar{p}-i, k+i-\bar{p}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^i. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of the different isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}^{i-2\mu} \otimes \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{S^{i-2\mu}(\sigma) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}}} & \mathcal{L}^{i-2(\mu-1)} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S) \otimes \mathcal{T} \\ \text{id}_{\mathcal{L}^{i-2\mu}} \otimes \delta_\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{L}^{i-2(\mu-1)} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log S)} \otimes \delta_{\mu-1} \\ F_i^{\bar{p}-\mu, k-\bar{p}+\mu} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_Y^1(\log S) \otimes F_i^{\bar{p}-\mu-1, k-\bar{p}+\mu+1} \end{array}$$

commutes, hence the two Higgs bundles are isomorphic. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $\mathbb{X}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is a variation of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ Hodge structures, and that $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field. Assume moreover, that $\#S$ is even. Then, after replacing Y by a finite étale covering, the tensor product decomposition in 3.4 can be defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. To be more precise, there exist a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ local system $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}}$, and a unitary $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ local system $\mathbb{T}_{i\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}}$ with*

$$\mathbb{V}_{i, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}} = S^i(\mathbb{L}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})\left(-\frac{k-i}{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{T}_{i\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{X}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{V}_{i, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}}.$$

Proof. By 1.5 $\mathbb{X}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{V}_{i, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ for some $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ variations of Hodge structures $\mathbb{V}_{i, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ of width i , each one with a strictly maximal and pure Higgs field.

Hence we may restrict ourselves to the case that $\mathbb{X}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} = \mathbb{V}_{i, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$. Then the proof is similar to the one given [16], 3.7, ii), a) and b):

Consider for $j = \frac{k-i}{2}$ the isomorphism of local systems

$$\phi : S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j) \otimes \mathbb{T}_i \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{V}_i$$

and the induced isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j) \otimes \mathbb{T}_i) = \\ \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j)) \oplus \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(\mathbb{T}_i) \otimes \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j)) \oplus \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(\mathbb{T}_i) \xrightarrow{\phi^2} \mathbb{E}\text{nd}(\mathbb{V}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi^2 \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(\mathbb{T}_i)$ is the unitary part of this decomposition, by [16], 3.3, it is defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$, as well as

$$\phi^2(\mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j)) \oplus \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(\mathbb{T}_i) \otimes \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j))).$$

The $j, -j$ part of the Higgs field corresponding to $\phi^2 \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j))$ has rank one, and its Higgs field is strictly maximal. Hence $\phi^2 \mathbb{E}\text{nd}_0(S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j))$ is irreducible, and by [16], 3.2, it is isomorphic to a local system, defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. So

$$\mathbb{T}_i \otimes \mathbb{T}_i \simeq \mathbb{E}\text{nd}(\mathbb{T}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j) \otimes S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j) \simeq \mathbb{E}\text{nd}(S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j))$$

are both isomorphic to local systems defined over some real number field K' .

Consider for $\nu = 2$ and $\mu = i$ or $\nu = g_0$ and $\mu = 1$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(U, \text{Sl}(\nu^{2\mu}))$ of reductive representations of $\pi(U, *)$ into $\text{Sl}(\nu^{2\mu})$. It is a quasi-projective variety defined over \mathbb{Q} .

$$S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j) \otimes S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j) \quad (\text{or } \mathbb{T}_i \otimes \mathbb{T}_i)$$

is defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, which implies that its isomorphism class in $\mathcal{M}(U, \text{Sl}(\nu^{2\mu}))$ is a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ valued point.

The morphism induced by the second tensor product of the μ -th symmetric product

$$\rho : \mathcal{M}(U, \text{Sl}(\nu)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(U, \text{Sl}(\nu^{2\mu}))$$

is clearly defined over \mathbb{Q} . As Simpson has shown (see [16], 3.4) ρ is finite, hence the fibre

$$\rho^{-1}([S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j) \otimes S^i(\mathbb{L})(-j)]) \quad (\text{or } \rho^{-1}([\mathbb{T}_i \otimes \mathbb{T}_i]))$$

consists of finitely many $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued points, hence \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{T}_i can both be defined over a number field $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Finally, passing to an étale covering \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{T}_i can both be assumed to be defined over \mathbb{R} . In fact, the local system $\bar{\mathbb{L}}$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field, hence its Higgs field is of the form $(\mathcal{L}' \oplus \mathcal{L}'^{-1}, \tau')$ where \mathcal{L}' is a theta characteristic. Hence it differs from \mathcal{L} at most by the tensor product with a two torsion point in $\text{Pic}^0(Y)$. Replacing Y by an étale covering, we may assume $\mathbb{L} = \bar{\mathbb{L}}$. Finally, if $(\mathcal{T}_i, 0)$ denotes the Higgs field of \mathbb{T}_i , and $(\mathcal{T}'_i, 0)$ the one of $\bar{\mathbb{T}}_i$, then $\mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}^i \simeq F_i^{j, k-j}$, whereas $\mathcal{T}'_i \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-i} \simeq F_i^{k-j, j}$. Since the complex conjugation interchanges $F_i^{j, k-j}$ and $F_i^{k-j, j}$ as well as \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}^{-1} , one obtains that $\bar{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{T}$. \square

4. RIGIDITY AND THE SPECIAL MUMFORD TATE GROUP

Let us shortly recall the definition of the special Mumford-Tate group (called Hodge group in [8] and [9], see also [2] and [12]). For a general fibre F consider the Hodge structure $H^k(F, \mathbb{Q})$. The special Mumford-Tate group $\text{Hg}(F)$ is defined in as the largest \mathbb{Q} algebraic subgroup of $\text{Gl}(H^k(F, \mathbb{Q}))$, which leaves all Hodge tensors invariant, i.e. all elements

$$\eta \in \left[\left(\bigotimes^m H^k(F, \mathbb{Q}) \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes^{m'} H^k(F, \mathbb{Q})^\vee \right) \right]^{\frac{k(m-m')}{2}, \frac{k(m-m')}{2}}.$$

$Hg(F)$ is a reductive group.

For a smooth family of manifolds $f : X_0 \rightarrow U$ with $F = f^{-1}(y)$ for some $y \in U$, and for the corresponding \mathbb{Q} variation of polarized Hodge structures $R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0}$, consider Hodge tensors η on F which remain Hodge tensors under parallel transform. One defines the special Mumford-Tate group $Hg(R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0})$ as the largest \mathbb{Q} subgroup which leaves all those Hodge cycles invariant ([2], §7, or [12], 2.2).

Lemma 4.1.

- a. $Hg(R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0})$ coincides for all y' in an open dense subset of U with the special Mumford-Tate group $Hg(f^{-1}(y'))$. In particular it is reductive.
- b. Let G^{Mon} denote the smallest reductive \mathbb{Q} subgroup of $\text{Gl}(H^k(F, \mathbb{R}))$, containing the image Γ of the monodromy representation

$$\gamma : \pi_0(U) \longrightarrow \text{Gl}(H^k(F, \mathbb{R})).$$

Then the connected component G_0^{Mon} of one inside G^{Mon} is a subgroup of $Hg(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0})$.

- c. If $\#S$ is even and if $R^k f_* \mathbb{C}_{X_0}$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field

$$G_0^{\text{Mon}} = Hg(R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0}).$$

Recall that the assumption in 4.1, c), implies that the unitary part $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{V}_0$ of $R^k f_* \mathbb{C}_{X_0}$ is either trivial, or for k even concentrated in bidegree $(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2})$.

Proof. a) has been verified in [12], 2.3. As explained in [2], §7, or [12], 2.4, the Mumford-Tate group contains a subgroup of Γ of finite index. The same argument works for the special Mumford-Tate and b) holds true.

Since the special Mumford-Tate group is reductive, part a) implies that $Hg(R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0})$ is reductive. So

$$G_0^{\text{Mon}} \subset Hg(R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0})$$

is an inclusion of reductive groups. The proof of 3.1, (c), in [3] carries over to show that both groups are equal, if they leave the same tensors

$$\eta \in \left(\bigotimes^m H^k(F, \mathbb{Q}) \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes^{m'} H^k(F, \mathbb{Q})^\vee \right)$$

invariant. Hence for c) one just has to verify, that such a tensor η can only be invariant under G_0^{Mon} or under Γ , if it is of bidegree $(\frac{k(m-m')}{2}, \frac{k(m-m')}{2})$.

For $\eta \in \left(\bigotimes^m H^k(F, \mathbb{Q}) \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes^{m'} H^k(F, \mathbb{Q})^\vee \right)$, invariant under Γ , let $\tilde{\eta}$ be the corresponding global section of

$$\mathbb{V}(m, m')_{\mathbb{Q}} = \left(\bigotimes^m (R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0}) \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes^{m'} (R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0})^\vee \right).$$

By 3.4 one has a decomposition

$$R^k f_* \mathbb{C}_{X_0} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{V}_i \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} \left(S^i(\mathbb{L}) \left(-\frac{k-i}{2} \right) \otimes \mathbb{T}_i \right),$$

where \mathbb{T}_i is a unitary local system and a \mathbb{C} variation of Hodge structures concentrated in bidegree $(0, 0)$, and where $S^i(\mathbb{L}) \left(-\frac{k-i}{2} \right)$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field of width i . In order to prove c) it remains to verify for

$$\mathbb{V}(m, m') = \mathbb{V}(m, m')_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} :$$

Claim 4.2. $H_0(U, \mathbb{V}(m, m'))^{p,q} = 0$ for $(p, q) \neq (\frac{k(m-m')}{2}, \frac{k(m-m')}{2})$.

Proof. By [6], page 80, $\mathbb{V}(m, m')$ decomposes in a direct sum of local sub systems, each of which is a tensor product of Schur functors \mathbb{S}_λ , for certain partitions λ , applied to one of the local systems $\mathbb{U}, \mathbb{U}^\vee, \mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}^\vee, \mathbb{T}_i$, and \mathbb{T}_i^\vee , for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Since \mathbb{L} is of rank two, and since \mathbb{L} is self dual, the only possible Schur functors with $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathbb{L})$ or $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathbb{L}^\vee)$ non zero, are isomorphic to $S^\nu(\mathbb{L})$, for $\nu \geq 0$. They all have a strictly maximal and pure Higgs field of width > 0 , except of $S^0(\mathbb{L}) \simeq (\wedge^2(\mathbb{L}))^a$. In fact, as a variation of Hodge structures, the latter will always be concentrated in some bidegree (ι_1, ι_1) .

Note furthermore that all $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathbb{U}), \mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathbb{U}^\vee), \mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathbb{T}_i)$ or $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathbb{T}_i^\vee)$ are unitary of bidegree (ι_2, ι_2) , for some ι_2 . The same remains true for all their tensor products.

Consider a typical direct factor

$$\mathbb{M} = S^\nu(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda_0}(\mathbb{U}) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda'_0} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} (\mathbb{S}_{\lambda_i}(\mathbb{T}_i) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda'_i}(\mathbb{T}_i^\vee))$$

of $\mathbb{V}(m, m')$. Taking the tensor product of a local system with a strictly maximal pure Higgs field with a unitary local system, one obtains again a local system with a strictly maximal pure Higgs field. Since a variation of Hodge structures of weight > 0 and with a strictly maximal pure Higgs field can not have any global section, one finds that $H^0(U, \mathbb{M}) = 0$, except for $\nu = 0$. In the latter case, seen as a variation of Hodge structures, \mathbb{M} is concentrated in bidegree (ι, ι) , hence $H^0(U, \mathbb{M})$, as well. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-isotrivial semi-stable family of n -dimensional varieties, with $X_0 \rightarrow U = Y \setminus S$ smooth. Assume that for a general fibre F of f the local Torelli theorem holds true. If $R^n f_* \mathbb{C}_{X_0}$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is rigid, i.e. there exists no non-trivial smooth deformations $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow U \times T$ of $f : X_0 \rightarrow U$.*

Proof. By [5] (see also [10]) the rigidity follows from the vanishing of

$$\text{End}(R^n f_* \mathbb{C}_{X_0})^{-1,1}.$$

Using the notation introduced in the proof of 4.1, this group is

$$H^0(U, \mathbb{V}(1, 1))^{-1,1} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbb{V}(1, 1) = R^n f_* \mathbb{C}_{X_0} \otimes R^n f_* \mathbb{C}_{X_0}^\vee,$$

hence zero by 4.2. \square

5. SPLITTING OVER \mathbb{Q} FOR $S \neq \emptyset$

As in [16] we will show in this section that, replacing Y by an étale covering (or by a degree two covering ramified in two points for $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$), the local system \mathbb{L} can be defined over \mathbb{Q} and that the unitary local systems \mathbb{T}_i in 3.4 can be assumed to be trivial, i.e. a direct sum of copies of \mathbb{C} . We will need the following well known property of the trace.

Proposition 5.1. *Fixing a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a polynomial $p_n(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of degree n and with the leading coefficient 1, such that*

$$\text{tr}(\text{Sym}^n(M)) = p_n(\text{tr}(M)), \quad \text{for all} \quad M \in \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. We may assume M is of Jordan normal form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \alpha \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

which acts on a two dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{C} with a basis $\{x, y\}$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \alpha \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the basis $\{x^n, x^{n-1}y, x^{n-2}y^2, \dots, y^n\}$ for $\text{Sym}^n V$ one finds

$$\text{tr}(\text{Sym}^n(M)) = \lambda^n + \lambda^{n-2} + \lambda^{n-4} + \dots + \lambda^{-n}.$$

It is easy to see there exist integers $a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^n + \lambda^{n-2} + \lambda^{n-4} + \dots + \lambda^{-n} = \\ & (\lambda + \lambda^{-1})^n + a_{n-1}(\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^{(n-1)-2} + \dots + \lambda^{-(n-1)}) + \\ & a_{n-2}(\lambda^{n-2} + \lambda^{(n-2)-2} + \dots + \lambda^{-(n-2)}) + \dots + a_0 = \\ & (\text{tr}(M))^n + a_{n-1}\text{tr}(\text{Sym}^{n-1}(M)) + a_{n-2}\text{tr}(\text{Sym}^{n-2}(M)) + \dots + a_0. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows by induction on n . \square

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that $S = Y \setminus U \neq \emptyset$, that $\#S$ is even, and that $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a non-constant polarized \mathbb{Q} variation of Hodge structures of weight k with unipotent monodromies around all $s \in S$. If $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field, then, after replacing Y by an étale covering, there exists a rank 2 polarized \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structures \mathbb{L} with an \mathbb{Q} -Hodge isometry*

$$\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} S^{k-2i}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}})(-i)^{\oplus h^{k-i,i} - h^{k-i+1,i-1}}, \quad (5.2.1)$$

where $h^{k-i,i}$ denote the Hodge numbers of \mathbb{X} .

Recall that the definition of a strictly maximal Higgs field implies that

$$h^{k-i+1,i+1} \leq h^{k-i,i},$$

for $i = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. So the exponents in 5.2.1 are all non negative.

Proof of 5.2. By Definition 1.1 and by Proposition 3.4 we have a decomposition

$$\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{V}_{k-2i} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} S^{k-2i}(\mathbb{L})(-i) \otimes \mathbb{T}_{k-2i}. \quad (5.2.2)$$

The local system $\mathbb{X} \otimes \mathbb{X}$ decomposes in a direct sum

$$\mathbb{V}_{k-2i} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{k-2j} = S^{k-2i}(\mathbb{L})(-i) \otimes S^{k-2j}(\mathbb{L})(-j) \otimes \mathbb{T}_{k-2i} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{k-2j} \quad (5.2.3)$$

for all pair $i, j \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor\}$. Since $\det(\mathbb{L}) = \mathbb{C}$, for $i \leq j$ Pieri's formula (see [6], 6.16, and 6.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} S^{k-2i}(\mathbb{L})(-i) \otimes S^{k-2j}(\mathbb{L})(-j) &= S^{2k-2i-2j}(\mathbb{L})(-i-j) \oplus \\ & S^{2k-2i-2j-2}(\mathbb{L})(-i-j-1) \oplus \dots \oplus S^{-2i+2j}(\mathbb{L})(-i+j). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.4)$$

For $a > 0$ the polarized variation of Hodge structures $S^{2a}(\mathbb{L})(-a)$ have a strictly maximal pure Higgs field, as well as their tensor product with a unitary local system, concentrated in one bidegree (ι, ι) . So the only unitary sub local

systems of $\mathbb{X} \otimes \mathbb{X}$ are contained in $\mathbb{V}_{k-2i} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{k-2i}$ and they are of the form $\mathbb{T}_{k-2i} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{k-2i}$. By [16], 3.3,

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{T}_{k-2i} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{k-2i}$$

comes from a direct factor of $\mathbb{X}_K = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes K$ for some number field K . Since $S \neq \emptyset$, the argument used to prove [16], 4.1, carries over to show that one can even choose $K = \mathbb{Q}$, and that \mathbb{T} admits a \mathbb{Z} -structure. Since the eigenvalues of the local monodromies of \mathbb{X} around S are all equal to 1, the local monodromies of \mathbb{T} around S are all identity. Hence, \mathbb{T} extends to a unitary local system on Y , say of rank κ . The representation defining \mathbb{T} can be written as

$$\rho : \pi_1(U, *) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, *) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}(\kappa, \mathbb{Q})$$

and ρ factors through a finite quotient of $\pi_1(Y, *)$. Replacing Y by a finite covering, we may assume that ρ is trivial, hence that $\mathbb{T}_{k-2i} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{k-2i}$ is trivial for all i . Writing κ_{k-2i} for the rank of \mathbb{T}_{k-2i} , hence

$$\kappa_{k-2i} = h^{k-i, i} - h^{k-i+1, i-1}$$

the tensor product induces a map

$$\mathrm{Gl}(\kappa_{k-2i}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}(\kappa_{k-2i}^2, \mathbb{C})$$

with finite kernel, hence we may assume, replacing Y again by some étale cover, that \mathbb{T}_{k-2i} is trivial, for all i .

Let us consider again the decompositions in (5.2.2), (5.2.3) and 5.2.4). They imply, that for some $n > 0$ the local system $S^n(\mathbb{L})$ is a sub system of $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$ which is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

We need to show \mathbb{L} is isomorphic to a rank two local system admitting a \mathbb{Z} -structure. Using the trace formula in Proposition 5.1 one sees that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{L})$ is contained in the ring of algebraic integers \mathcal{O}_K of an algebraic number field $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. By the argument used in the proof of Proposition 5.5 in [16] one shows further that $\sigma(\mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{L}))$ is bounded for any embedding $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ unequal to the identity. So applying Takeuchi's Theorem (see Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 5.5 in [16]) one obtains:

- i. \mathbb{L} is derived from a quaternion algebra A over a totally real number field F with d distinct embeddings

$$\sigma_1 = \mathrm{id}, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

- ii. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ there exists \mathbb{R} -isomorphism

$$\rho_1 : A^{\sigma_1} \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq M(2, \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_i : A^{\sigma_i} \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{H}, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq d.$$

- iii. The representation $\Gamma_{\mathbb{L}} : \pi_1(Y, *) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ defining the local system \mathbb{L} factors like

$$\pi_1(Y, *) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma \subset \rho_1(\mathcal{O}^1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R} \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R}),$$

and $Y \simeq \mathcal{H}/\Gamma$, where \mathcal{O}^1 is the group of units in an order $\mathcal{O} \subset A$ over F , and where \mathcal{H} denotes the upper half plane.

- iv. $F(\sqrt{a})$ is a field of definition for \mathbb{L} , for some $a \in F$.

- v. If τ_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$ are extensions of σ_i to $F(\sqrt{a})$, and if \mathbb{L}_i denotes the local system defined by

$$\pi_1(Y, *) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sl}(2, F(\sqrt{a})) \xrightarrow{\tau_i} \mathrm{Sl}(2, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

then \mathbb{L}_i is a unitary local system, for $i > 1$, and $\mathbb{L}_1 \simeq \mathbb{L}$.

- vi. Up to isomorphism, \mathbb{L}_i does not depend on the extension τ_i chosen.

Using the notations introduced above, the condition $S \neq \emptyset$ implies that \mathcal{H}/Γ is not projective. This is only possible for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and for $A = M(2, \mathbb{Q})$. Then, replacing Y by a finite étale cover, \mathbb{L} is defined over \mathbb{Z} . \square

6. VARIATIONS OF ODD WEIGHT

In this section we will show, that for k odd, and $S = \emptyset$ there are no polarized \mathbb{Q} variations of Hodge structures $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of weight k with a strictly maximal Higgs field and with Hodge number $h^{k,0} = 1$. In fact, we will only use the highest width part of \mathbb{X} to find a contradiction.

Proposition 6.1. *Over a projective curve Y there exists no polarized \mathbb{Q} variation of Hodge structures of odd weight k which allows a decomposition*

$$\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{V}_k \oplus \mathbb{W}$$

of polarized \mathbb{C} variations of Hodge structures with:

- (i) *The highest Hodge number $h^{k,0} = 1$.*
- (ii) *The width of \mathbb{W} is strictly smaller than k , and \mathbb{W} does not contain a unitary local subsystem.*
- (iii) *\mathbb{V}_k is strictly maximal and pure of width k .*

If $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a polarized \mathbb{Q} variation of Hodge structures of odd weight k and with a strictly maximal Higgs field, then by Remark 1.5 one has a decomposition

$$\mathbb{X} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{V}_{2i+1},$$

hence a decomposition $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{V}_k \oplus \mathbb{W}$, where \mathbb{W} is of width strictly smaller than k , and with a strictly maximal Higgs field. Obviously, \mathbb{W} and \mathbb{X} can not contain a unitary local subsystem, and the conditions ii) and iii) in 6.1 hold true. So we obtain

Corollary 6.2. *Over a projective curve there exists no non-constant polarized \mathbb{Q} variation of Hodge structures of odd weight k , with a strictly maximal Higgs field and with $h^{k,0} = 1$.*

Proof of 6.1. Assume there exists an $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, with k odd satisfying the conditions i), ii) and iii). By 3.4, applied to \mathbb{V}_k instead of \mathbb{X} , one has a decomposition

$$\mathbb{V}_k \simeq S^k(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{T}_k$$

with \mathbb{L} a rank 2 weight 2 variation of Hodge structures with a strictly maximal pure Higgs field, and with \mathbb{T}_k a unitary local system. The assumption $h^{k,0} = 1$ implies that \mathbb{T}_k is of rank one, hence trivial.

Let σ be an automorphism of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. By [13] (see also [16], 5.5) \mathbb{L}^{σ} is again a \mathbb{C} variation of Hodge structures of weight 2. Hence it either is unitary, or it has a strict generically maximal Higgs field.

If \mathbb{L}^σ is unitary $\mathbb{V}_k^\sigma \simeq S^k(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$ is unitary, hence \mathbb{X} must have a unitary part, contradicting the assumption ii).

Hence \mathbb{L}^σ has again a strict generically maximal Higgs field, and the width of $\mathbb{V}_k^\sigma \simeq S^k(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$ is k . This implies that the image of \mathbb{V}_k^σ under the projection $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ is zero. The same holds true for the image of \mathbb{W}^σ under the projection $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_k$. Hence $\mathbb{V}_k^\sigma = \mathbb{V}_k$ and $\mathbb{W}^\sigma = \mathbb{W}$, as sub local systems of \mathbb{X} . Then \mathbb{L}^σ has a strictly maximal Higgs field, and after passing to some étale covering it is isomorphic to \mathbb{L} .

Since $\mathbb{V}_k^\sigma = \mathbb{V}_k$, the sub local system \mathbb{V}_k of \mathbb{X} is defined over \mathbb{Q} , Hence $S^k(\mathbb{L})$ as well. Recall that for $a \geq b$ the Pieri formula (see [6], 6.16, and 6.9)

$$S^a(\mathbb{L}) \otimes S^b(\mathbb{L}) = S^{a+b}(\mathbb{L}) \oplus S^{a+b-2}(\mathbb{L}) \dots \oplus S^{a-b}(\mathbb{L}).$$

Applying σ and using again that $S^i(\mathbb{L})$ is strictly maximal and pure of width i , one finds that σ respects this decomposition. In particular, choosing $a = b = k$ one finds $S^2(\mathbb{L})$ as a sub local system of $S^k(\mathbb{L}) \otimes S^k(\mathbb{L})$ invariant under all automorphisms of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Hence

$$S^{2k-2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbb{L})$$

is defined over \mathbb{Q} . Next one repeats this argument for $a = 2k - 2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ and $b = k$, and one finds for $a - b = k - 2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor = 1$ that \mathbb{L} is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

So \mathbb{L} admits an \mathbb{Z} -structure and there exists some $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $Y \simeq \mathcal{H}/\Gamma$. Then however Y can not be projective. \square

7. FAMILIES OF CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS AND OTHER EXAMPLES

C. Borcea constructed in [1] Calabi-Yau threefolds F as a resolution of quotients

$$E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 / G$$

where E_i are elliptic curves with involution ι_i and

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle \mathrm{id} \times \iota_2 \times \iota_3, \iota_1 \times \mathrm{id} \times \iota_3 \rangle.$$

For $E_1 = E_2 = E_3$ this construction easily extends to modular families of elliptic curves, and to higher dimensions. Remark that the group G is a subgroup of index two of the group generated by the involutions ι_i acting on the i -th factor. Hence F can also be obtained as a twofold covering of some blowing up of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$.

Example 7.1. For all $n \geq 1$ there exists families $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of Calabi-Yau n -folds, with smooth part $X_0 \rightarrow U$, with $S = Y \setminus U \neq \emptyset$, such that $R^n f_* \mathbb{Q}_{X_0}$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field.

Proof. Let $h : E \rightarrow Y$ be a modular family of elliptic curves, smooth over $U \subset Y$ and birational to a semistable family. As explained in [16], Section 2, $R^1 h_* \mathbb{Q}_{E_0}$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field, where $E_0 = h^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is the smooth part.

We will assume, for simplicity, that the subgroup $E_{(2)}$ of two-division points in E is trivial, i.e. that it consist of the union of 4 sections of h , pairwise disjoint on E_0 . Blowing up the singular fibres, we may assume that the involution ι_0 of $E_0 \rightarrow U$ extends to an involution ι on E , and that the quotient $\mathbb{P} = E/\iota$ is non singular. We will write $\varphi : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow Y$ for the induced morphism and $\Pi_{(2)}$ for the image of $E_{(2)}$ in \mathbb{P} .

For any $n > 0$ consider the n -fold product $E^n = E \times_Y \cdots \times_Y E$ and the group H of automorphisms of E^n , generated by the involutions ι on the different factors. G again denotes the subgroup generated by automorphisms η_{ij} with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, whose restriction to the k -th factor of E^n is the identity, except for the i -th or j -th factor, where it acts as ι . So E^n/G is a two to one covering of

$$E^n/H = \mathbb{P}^{(n)} = \mathbb{P} \times_Y \cdots \times_Y \mathbb{P} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(n)}} Y.$$

The subgroup of H leaving a components of $E_{(2)} \times_Y E^{n-1}$ pointwise invariant is generated by $\iota \times \text{id}^{n-1}$, hence $\Pi_{(2)} \times_Y \mathbb{P}^{(n-1)}$ is totally ramified in E^n/G . Up to permuting the factors, each component of the discriminant divisor $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ is of this form. Let

$$\{\Upsilon_i; i \in \{1, \dots, M\}\}$$

be the set of 2 by 2 intersections of components of Γ . To choose an ordering one may start, for example, with the 16 intersections of components given by two torsion points on the first and second factor, then those coming from the first and third one, and so on. Consider the sequence of blowing ups

$$\mathbb{P}^{(n,M)} \xrightarrow{\gamma_M} \mathbb{P}^{(n,M-1)} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{M-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \mathbb{P}^{(n,1)} \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} \mathbb{P}^{(n,0)} = \mathbb{P}^{(n)},$$

where γ_i the blowing up of the proper transform of Υ_i in $\mathbb{P}^{(n,i-1)}$. Write

$$\gamma = \gamma_M \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_1 : \mathbb{P}^{(n,M)} = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^{(n)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{(n)}$$

for the composite. We choose X to be the normalization of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^{(n)}$ in the function field of E^n/G . We write $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\delta : X \rightarrow E^n/G$ for the induced morphisms.

Claim 7.2. The morphism

$$f : X_0 = f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$$

is a smooth family of Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Proof. Consider for $y \in U$ the fibre $\pi_y : F_y = f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}$. The twofold covering $E_y^n/G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^{(n)} = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is given by the invertible sheaf

$$\mathcal{L}_y = \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(2, \dots, 2)$$

and a section of \mathcal{L}_y^2 with divisor Γ_y . The morphism $\gamma_y : \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^{(n)}$ is the blowing up of all 2 by 2 intersections of the normal crossing divisor Γ_y . So $\gamma_y^* \Gamma_y$ is again a normal crossing divisor.

The proper transform $\tilde{\Gamma}_y$ of Γ_y in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}$ consists of disjoint irreducible components, and

$$\gamma_y^* \Gamma_y - \tilde{\Gamma}_y = 2 \cdot \Phi_y$$

for some reduced divisor Φ_y . Since the covering $\pi_y : F_y = f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}$ is obtained by taking the second root out of the divisor $\gamma_y^* \Gamma_y$, the discriminant of π_y is $\tilde{\Gamma}_y$. The latter being non singular implies that F_y is non singular. Moreover, for all p , one has

$$\pi_{y*} \Omega_{F_y}^p = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_y^{(n)}}^p \oplus \gamma_y^* \mathcal{L}_y^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}}(\Phi_y) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_y^{(n)}}^p(\log(\tilde{\Gamma}_y)). \quad (7.2.1)$$

For $p > 0$ the first direct factor can not have any global section. The second one is a subsheaf of

$$\gamma_y^*(\mathcal{L}_y^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_y^{(n)}}^p(\log \Gamma_y)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}}(\Phi_y).$$

Since Φ_y is contained in the exceptional locus of γ_y , and since the ampleness of \mathcal{L}_y implies that for $p < n$

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_y^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_y^{(n)}}^p(\log \Gamma_y)) = 0,$$

one finds $H^0(F_y, \Omega_{F_y}^p) = 0$ for $0 < p < n$. Finally, for $p = n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{y*}\omega_{F_y} &= \omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}} \oplus \gamma_y^*\mathcal{L}_y^{-1}(\Phi_y) \otimes \omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}}(\log(\tilde{\Gamma}_y)) = \\ &= \omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}} \oplus \gamma_y^*(\mathcal{L}_y^1 \otimes \omega_{(\mathbb{P}^1)_n}) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(n)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and ω_{F_y} has a nowhere vanishing section. \square

The description of the covering $X \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^{(n)}$ given above carries over to the whole family.

In the sequel \circ always denotes the preimage of U , in particular $X_0 = f^{-1}(U)$, $E_0 = h^{-1}(U)$, and $\mathbb{P}_0^{(n)}$ is a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ bundle over U . We choose \mathcal{L}_0 to be an invertible sheaf which fibrewise coincides with $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(2, \dots, 2)$ and with $\mathcal{L}_0^2 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0^{(n)}}(\Gamma_0)$.

It remains to show that $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field. A generator ι' of H/G act on $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})$, and we write $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})^{(1)}$ for the invariants and $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})^{(-1)}$ for the anti-invariants. Then the strict maximality of the Higgs field follow from:

Claim 7.3. There is an isomorphism of variation of Hodge structures

$$\bigotimes^n R^1 h_*(\mathbb{Q}_{E_0}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\theta} R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})^{(-1)}.$$

Moreover, $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})^{(1)} = 0$ for n odd, and $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})^{(1)}$ is unitary of bidegree $(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$, for n even.

Proof. The Künneth decomposition and the trace give natural maps

$$\bigotimes^n R^1 h_*(\mathbb{Q}_{E_0}) \longrightarrow R^n h_*^n(\mathbb{Q}_{E_0^n}) \longrightarrow R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0}).$$

For the invariants $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})^{(1)}$ the Higgs bundle is

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=n} R^q \varphi_*^{(n)} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_0^{(n)}}^p.$$

Remark that the local systems for $\mathbb{P}_0^{(n)} \rightarrow Y$ are trivial local systems, and zero for n odd. Assume the same for $\mathbb{P}_0^{(n,i-1)} \rightarrow Y$. By construction, $\mathbb{P}_0^{(n,i)} \rightarrow Y$ is obtained by blowing up the proper transform Υ'_i of Υ_i in $\mathbb{P}_0^{(n,i-1)}$. The exceptional divisor is a \mathbb{P}^1 bundle over Υ'_i , and the latter is itself a locally constant family of rational varieties. Hence again the n -th direct image of $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{P}_0^{(n,i)}}$ on U is a trivial local system, and zero for n odd. By induction one obtains the second part of 7.3.

For the anti-invariants $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0})^{(-1)}$ the Higgs bundle is the direct sum of all

$$F_0^{p,q} = R^q \varphi_*^{(n)} \gamma_* \Omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_0^{(n)}/U}^p(\log \tilde{\Gamma}_0) \otimes \gamma^* \mathcal{L}_0^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_0^{(n)}}(\Phi_0),$$

with $p + q = n$. The sheaf $F_0^{p,q}$ contains

$$R^q \varphi_*^{(n)} \gamma_* \Omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_0^{(n)}/U}^p(\log \gamma^* \Gamma_0) \otimes \gamma^* \mathcal{L}_0^{-1},$$

and the cokernel is

$$R^q \varphi_*^{(n)} \gamma_* \Omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_0^{(n)}/U}^{p-1}(\log \tilde{\Gamma}_0 \cap \Phi_0) \otimes \gamma^* \mathcal{L}_0^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Phi_0}(\Phi_0). \quad (7.3.1)$$

As above, each component of Φ_0 is a \mathbb{P}^1 bundle over some Υ'_i and $\Gamma_0 \cap \Phi_0$ consists of two disjoint sections of this bundle. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi_0}(\Phi_0)$ restricted to its fibres is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, the sheaf in (7.3.1) is zero, for all p and q .

This implies that

$$F_0^{p,q} = R^q \varphi_*^{(n)} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_0^{(n)}/U}^p(\log \Gamma_0) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$R^q \varphi_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_0/U}^p(\log \Pi_{(2)}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0}(-2) = 0$$

is zero for $(p, q) \neq (0, 1)$ and $(p, q) \neq (1, 0)$, and

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=n} F_0^{p,q} = R^n \varphi_*^{(n)} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_0^{(n)}/U}^n(\log \Gamma_0) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n R^1 \varphi_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_0/U}^1(\log \Pi_{(2)}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0}(-2),$$

as claimed. \square

Remark 7.4. Of course the proof of 7.2 and 7.3 allows to determine the invariants of the fibre F . Let us just remark that for $n = 3$, i.e. for Borcea's example, $f : X_0 \rightarrow U$ is a family of Calabi Yau manifolds with Euler number $e = 96$ and $h^{2,1} = 3$. One has

$$R^3 f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{X_0}) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^3 R^1 h_*(\mathbb{Q}_{E_0}) = S^3 R^1 h_*(\mathbb{Q}_{E_0}) \oplus R^1 h_*(\mathbb{Q}_{E_0})^{\oplus 2}.$$

Starting from families of Abelian varieties with strictly maximal Higgs fields, as classified in [16], Theorem 0.5, it is easy to give examples of smooth families $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of n -dimensional manifolds over a projective curve Y , with a strictly maximal Higgs field.

Let us first recall the classification of smooth families of Abelian varieties with a strictly maximal Higgs field:

Let A be a quaternion division algebra defined over a totally real number field F , which is ramified at all infinite places except one. Choose an embedding

$$D = \text{Cor}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} A \subset M(2^m, \mathbb{Q}),$$

with m minimal, hence for $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ one has $m = d$ or $m = d + 1$. Then the moduli functor of Abelian varieties with special Mumford-Tate group

$$\text{Hg} = \{x \in D^*; x\bar{x} = 1\}$$

and with a suitable level structure, is represented by a smooth family $Z_A \rightarrow Y_A$ over a compact Shimura curve Y_A . As explained in [16] $Z_A \rightarrow Y_A$ has a strictly maximal Higgs field, and the general fibre Z_η of $Z_A \rightarrow Y_A$ is of the following type:

- i. $1 < m = d$ odd. In this case $\dim(Z_\eta) = 2^{d-1}$ and $\text{End}(Z_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$.
- ii. $m = d + 1$. Then $\dim(Z_\eta) = 2^d$ and
 - a. for d odd, $\text{End}(Z_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} .
 - b. for d even, $\text{End}(Z_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ a totally definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} .

If $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ is any family of Abelian varieties with a strictly maximal Higgs field, then by [16] there exists some A such that, replacing Y by an étale covering, $Y = Y_A$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ is isogenous to

$$Z_A \times_Y \cdots \times_Y Z_A \longrightarrow Y.$$

In particular, the dimension n of the fibres of g is even.

Example 7.5. For n even, there exists a smooth family $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of n -dimensional manifolds F with:

- (a) $h^{n,0}(F) = 1$, and $h^{k,0}(F) \neq 0$ for all even k .
- (b) $h^{k-p,p}(F) = 0$ for k odd and for all p .
- (c) the Higgs field of $R^n f_* \mathbb{Q}_X$ is strictly maximal.

Proof. Starting from a smooth family

$$g : Z = Z_A \times_Y \cdots \times_Y Z_A \longrightarrow Y$$

let $g' : Z' \rightarrow Y$ be the family obtained by blowing up the fixed points Γ of the involution ι of Z over Y . We will assume for simplicity that those fixed points are the union of the images of sections of g , a condition always satisfied after replacing Y by an étale covering.

The involution ι acts on the relative Zariski tangent space of Γ by multiplication with -1 , hence it induces an action on Z' , denoted by ι' . The restriction of ι' to the exceptional divisor E is trivial, and ι' acts fixed point free on $Z' \setminus E$. If \mathbb{V}^k denotes the variation of Hodge structures of weight k of $Z \rightarrow Y$, one has

$$R^k g'_* \mathbb{C}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y = R^k g'_* \Omega_{Z'/Y}^\bullet = \mathbb{V}^k \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathbb{T}^k \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

Here \mathbb{T}^k is zero for k odd, and it is a trivial local system, concentrated in bidegree $(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2})$ for k even. Moreover, ι' acts trivially on \mathbb{T}^k and on \mathbb{V}^k it acts by multiplication with $(-1)^k$.

The quotient, $X = Z'/\iota'$ is smooth over Y , and the ramification locus of $\tau : Z' \rightarrow X$ is E . One finds

$$R^k f_* \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet = \mathbb{V}^k \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathbb{T}^k \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y,$$

for k even and $= 0$ for k odd. □

The last example shows that for n even there exist smooth families of n -folds of Kodaira dimension zero, with $h^{n,0} = 1$ and with a weight n variation of Hodge structures with a strictly maximal Higgs field, whereas the same is excluded for n odd by 6.2.

Problem 7.6. Let $n > 2$ be even. Do there exist smooth families of Calabi-Yau n -folds over projective curves with a weight n variation of Hodge structures, with a strictly maximal Higgs field?

REFERENCES

- [1] Borcea, C.: Calabi-Yau threefolds and complex multiplication. Essays on mirror manifolds. Internat. Press, Hong Kong (1992) 489–502
- [2] Deligne, P.: La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces $K3$. Invent. math. **15** (1972) 206–226
- [3] Deligne, P.: Hodge cycles on Abelian varieties. (Notes by J. S. Milne). Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **900** (1982) 9–100
- [4] Deligne, P.: Un théorème de finitude pour la monodromie. Discrete Groups in Geometry and Analysis, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math. **67** (1987) 1–19
- [5] Faltings, G.: Arakelov’s theorem for Abelian varieties. Invent. math. **73** (1983) 337–348
- [6] Fulton, W., Harris, J.: Representation Theory. A first course. Graduate Texts in Math. **129** (1991) Springer-Verlag, New-York
- [7] Jost, J, Zuo, K.: Arakelov type inequalities for Hodge bundles over algebraic varieties, Part 1: Hodge bundles over algebraic curves. J. Alg. Geom. **11** (2002) 535–546
- [8] Mumford, D.: Families of Abelian varieties. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **9** (1966) 347–351
- [9] Mumford, D.: A note of Shimura’s paper: Discontinuous groups and Abelian varieties. Math. Ann. **181** (1969) 345–351
- [10] Peters, C.: Rigidity for variation of Hodge structure and Arakelov-type finiteness theorems. Comp. Math. **75** (1990) 113–126
- [11] Peters, C.: Arakelov-type inequalities for Hodge bundles. preprint (1999)
- [12] Schoen, C.: Varieties dominated by product varieties. Int. J. Math. **7** (1996) 541–571
- [13] Simpson, C.: Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves. Journal of the AMS **3** (1990) 713–770
- [14] Sun, X.-T., Tan, S.L., Zuo, K.: Families of $K3$ surfaces over curves satisfying the equality of Arakelov-Yau’s type and modularity. preprint (2002)
- [15] Viehweg, E., Zuo K.: On the isotriviality of families of projective manifolds over curves. J. Alg. Geom. **10** (2001) 781–799
- [16] Viehweg, E., Zuo, K.: A characterization of certain Shimura curves in the moduli stack of abelian varieties. preprint (2002)

UNIVERSITÄT ESSEN, FB6 MATHEMATIK, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `viehweg@uni-essen.de`

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHATIN, HONG KONG

E-mail address: `kzuo@math.cuhk.edu.hk`